



City of Vancouver *Zoning and Development By-law*

Community Services, 453 W. 12th Ave Vancouver, BC V5Y 1V4 ☎ 604.873.7344 fax 604.873.7060
planning@vancouver.ca

CD-1 (308)

2455-2517 East Broadway

By-law No. 7193

(Being a By-law to Amend By-law 3575, being the Zoning and Development By-law)

Effective October 19, 1993


(Amended up to and including By-law No. 9674, dated June 24, 2008)

1 [Section 1 is not reprinted here. It contains a Standard clause amending Schedule D (Zoning District Plan) to reflect this rezoning to CD-1.]

2 Uses

The area shown included within the heavy black outline on Schedule “A” shall be more particularly described as CD-1(308), and the only uses permitted within the outlined area, subject to such conditions as Council may by resolution prescribe, and the only uses for which development permits will be issued are:

- (a) Community Care Facility on Site A only (as shown on the diagram below); [9674; 08 06 24]
- (b) Multiple Dwelling; and
- (c) Accessory Uses customarily ancillary to the above uses.



3 Floor Space Ratio

3.1 The floor space ratio shall not exceed:

- (a) 2.25 on Site A as shown on the diagram above;
- (b) 1.40 on Site B as shown on the diagram above; and
- (c) 1.40 on Site C as shown on the diagram above.

For the purpose of computing floor space ratio, the site size for Site A shall be deemed to be 1 683 m², for Site B shall be 4 005 m² and for Site C shall be 1 523 m², being the parcel sizes at the time of the application for rezoning, prior to any dedications.

3.2 The following shall be included in the computation of floor space ratio:

- (a) all floors having a minimum ceiling height of 1.2 m, including earthen floor, both above and below ground level, to be measured to the extreme outer limits of the building; and
- (b) stairways, fire escapes, elevator shafts and other features which the Director of Planning considers similar, to be measured by their gross cross-sectional areas and included in the measurements for each floor at which they are located.

Note: Information included in square brackets [] identifies the by-law numbers and dates for the amendments to By-law No. 7193 or provides an explanatory note.

3.3 The following shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio:

- (a) open residential balconies or sundecks, and any other appurtenances which, in the opinion of the Director of Planning, are similar to the foregoing;
- (b) patios and roof gardens, provided that the Director of Planning first approves the design of sunroofs and walls;
- (c) where floors are used for off-street parking and loading, bicycle storage, heating and mechanical equipment, or uses which in the opinion of the Director of Planning are similar to the foregoing, those floors or portions thereof so used, which are at or below the base surface provided that the maximum exclusion for a parking space shall not exceed 7.3 m in length;
- (d) amenity areas, including day care facilities, recreation facilities and meeting rooms, to a maximum total of 10 percent of the total building floor area;
- (e) areas of undeveloped floors located above the highest storey or half-storey, or adjacent to a storey or half-storey, with a ceiling height of less than 1.2 m, and to which there is no permanent means of access other than a hatch; and
- (f) all residential storage space above or below base surface, except that if the residential storage space above base surface exceeds 3.7 m² per dwelling unit, there will be no exclusion for any of the residential storage space above base surface for that unit; [8760; 03 12 09]
- (g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000. [8169; 00 03 14]

3.4 The Director of Planning may permit the following to be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio:

- (a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure subject to the following:
 - (i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area being provided; and
 - (ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed. [7512; 96 01 11]

4 Height

The maximum building height measured above the base surface shall be 16.1 m and the buildings shall not extend beyond 4 storeys, except that the building height shall not exceed 10.5 m for the multiple dwellings fronting on 8th Avenue on Site B.

5 Setbacks

The minimum setback of a building shall be:

- (a) for the principal building on Site A: 3.1 m from the East Broadway property boundary;
- (b) on Site B: 3.1 m from the East Broadway property boundary, 3.1 m from the East 8th Avenue property boundary, and 2.8 m from the Kamloops Street property boundary; and
- (c) on Site C: 4.7 m from the East Broadway property boundary, 2.5 m from the Kamloops Street property boundary, and 3.1 m from the adjoining site to the east.

6 Site Coverage

6.1 The maximum site coverage for all buildings shall be 65 percent at the site area for Site A, 45 percent of the site area for Site B, and 40 percent of the site area for Site C.

6.2 For the purpose of this section, site coverage for buildings shall be based on the projected area of the outside of the outermost walls of all buildings and includes carports, but excludes steps, eaves, balconies and sundecks.

7 Off-Street Parking And Loading
Off-street parking and loading shall be provided, developed and maintained in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Parking By-law, except that a minimum of 1.1 off-street parking spaces for every dwelling unit and one additional off-street parking space shall be provided for each 200 m² of gross floor area


8 Acoustics
All development permit applications require evidence in the form of a report and recommendations prepared by a person trained in acoustics and current techniques of noise measurement, demonstrating that the noise levels in those portions of the dwelling units listed below do not exceed the noise level set opposite such portions. For the purposes of this section, the noise level is the A-weighted 24-hour equivalent (Leq) sound level and is defined simply as noise level in decibels.

Portions of dwelling units	Noise levels (Decibels)
bedrooms	35
living, dining, recreation rooms	40
kitchen, bathrooms, hallways	45

[7515; 96 01 11]

9 *[Section 9 is not reprinted here. It contains a standard clause including the Mayor and City Clerk's signature to pass the by-law and certify the by-law number and date of enactment.]*

BY-LAW No. 7193 BEING A BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 3575
BEING THE ZONING & DEVELOPMENT BY-LAW



The property outlined in black (▬) is rezoned:
From **C-2&RS-1S** To **CD-1**

Z-417(b)

RZ 2455 & 2517 East Broadway	map: 1 of 1	
	scale: 1:2000	
City of Vancouver Planning Department		

CITY OF VANCOUVER

6

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING

A Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Tuesday, July 27, 1993, at 7:30 p.m., in the Council Chamber, City Hall, Third Floor, for the purpose of holding a Public Hearing to amend the Zoning and Development By-law.

PRESENT: Mayor Campbell
Councillors Bellamy, Chan, Davies,
Kennedy, Owen, Price,
Puil, Rankin and Wilson

ABSENT: Councillor Eriksen

CLERK TO THE COUNCIL: S. Kautz

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Bellamy,
SECONDED by Cllr. Wilson,

THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Campbell in the Chair, to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Council considered items 1(a) and 1(b) concurrently.

- 1(a) Rezoning: 970 Burrard Street (former B.C. Hydro Building)
1(b) Text Amendment: 900 Burrard Street

Applications by Paul Merrick Architects Ltd. were considered as follows:

REZONING: 970 BURRARD STREET (former B.C. Hydro building)

Present Zoning: DD Downtown District

Proposed Zoning: CD-1 Comprehensive Development District

- (i) If approved, the rezoning would permit the use and development of the site generally as follows:
- conversion of the B.C. Hydro building (Floors 3 through 21) to residential use;
 - retention of office use on the mezzanine, first and second floors;

Clause No. 1 cont'd

The Mayor called for speakers for or against the application, and none were present.

MOVED by Cllr. Bellamy,
THAT the applications be approved, subject to the conditions set out in this Minute of the Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Rezoning: 2455 and 2517 East Broadway

An application by Perkins and Cheung Ltd. was considered as follows:

REZONING: 2455 AND 2517 EAST BROADWAY

Present Zoning: C-2 Commercial District and RS-1S One Family Dwelling District

Proposed Zoning: CD-1 Comprehensive Development District

(i) If approved, the proposed zoning would permit the use and development of the site generally as follows:

- an 80-bed, four-storey care facility;
- two four-storey apartment buildings providing 68 dwelling units, in total;
- 19 two and one-half-storey townhouses;
- accessory uses;
- a total gross floor area of 11 315 m² (121,800 sq. ft.) is proposed;
- maximum floor space ratio for the total development of 1.57;
- maximum height of 16.1 m (53 ft.);
- acoustical provisions; and
- provisions regarding off-street parking and loading, including 167 parking spaces.

(ii) Any consequential amendments.

Clause No. 2 cont'd

The Director of Planning recommended approval, subject to the following conditions proposed for adoption by resolution of Council:

- (a) THAT the proposed form of development be approved by Council in principle, generally as prepared by Perkins & Cheung Ltd. on revised plans received April 22, and June 3, 1993, provided that the Director of Planning may allow minor alterations to this form of development when approving a detailed scheme of development as outlined in (b) below.
- (b) THAT, prior to approval by Council of the form of development, the applicant shall obtain approval of a development application by the Director of Planning, who shall have particular regard to the following:
 - (i) further design development, including articulation and treatment of the south elevations and yards adjoining Broadway, to achieve a unified Broadway streetscape and to complement development on the south side of Broadway;
 - (ii) further design development of the seniors' care facility, as follows:
 - more prominent, larger entrance at southwest corner of the building, possibly relocating there the main entrance from the east side of building;
 - articulation and treatment of north elevation, possibly including north-facing window openings to relieve the southward outlook from the townhouses to the north but still ensuring privacy and livability for residents in both developments;
 - articulation and treatment of blank east wall at grade to provide better interface and alignment with adjoining courtyard to the east; and
 - consideration of reduced parking ramp grade (now 15 percent) and mitigation of awkward right turn at bottom of ramp;

Clause No. 2 cont'd

- (iii) further design development of the apartment building west of Kamloops Street, as follows:
 - articulation and treatment of the south end elevation and yard adjoining Broadway, as more generally addressed in (i) above;
 - better alignment of the courtyard with wall at west property line (if not vice versa, as in (ii) above); and
 - provision for a future children's outdoor play area;

- (iv) further design development of the townhouses to ensure livability of the units near the apartment building;

- (v) design development of the apartment building east of Kamloops Street, as follows:
 - articulation and treatment of blank west wall which screens the access to underground parking, and
 - provision for a future children's outdoor play area; and

- (vi) a landscape plan to maximize open space use, sunlight access, and livability for all residents on the site, including, but not limited to:
 - landscape treatment, access, and circulation in and among the various open spaces on the site, particularly the courtyard between the care facility and apartment building to the east;
 - provision for future children's outdoor play areas; and
 - front yard landscaping on 8th Avenue which is compatible with the character of one-family dwelling development across the street.

Clause No. 2 cont'd

- (c) THAT, prior to enactment of the CD-1 By-law, the following conditions shall be met:
 - (i) The subdivision of the site, to provide a separate parcel for the Care Facility, is to be registered in the Land Title Office;
 - (ii) The registered owner shall make arrangements, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer and Director of Legal Services for provision, at no cost to the City, of:
 - (1) curb and gutter and pavement on the west side of Kamloops Street, from Broadway to 8th Avenue;
 - (2) curb and gutter and pavement on the east side of Kamloops Street, from Broadway to the lane north;
 - (3) curb and gutter and pavement on the south side of 8th Avenue, from Kamloops Street to the lane west;
 - (4) completion of the existing partial sidewalk on the west side of Kamloops Street up to 8th Avenue (The sidewalk presently ends about 45 feet south of 8th Avenue); and
 - (5) all electrical and telephone services to be undergrounded within and adjacent to the site from the closest existing suitable service point.
- (d) THAT, prior to enactment of the CD-1 By-law, the registered owner shall execute a legal agreement, to the satisfaction of the Director of Social Planning and the Director of Legal Services, providing that the owner will not discriminate against families with children in the sale of the property.
- (e) THAT, if approved at Public Hearing, the by-law be accompanied at the time of enactment by amendment to the Subdivision By-law to delete Lots 11 and A from the maps forming part of Table 1 of Schedule A.

Clause No. 2 cont'd

(f) THAT, the registered owner shall, at no cost to the City, obtain and submit to the City a letter from the B.C. Ministry of Environment indicating that a soils analysis site characterization has been completed by a professional recognized in this field; and provide a legal agreement (which may be registered against the property, at the discretion of the Director of Legal Services), in consultation with appropriate Department Heads, indemnifying the City against any liability which may be incurred by the City as a result of any contamination present on the site and providing a program of remediation as may be deemed necessary and approved by the B.C. Ministry of Environment, in a sequence of steps to be prescribed, monitored and certified complete by such a professional and approved by the Ministry.

The agenda package included the following summary of the proposed changes:

	Current Status	Proposed Amendments
Zone	C-2 and RS-1S	CD-1
Use	(C-2) predominantly Office, Retail and Service Commercial; plus Dwelling Units (RS-1S) predominantly single-family dwellings, plus secondary suites	Multiple Dwelling; Special Needs Residential Facility "
Maximum FSR	(C-2) 3.0 (RS-1S) 0.60	1.4-2.25
Maximum Height	(C-2) 12.2 m (40 ft.) (RS-1S) 10.7 m (35 ft.)	16.1 m (53 ft.)

Review of the correspondence received on this application found six letters of support and one letter, with attached petition, in opposition.

Clause No. 2 cont'd

Mr. Phil Mondor, Planner, reviewed the application noting it represents an opportunity to replace a vacant grocery store and surface parking with an 80-bed seniors care facility, two 4-storey apartment buildings and townhouses. Significant public benefit will be achieved with the development of multi-family housing and the care facility, as both are needed in this part of the city. He reviewed the site's four components, building form, height and density. The site's slope allows the development to step back from Broadway, reducing height impacts on the surrounding neighbourhood. The apartment buildings, on either side of Kamloops Street, are proposed to contain 68 units in total. The townhouses on East 8th Avenue will contain 19 units, and will approximate the form and massing of RS-1 housing. With regard to the proposed density for the site, Mr. Mondor noted the current blended fsr for the site is approximately 1.48. The proposed density of 1.57 is justified given the benefits of new housing and the care facility. The application proposes 167 parking spaces, accessed from the lanes and Broadway, and meets the standards of the Parking By-law.

Mr. Mondor answered questions from Council concerning the present and proposed zoning of the site components, and discussed livability and design issues of open space and building setbacks in relation to the surrounding neighbourhood.

Ms. Linda Ruiz, Facilities Coordinator, Health Department, provided an overview of current trends in residential care. Individuals are now receiving more care at home, and tend to stay at home longer. Therefore, residential care clients are entering the system with higher medical needs. Under the Ministry of Health's new Multi-level Care Design Guidelines, increased room, lounge and dining area sizes are recommended, and there are better provisions for aging in place and accessibility for clients with disabilities. The result has been a corresponding move to the development of larger, more modern, care facilities, able to provide 24-hour medical attention and an improved level of care.

Responding to questions from Council, Ms. Ruiz noted new facilities need at least 75 beds to be viable under the new Multi-level Care Guidelines. Construction and other capital costs are borne by the private operator; the Province provides operating funding, based on the number of clients. Council members expressed concern at the institutional nature of the new facilities, and Ms. Ruiz noted large facilities are composed of a number of smaller modules, each able to provide a more homelike atmosphere.

Clause No. 2 cont'd

Mr. Greg Boorman spoke on behalf of the applicant, and explained the care facility and housing components are a joint business venture; the housing component allows the care facility to be viable on a site of this size. The proposal will provide a special needs residential facility needed to serve the residents of this area of Vancouver. The housing component is integral to the project and will give clients' families an opportunity to reside close to their relatives in care. It was also noted a modern facility rarely needs ambulance attendance. Underground parking is provided, and access to the site will be from Broadway and the lane, further reducing traffic impacts on the neighbourhood.

The facility will have 15 to 20 care workers on staff at any one time, and 33 parking stalls will be provided for both visitor and staff parking. It is unlikely any residents will have cars.

Mr. Matti Saar, Perkins and Cheung Architects, provided information on the parties involved in the application. Quadrant Developments will be the project managers on behalf of the property owner, H.Y. Louie Ltd., in co-venture with the facility operator, Anna Jaworsky.

Mr. Saar noted considerable neighbourhood consultation has been undertaken. The project's greatest density and highest buildings will be adjacent Broadway, on the lowest part of the site, and removed from existing housing. He emphasized this project will be of a much smaller scale than the 8-storey Gardenia Villa project on Broadway to the south of this site. He felt parking provisions are more than ample and concerns over the project's density are largely unjustified; a development of this type will prove to be a stabilizing factor in the neighbourhood. A commercial development at this site would certainly have greater negative impacts. With the aid of renderings, Mr. Saar reviewed the triplexes proposed for East 8th Avenue. The heights are consistent with single-family housing and the upper storeys are stepped back.

Clause No. 2 cont'd

The Mayor called for speakers for or against this application, and the following delegations were heard:

Mr. Mark Lester, 2900 Block Woodland Drive, spoke in support of the application, as the new housing and a care facility would be beneficial to the area.

Mr. Renzo Andreutti, 2500 Block East 7th Avenue, opposed the application, especially in terms of building heights. He felt the project has no neighbourhood support.

Mr. Mondor advised the height of the buildings on East Broadway will be 53 feet and 42 feet on the lane east of Kamloops Street. The buildings facing East 8th Avenue will be 33 feet. There will only be minimal impacts on views to the south.

Mr. Rick Fuoco, 2500 Block East 8th Avenue, submitted a petition, containing approximately 100 signatures, opposing the application (on file and noted in the review of correspondence received on this application). Mr. Fuoco felt the project will increase traffic and congestion in the area. He opposes higher density in residential neighbourhoods; instead the site should be utilized in accord with its existing residential and commercial zoning.

Mr. Bob McKay, Quadrant Developments, spoke in support of the application and felt it would be beneficial to the community, noting street and utility improvements will be included in the construction. The density of the residential component is less than that of other 4-storey developments in the city. Mr. McKay felt Quadrant Developments has responded to area residents' concerns and has won recognition for other projects.

Mr. Anthony Tang, representing the site owner, H.Y. Louie Ltd., stated the site is unviable for commercial use. Residential use, in conjunction with the proposed care facility, represents a creative use for the site that will also provide affordable housing.

Clause No. 2 cont'd

Mr. Gerry Frengo, 2500 Block East 8th Avenue, opposed the application, and felt the care facility would generate additional parking congestion in the area. Concern was expressed that neighbourhood views would be affected.

MOVED by Cllr. Chan,

THAT this application be approved, subject to the conditions set out in this Minute of the Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. Rezoning: 800-822 East Broadway

An application by Matsuzaki Wright Architects was considered as follows:

REZONING: 800-822 EAST BROADWAY

Present Zoning: RM-4N Multiple Dwelling District

Proposed Zoning: CD-1 Comprehensive Development District

(i) If approved, the rezoning would permit the use and development of the site generally as follows:

- a three-storey neighbourhood house;
- a child day care facility;
- a caretaker's dwelling unit;
- accessory uses;
- maximum floor space ratio 1.32;
- maximum height 12.4 m (40 ft.); and
- provisions regarding off-street parking and loading, including 18 underground parking spaces and one loading bay.

(ii) Amend Sign By-law No. 6510.

(iii) Any consequential amendments.

BY-LAW NO. 7193

A. By-law to amend
By-law No. 3575, being the
Zoning and Development By-law


THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. The "Zoning District Plan" annexed to By-law No. 3575 as Schedule "D" is hereby amended according to the plan marginally numbered Z-417(b) and attached to this By-law as Schedule "A", and in accordance with the explanatory legends, notations and references inscribed thereon, so that the boundaries and districts shown on the Zoning District Plan are varied, amended or substituted to the extent shown on Schedule "A" of this By-law, and Schedule "A" of this By-law is hereby incorporated as an integral part of Schedule "D" of By-law No. 3575.

2. Uses

The area shown included within the heavy black outline on Schedule "A" shall be more particularly described as CD-1(308), and the only uses permitted within the outlined area, subject to such conditions as Council may by resolution prescribe, and the only uses for which development permits will be issued are:

- (a) Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care - Class B on Site A only (as shown on the diagram below);
- (b) Multiple Dwelling; and
- (c) Accessory Uses customarily ancillary to the above uses.



- (ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed;"

3. By-law No. 7246 is amended in section 3.5 by deleting clause (a) and by substituting the following new clause (a):

"(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure subject to the following:

- (i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area being provided; and

- (ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed;"

4. By-law Nos. 7156, 7200, 7232 and 7248 are each amended in section 6.4 by deleting clause (a) and by substituting the following new clause (a):

"(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure subject to the following:

- (i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area being provided; and

- (ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed;"

5. By-law No. 7317 is amended in section 6.4 by deleting clause (a) and by substituting the following new clause (a):

"(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure subject to the following:

- (i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area being provided; and

- (ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed."

- (e) areas of undeveloped floors located above the highest storey or half-storey, or adjacent to a storey or half-storey, with a ceiling height of less than 1.2 m, and to which there is no permanent means of access other than a hatch; and
- (f) residential storage space provided that where the space is provided at or above base surface, the maximum exclusion shall be 3.7 m² per dwelling unit.

3.4 The Director of Planning may permit the following to be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio:

- (a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure, and provided further that the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area provided; and
- (b) below grade accessory uses in the Special Needs Residential Facility.

4. Height

The maximum building height measured above the base surface shall be 16.1 m and the buildings shall not extend beyond 4 storeys, except that the building height shall not exceed 10.5 m for the multiple dwellings fronting on 8th Avenue on Site B.

5. Setbacks

The minimum setback of a building shall be:

- (a) for the principal building on Site A: 3.1 m from the East Broadway property boundary;
- (b) on Site B: 3.1 m from the East Broadway property boundary, 3.1 m from the East 8th Avenue property boundary, and 2.8 m from the Kamloops Street property boundary; and
- (c) on Site C: 4.7 m from the East Broadway property boundary, 2.5 m from the Kamloops Street property boundary, and 3.1 m from the adjoining site to the east.

6. Site Coverage

6.1 The maximum site coverage for all buildings shall be 65 percent at the site area for Site A, 45 percent of the site area for Site B, and 40 percent of the site area for Site C.

6.2 For the purpose of this section, site coverage for buildings shall be based on the projected area of the outside of the outermost walls of all buildings and includes carports, but excludes steps, eaves, balconies and sundecks.

7. Off-Street Parking and Loading

Off-street parking and loading shall be provided, developed and maintained in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Parking By-law, except that a minimum of 1.1 off-street parking spaces for every dwelling unit and one additional off-street parking space shall be provided for each 200 m² of gross floor area.

8. Acoustics

All development permit applications shall require evidence in the form of a report and recommendations prepared by a person trained in acoustics and current techniques of noise measurement demonstrating that the noise levels in those portions of the dwelling units listed below shall not exceed the noise level set opposite such portions. For the purposes of this section the noise level is the A-weighted 24-hour equivalent (Leq) sound level and will be defined simply as noise level in decibels.

<u>PORTIONS OF DWELLING UNITS</u>	<u>NOISE LEVELS (DECIBELS)</u>
bedrooms	35
living, dining, recreation rooms	40
kitchen, bathrooms, hallways	45
terraces, patios, balconies	60

9. This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 19th day of
October , 1993.

(signed) Gordon Campbell


Mayor

(signed) Maria C. Kinsella

City Clerk

"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 19th day of October 1993, and numbered 7193.

BY-LAW No. 7193 BEING A BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 3575
BEING THE ZONING & DEVELOPMENT BY-LAW



The property outlined in black () is rezoned:
From **C-2&RS-1S** To **CD-1**

Z-417(b)

RZ 2455 & 2517 East Broadway

City of Vancouver Planning Department

map: 1 of 1

scale: 1:2000



CITY OF VANCOUVER



MEMORANDUM

From: CITY CLERK

Date: March 9, 1994
Refer File: 2604-1

To:  Ken Dobell, City Manager
Tom Fletcher, Director of Planning
Bob Maki, City Building Inspector
John Mulberry, Director of Legal Services

MAR 10 1994
Q2102
TAS
THP

Subject: Form of Development: 2455 East Broadway
D.A. 216271 - CD-1 By-law Number 7193

On March 8, 1994, Vancouver City Council approved the following recommendation contained in a February 22, 1994 Administrative Report (A12):

"THAT the approved form of development for the CD-1 zoned site known as 2455 East Broadway be generally approved as illustrated in Development Application Number 216271, prepared by Perkins and Cheung Ltd. and stamped "Received, City Planning Department November 24, 1993", provided that the Director of Planning may approve design changes which would not adversely affect either the development character of this site or adjacent properties."

M Kinsella

CITY CLERK

JSharpe:dmy

Letter to: Mr. Matti Saar
Perkins & Company
210 - 111 Water Street
Vancouver V6B 1A7

Balcony Exclusions
Option A.2

BY-LAW NO. 7512

A By-law to amend By-law Nos.
6421, 6688, 6710, 6731, 6757, 6787, 6817, 7006,
7156, 7173, 7189, [REDACTED], 7200, 7204, 7209, 7223,
7224, 7232, 7246, 7248, 7317, 7337, 7340, 7381,
7431 and 7461, being by-laws which amended the Zoning
and Development By-law by rezoning areas to CD-1

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting assembled,
enacts as follows:

1. The following By-laws are each amended by deleting section 3.4
and by substituting the following new section 3.4:

"3.4 The Director of Planning may permit the following to be excluded in
the computation of floor space ratio:

(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of
Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines
adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure
subject to the following:

(i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck
exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential
floor area being provided; and

(ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor
area may be enclosed."

6688	7006	7337
6710	7173	7340
6731	7189	7381
6787	7223	7431
6817	7224	

2. By-law Nos. 6421, 7193 and 7209 are each amended in section 3.4 by
deleting clause (a) and by substituting the following new clause (a):

"(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of
Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines
adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure
subject to the following:

(i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck
exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential
floor area being provided; and

3. Floor Space Ratio

3.1 The floor space ratio shall not exceed:

- (a) 2.25 on Site A as shown on the diagram above;**
- (b) 1.40 on Site B as shown on the diagram above; and**
- (c) 1.40 on Site C as shown on the diagram above.**

For the purpose of computing floor space ratio, the site size for Site A shall be deemed to be 1 683 m², for Site B shall be 4 005 m² and for Site C shall be 1 523 m², being the parcel sizes at the time of the application for rezoning, prior to any dedications.

3.2 The following shall be included in the computation of floor space ratio:

- (a) all floors having a minimum ceiling height of 1.2 m, including earthen floor, both above and below ground level, to be measured to the extreme outer limits of the building; and**
- (b) stairways, fire escapes, elevator shafts and other features which the Director of Planning considers similar, to be measured by their gross cross-sectional areas and included in the measurements for each floor at which they are located.**

3.3 The following shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio:

- (a) open residential balconies or sundecks, and any other appurtenances which, in the opinion of the Director of Planning, are similar to the foregoing;**
- (b) patios and roof gardens, provided that the Director of Planning first approves the design of sunroofs and walls;**
- (c) where floors are used for off-street parking and loading, bicycle storage, heating and mechanical equipment, or uses which in the opinion of the Director of Planning are similar to the foregoing, those floors or portions thereof so used, which are at or below the base surface, provided that the maximum exclusion for a parking space shall not exceed 7.3 m in length;**
- (d) amenity areas, including day care facilities, recreation facilities and meeting rooms, to a maximum total of 10 percent of the total building floor area;**

6. By-law No. 7461 is amended in section 6.4 of Schedule "B" by deleting clause (a) and by substituting the following new clause (a):

"(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure subject to the following:

(i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area being provided; and

(ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed."

7. By-law No. 6757 is amended in section 7.4 by deleting clause (a) and by substituting the following new clause (a):

"(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure subject to the following:

(i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area being provided; and

(ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed;"

8. By-law No. 7204 is amended in section 7.4 of Schedule "B" by deleting clause (a) and by substituting the following new clause (a):

"(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure subject to the following:

(i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area being provided; and

(ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed;"

9. This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 11th day of
January, 1996.

"(signed) Jennifer Clarke"
Deputy Mayor

"(signed) Maria C. Kinsella"
City Clerk

"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 11th day of January 1996, and numbered 7512.

CITY CLERK"

Clause 1(a) and (b) (cont'd)

This development is also in keeping with Council's strategy of reducing traffic congestion by encouraging residential development in this area and reducing commuters. The application also provides for a substantial amount of bicycle parking within the new residential complex.

Staff Closing Comments

Staff offered no additional comments.

Council Decision

Prior to making a decision, several members of Council expressed the view that staff need to reconsider their approach when notifying residents about rezoning applications, as well as other City-related issues. Members of Council also referred to a previously requested report on waterfront tower height and Council expressed a desire to see this report as soon as possible.

MOVED by Cllr. Bellamy,

THAT this application be approved, subject to the conditions as set out in this minute of the Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Cllr. Price,

THAT the City Manager ensure that when the anticipated report from the Housing Centre on housing affordability comes back, it deals with the issues related to Triangle West and new neighbourhoods.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Balcony Enclosures and Acoustic Requirements

An application by the Director of Land Use and Development was considered as follows:

The proposed amendments to various zoning District Schedules, Official Development Plans and CD-1 Comprehensive Development District By-laws, would either:

- not allow any of the permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for enclosed balconies except in buildings existing prior to April 23, 1985 in which case the present regulations would apply; or

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

- continue to permit a maximum of 8 percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded form Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for balconies BUT to permit no more than half of excluded floor area to be enclosed; or
- permit no more than 8 percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for enclosed balconies.

The proposed acoustic amendments would delete the acoustic requirement for balconies, terraces, patios, etc.

Amended Balcony Enclosure Guidelines and Policies are also proposed.

The Director of Land Use and Development recommended approval of this application.

Staff Opening Comments

Mr. Ralph Segal, Planner, provided background on this issue and introduced the options before Council this evening.

In 1964, in order to improve livability in higher density multiple dwelling developments, open balconies were excluded from FSR to a maximum of eight percent of residential floor area. In the early 1980s, the City received numerous requests from owners of units in existing buildings to enclose their balconies for reasons of poor insulation and acoustics, air drafts and other interior problems. In response, Council in 1985 adopted balcony enclosure guidelines by which enclosed balconies would continue to be excluded from FSR.

Subsequently, in response to the development industry's request for equity, Council permitted this exclusion to apply to new construction, subject to adherence to the guidelines. Since then, new buildings have, to an increasing degree, incorporated enclosed balconies as additional interior space displacing the private open space, the open balconies, for which the FSR exclusion had been originally provided.

Since enclosed balcony space has been successfully marketed at the full per square foot price of the rest of the dwelling unit, many developers have been more and more aggressive in seeking the full eight percent exclusion for enclosed balconies. This differs from a mix of open and enclosed balconies that were anticipated when the exclusion was first put in place.

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

With the aid of photographs distributed to Council (on file in the City Clerk's Office), Mr. Segal explained that enclosure of most or all balconies bulks up buildings by filling in the volumes of open balconies and intends to create less residential, more office-like buildings. Exclusions from FSR are usually given to encourage developers to provide facilities that are considered important for livability but would likely not be provided without that incentive. In this case, bonuses are being permitted when they the negative affect of displacing the private open space for which the FSR exclusion was intended.

Recommendation A1 would eliminate the FSR exclusion for enclosed balconies except in the buildings existing prior to 1985, as per the original intent of the balcony enclosure provisions. Alternatively, should Council consider that enclosed balconies do have merit, A2 is offered which states that no more than half of the excluded balcony area may be enclosed. The third option, A3 is to simply allow outright the full eight percent exclusion to be enclosed.

This application also proposes an acoustic amendment. At present, acoustic requirements in many district schedules and CD-1 by-laws apply to standards in both rooms within the unit as well as exterior balconies and patios. As the current standard often requires balconies to be enclosed, even when this is not desired, the proposed amendment will delete this requirement. Mr. Segal also explained that amendments are proposed to the balcony enclosure guidelines which would delete provisions calling for easy conversion of enclosed balconies back to open balconies, as well as adding several additional clauses which will clarify the design intent in new construction.

Responding to a question from a member of Council, Mr. Segal advised of an error in the memorandum dated July 18, 1995 from the City Clerk, which referred this matter to Public Hearing. Recommendation A1 makes reference to excluding floor space ratio for enclosed balconies except in buildings existing prior to April 23, 1995. This should read April 23, 1985.

A member of Council enquired whether these guidelines would permit a style of balcony sometimes referred to a french balconies. Mr. Segal advised this style would not be permitted under the proposed guidelines.

Council members also enquired whether thresholds will still be required between the interior unit and the closed balconies. It was confirmed the proposed guidelines still contain this threshold requirement.

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

Correspondence

All correspondence received prior to this matter being referred to Public Hearing was included as Appendix E in the Council report. One additional letter stressing the need for more open balconies in Vancouver and another favouring option A2, were also received.

Speakers

The Mayor called for speakers for and against the application, and the following addressed Council.

Mr. Hans Schmidt, representing the Society of Soundscape Preservation, expressed concern with the proposed deletion of acoustic requirements, on the grounds that if these requirements are deleted, the City is simply accommodating the noise which exists and not attempting to eliminate or reduce it. A greater emphasis should be directed towards elimination of the source of noise.

Mr. Dugal Purdie, on behalf of the Urban Development Institute (UDI), indicated his support for option A2 as it represents an appropriate compromise. The UDI is strongly opposed to A1 as this would affect proformas upon which construction was predicated upon. Mr. Purdie urged Council to support recommendation A2 with an amendment to exclude the applicability of the guidelines to enclosed space, as the Institute believes the total design of the building should be left with the architects and reviewed through the existing development permit process, without the addition of guidelines.

Mr. Stuart Howard, on behalf of the Architectural Institute of British Columbia (AIBC), lent his support to option A2, as it represents a compromise position. AIBC would ultimately prefer option 5 as stated in its May 30, 1995 brief to Council, but is willing to accept the compromise position. Mr. Howard suggested the Planning Department is naive in its support of option A1 because apartments are now significantly smaller in size and the continued requirement of an open balcony would result in a small, unusable space.

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT the City continue to permit a maximum of eight percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for balconies, but to permit no more than half of excluded floor area to be enclosed;

FURTHER THAT the requirement that thresholds be included in enclosed balconies be removed.

- CARRIED

(Councillors Chiavario, Kwan and Price opposed)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT those District Schedules and CD-1 by-laws containing an acoustic regulation be amended, to delete the acoustic requirement for on-site open space (i.e., balconies, terraces, patios, etc.), generally as outlined in Appendix A of the Policy Report dated June 6, 1995.

- CARRIED

(Councillor Sullivan opposed)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT the Balcony Enclosure Guidelines and Policies, amended as noted in Appendix B of the Policy Report dated June 6, 1995, to reflect more practical utilization by residents, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT Council advise the Planning Department that it supports "French Balconies" where appropriate and that language be incorporated in the balcony regulations and/or guidelines that would encourage their provision.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Acoustic Requirements

BY-LAW NO. 7515

A By-law to amend
By-law Nos. 3712, 4037, 4049, 4397, 4677, 5381,
5836, 5852, 6272, 6310, 6312, 6313, 6314, 6315,
6316, 6317, 6318, 6319, 6320, 6321, 6322, 6323,
6325, 6361, 6362, 6363, 6421, 6425, 6429, 6475,
6489, 6528, 6533, 6564, 6582, 6597, 6663, 6688,
6710, 6713, 6714, 6715, 6730, 6731, 6738, 6739,
6740, 6744, 6747, 6757, 6768, 6779, 6787, 6817,
6827, 6965, 7006, 7087, 7092, 7101, 7114, 7135,
7155, 7156, 7157, 7158, 7163, 7166, 7173, 7174,
7175, 7180, 7189, [REDACTED], 7198, 7200, 7204, 7209,
7223, 7224, 7230, 7232, 7246, 7248, 7317, 7337,
7340, 7381, 7425, 7431, 7434 and 7461, being
by-laws which amended the Zoning and Development
By-law by rezoning areas to CD-1

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting assembled,
enacts as follows:

1. By-law Nos. 6429, 6597, 7092, 7101, 7224 and 7340 are each amended in section 5 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

2. The following By-laws are each amended in section 6 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column:

4037	6688	7087	7180
4397	6710	7155	7189
4677	6713	7157	7209
5852	6731	7163	7246
6272	6738	7166	7381
6363	6768	7173	7425
6421	6787	7174	7431
6582	6827	7175	7434
6663			

3. By-law No. 6730 is amended in section 6.1 by deleting the words "Terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

4. The following By-laws are each amended in section 7 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

5836	6321	6564	7114
6310	6322	6739	7135
6312	6323	6740	7158
6315	6325	6817	7223
6319	6528	6965	7230
6320			

5. By-law Nos. 6313, 6314, 6316, 6317, 6318 and 6361 are each amended in section 7.1 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
6. By-law Nos. 3712, 4049, 6362, 6425, 6489, 6714, 6715, 7193 and 7337 are each amended in section 8 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
7. By-law No. 6779 is amended in section 9 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
8. By-law No. 7198 is amended in section 10 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
9. By-law Nos. 7156, 7200, 7232 and 7248 are each amended in section 11 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
10. By-law No. 6744 is amended in section 12 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
11. By-law Nos. 6747 and 6757 are both amended in section 13 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
12. By-law No. 5381 is amended in section 4.8.1 by
 - (a) deleting clause (d), and
 - (b) relettering clauses (e) and (f) as (d) and (e), respectively.
13. By-law No. 6533 is amended in section 5.6.1 by deleting clause (d).
14. By-law No. 6475 is amended in section 5.8.1 by deleting clause (d).
15. By-law No. 7006 is amended in section 7 by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.

16. By-law No. 7317 is amended in section 9 by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.

17. By-law No. 7461 is amended in section 9 of Schedule "B" by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.

18. By-law No. 7204 is amended in section 12 of Schedule "B" by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.

19. This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 11th day of
January , 1996.

"(signed) Jennifer Clarke"
Deputy Mayor

"(signed) Maria C. Kinsella"
City Clerk

"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 11th day of January 1996, and numbered 7515.

CITY CLERK"

Clause 1(a) and (b) (cont'd)

This development is also in keeping with Council's strategy of reducing traffic congestion by encouraging residential development in this area and reducing commuters. The application also provides for a substantial amount of bicycle parking within the new residential complex.

Staff Closing Comments

Staff offered no additional comments.

Council Decision

Prior to making a decision, several members of Council expressed the view that staff need to reconsider their approach when notifying residents about rezoning applications, as well as other City-related issues. Members of Council also referred to a previously requested report on waterfront tower height and Council expressed a desire to see this report as soon as possible.

MOVED by Cllr. Bellamy,

THAT this application be approved, subject to the conditions as set out in this minute of the Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Cllr. Price,

THAT the City Manager ensure that when the anticipated report from the Housing Centre on housing affordability comes back, it deals with the issues related to Triangle West and new neighbourhoods.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Balcony Enclosures and Acoustic Requirements

An application by the Director of Land Use and Development was considered as follows:

The proposed amendments to various zoning District Schedules, Official Development Plans and CD-1 Comprehensive Development District By-laws, would either:

- not allow any of the permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for enclosed balconies except in buildings existing prior to April 23, 1985 in which case the present regulations would apply; or

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

- continue to permit a maximum of 8 percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded form Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for balconies BUT to permit no more than half of excluded floor area to be enclosed; or
- permit no more than 8 percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for enclosed balconies.

The proposed acoustic amendments would delete the acoustic requirement for balconies, terraces, patios, etc.

Amended Balcony Enclosure Guidelines and Policies are also proposed.

The Director of Land Use and Development recommended approval of this application.

Staff Opening Comments

Mr. Ralph Segal, Planner, provided background on this issue and introduced the options before Council this evening.

In 1964, in order to improve livability in higher density multiple dwelling developments, open balconies were excluded from FSR to a maximum of eight percent of residential floor area. In the early 1980s, the City received numerous requests from owners of units in existing buildings to enclose their balconies for reasons of poor insulation and acoustics, air drafts and other interior problems. In response, Council in 1985 adopted balcony enclosure guidelines by which enclosed balconies would continue to be excluded from FSR.

Subsequently, in response to the development industry's request for equity, Council permitted this exclusion to apply to new construction, subject to adherence to the guidelines. Since then, new buildings have, to an increasing degree, incorporated enclosed balconies as additional interior space displacing the private open space, the open balconies, for which the FSR exclusion had been originally provided.

Since enclosed balcony space has been successfully marketed at the full per square foot price of the rest of the dwelling unit, many developers have been more and more aggressive in seeking the full eight percent exclusion for enclosed balconies. This differs from a mix of open and enclosed balconies that were anticipated when the exclusion was first put in place.

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

With the aid of photographs distributed to Council (on file in the City Clerk's Office), Mr. Segal explained that enclosure of most or all balconies bulks up buildings by filling in the volumes of open balconies and intends to create less residential, more office-like buildings. Exclusions from FSR are usually given to encourage developers to provide facilities that are considered important for livability but would likely not be provided without that incentive. In this case, bonuses are being permitted when they the negative affect of displacing the private open space for which the FSR exclusion was intended.

Recommendation A1 would eliminate the FSR exclusion for enclosed balconies except in the buildings existing prior to 1985, as per the original intent of the balcony enclosure provisions. Alternatively, should Council consider that enclosed balconies do have merit, A2 is offered which states that no more than half of the excluded balcony area may be enclosed. The third option, A3 is to simply allow outright the full eight percent exclusion to be enclosed.

This application also proposes an acoustic amendment. At present, acoustic requirements in many district schedules and CD-1 by-laws apply to standards in both rooms within the unit as well as exterior balconies and patios. As the current standard often requires balconies to be enclosed, even when this is not desired, the proposed amendment will delete this requirement. Mr. Segal also explained that amendments are proposed to the balcony enclosure guidelines which would delete provisions calling for easy conversion of enclosed balconies back to open balconies, as well as adding several additional clauses which will clarify the design intent in new construction.

Responding to a question from a member of Council, Mr. Segal advised of an error in the memorandum dated July 18, 1995 from the City Clerk, which referred this matter to Public Hearing. Recommendation A1 makes reference to excluding floor space ratio for enclosed balconies except in buildings existing prior to April 23, 1995. This should read April 23, 1985.

A member of Council enquired whether these guidelines would permit a style of balcony sometimes referred to a french balconies. Mr. Segal advised this style would not be permitted under the proposed guidelines.

Council members also enquired whether thresholds will still be required between the interior unit and the closed balconies. It was confirmed the proposed guidelines still contain this threshold requirement.

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

Correspondence

All correspondence received prior to this matter being referred to Public Hearing was included as Appendix E in the Council report. One additional letter stressing the need for more open balconies in Vancouver and another favouring option A2, were also received.

Speakers

The Mayor called for speakers for and against the application, and the following addressed Council.

Mr. Hans Schmidt, representing the Society of Soundscape Preservation, expressed concern with the proposed deletion of acoustic requirements, on the grounds that if these requirements are deleted, the City is simply accommodating the noise which exists and not attempting to eliminate or reduce it. A greater emphasis should be directed towards elimination of the source of noise.

Mr. Dugal Purdie, on behalf of the Urban Development Institute (UDI), indicated his support for option A2 as it represents an appropriate compromise. The UDI is strongly opposed to A1 as this would affect proformas upon which construction was predicated upon. Mr. Purdie urged Council to support recommendation A2 with an amendment to exclude the applicability of the guidelines to enclosed space, as the Institute believes the total design of the building should be left with the architects and reviewed through the existing development permit process, without the addition of guidelines.

Mr. Stuart Howard, on behalf of the Architectural Institute of British Columbia (AIBC), lent his support to option A2, as it represents a compromise position. AIBC would ultimately prefer option 5 as stated in its May 30, 1995 brief to Council, but is willing to accept the compromise position. Mr. Howard suggested the Planning Department is naive in its support of option A1 because apartments are now significantly smaller in size and the continued requirement of an open balcony would result in a small, unusable space.

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT the City continue to permit a maximum of eight percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for balconies, but to permit no more than half of excluded floor area to be enclosed;

FURTHER THAT the requirement that thresholds be included in enclosed balconies be removed.

- CARRIED

(Councillors Chiavario, Kwan and Price opposed)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT those District Schedules and CD-1 by-laws containing an acoustic regulation be amended, to delete the acoustic requirement for on-site open space (i.e., balconies, terraces, patios, etc.), generally as outlined in Appendix A of the Policy Report dated June 6, 1995.

- CARRIED

(Councillor Sullivan opposed)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,


THAT the Balcony Enclosure Guidelines and Policies, amended as noted in Appendix B of the Policy Report dated June 6, 1995, to reflect more practical utilization by residents, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT Council advise the Planning Department that it supports "French Balconies" where appropriate and that language be incorporated in the balcony regulations and/or guidelines that would encourage their provision.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY



CITY OF VANCOUVER

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

FEBRUARY 24, 2000

A Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Thursday, February 24, 2000, at 7:35 p.m., in Council Chambers, Third Floor, City Hall, for the purpose of holding a Public Hearing to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law and Official Development Plans.

PRESENT: Mayor Philip Owen
 Councillor Fred Bass
 Councillor Jennifer Clarke
 Councillor Daniel Lee
 Councillor Don Lee
 Councillor Sandy McCormick
 Councillor Sam Sullivan

ABSENT: Councillor Lynne Kennedy
 Councillor Tim Louis
 Councillor Gordon Price (Sick Leave)
 Councillor George Puil (Civic Business)

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE: Tarja Tuominen, Meeting Coordinator

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee,
 SECONDED by Cllr. Daniel Lee,

THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Owen in the Chair, to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law and Official Development Plans.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1. Text Amendments: District Schedules, Official Development Plans and

CD-1 By-laws - Floor Space Exclusions

[Barrett Commission]

An application by the Director of Current Planning was considered as follows:

Summary: The proposed text amendments would provide floor space exclusions to provide construction incentives to control building envelope leaks.

The Director of Current Planning recommended approval.

Staff Comments

Jacqui Forbes-Roberts, General Manager of Community Services, provided a brief introduction to the report, noting the proposed text amendments would affect new construction and repairs and restoration of existing buildings. Ms. Forbes-Roberts also requested an amendment to the proposed draft by-law to amend By-law 3575 to add RS1 to Section 4.7.3, (d).

Doug Watts, Building Envelope Specialist, with the aid of a slide presentation, described the specifics of the technical and different design issues of the proposed amendments, and explained what steps other municipalities have taken to address the recommendations arising from the Barrett Commission.

Summary of Correspondence

Council was advised the following correspondence was received since the date the application was referred to Public Hearing:

one letter in support of 'Option A'.

Speakers

Mayor Owen called for speakers for and against the application.

The following spoke in support of 'Option A':

John Fowler, Canadian Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institute
Bill McEwen, Masonry Institute of British Columbia (brief filed)
Peter Reese

The foregoing speakers supported 'Option A' based on one or more of the following points:

application of the current FSR calculations has prevented a wide-spread use of precast concrete exterior walls; there have been very few problems with the use of pre-cast concrete, which has proven to be a versatile and durable material;

thicker exterior walls are better walls, because they can include an airspace cavity behind the cladding which provides a "rainscreen" system, more efficient insulation, thicker, more durable cladding materials; current FSR calculations discourage the foregoing;

the proposed changes in FSR definitions will immediately encourage better wall design;

brick and stone-faced walls should be encouraged.

The following generally supported 'Option A' but felt the proposed text amendments should be referred back to staff for further study and discussion with the industry:

John O'Donnell, AIBC
Stuart Howard, Vancouver Planning Coalition

The following is a summary of the foregoing speakers' comments:

Option 'A' is supported in principle; however the text amendments also should address overhangs, balconies, elevated walkways, yard setbacks, and site coverage; staff should accept the electronic calculation of areas and the calculations of the Architect, given under seal; letters of assurance from a building envelope specialist are redundant at an early stage; the proposed text amendments should cover everything instead of the City issuing administrative bulletins to address further changes.

Staff Closing Comments

Ralph Segal, Planner; Eric Fiss, Planner; and Doug Watts responded to the issues raised by the speakers: the proposed text amendments are the result of a fair bit of consultation with the industry; a building envelope specialist is required to be involved in the process earlier as technical details are to be submitted at the development permit stage; staff are taking a further look at other issues, such as recesses, balconies and walkways.

Ms. Forbes-Roberts advised Council may proceed with the proposed amendments to the floor space exclusions and request staff to come back with additional amendments. Staff and the industry would prefer the FSR exclusions not be delayed.

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee,

A. THAT the application by the Director of Current Planning to amend various District Schedules, Official Development Plans and CD-1 By-laws to provide floor space exclusions to provide construction incentives to control building envelope leaks be approved.

FURTHER THAT the draft By-law 3575, section 4.7.3, be amended as follows:

(d) as clause (h) in the following district schedules:

RS-1 and RS-1S RT-4, etc.

(Italics denote amendment)

B. THAT staff report back on other aspects affecting leakage of buildings, such as overhangs, protection of upper balconies, recesses, etc.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

RISE FROM COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ADOPT REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Clarke,
SECONDED BY Cllr. Don Lee,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted, and the Director of Legal Services be instructed to prepare and bring forward the necessary by-law amendments.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Special Council adjourned at 9:20 p.m.

* * * * *



Comments or questions? You can send us [email](#).

[CITY HOMEPAGE](#)

[GET IN TOUCH](#)

[COMMUNITIES](#)

[SEARCH](#)

(c) 1998 City of Vancouver

EXPLANATION

**Zoning and Development
Various CD-1 by-laws**

Amendments re Exterior Wall Exclusion (Barrett Commission Recommendations)

Following a public hearing on February 24, 2000 Council approved an application, as noted above. There were no prior-to conditions and the Director of Current Planning has advised that the attached by-law can now be enacted to implement Council's resolution.

**Director of Legal Services
14 March 2000**

I:\BYLAWS\WPDOCS\PORTER\CD-1CONS.WPD

Exterior Wall Exclusion

BY-LAW NO. 8169

A By-law to amend
By-laws Nos.

3568 3632 3706 3712 3865 3869 3885 3897 3907 3914 3983 4037 4049 4085 4131
4238 4271 4358 4397 4412 4559 4580 4597 4634 4674 4677 4775 4825 4829 4860
4861 4900 4918 4926 4928 4930 4940 4954 4958 4999 5009 5011 5014 5028 5060
5091 5145 5179 5184 5222 5224 5229 5376 5343 5381 5383 5407 5411 5416 5418
5477 5510 5548 5555 5579 5597 5683 5702 5717 5762 5773 5810 5836 5838 5852
5863 5890 5927 5937 5950 5975 5976 5997 6009 6039 6041 6057 6063 6064 6070
6072 6117 6155 6161 6169 6180 6221 6245 6246 6254 6260 6263 6272 6277 6297
6305 6307 6310 6312 6313 6314 6315 6316 6317 6318 6319 6320 6321 6322 6323
6325 6361 6362 6363 6394 6420 6421 6423 6425 6427 6428 6429 6448 6449 6475
6486 6489 6528 6533 6538 6564 6577 6582 6594 6597 6654 6663 6676 6688 6710
6713 6714 6715 6718 6730 6731 6738 6739 6740 6744 6747 6757 6759 6760 6768
6779 6787 6817 6819 6827 6838 6876 6883 6884 6911 6919 6953 6962 6963 6965
7006 7045 7087 7091 7101 7114 7135 7155 7156 7157 7158 7159 7163 7164 7173
7174 7175 7189 7193 7196 7198 7200 7201 7204 7208 7209 7210 7223 7224 7230
7232 7235 7246 7248 7249 7317 7325 7337 7340 7371 7381 7389 7405 7419 7425
7431 7434 7435 7459 7461 7476 7516 7519 7522 7531 7551 7552 7556 7592 7601
7602 7638 7639 7645 7647 7648 7649 7651 7652 7654 7655 7656 7672 7673 7675
7677 7679 7681 7682 7684 7705 7715 7723 7820 7829 7834 7835 7852 7853 7879
7904 7927 7932 7948 7958 7971 7995 7996 8016 8034 8043 8055 8073 8082 8088
8097 8109 8111 8116 8130 8131

being By-laws which amended the
Zoning and Development By-law
by rezoning areas to CD-1

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting
assembled, enacts as follows:

1. By-law No. 3907 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

2. By-law No. 4412 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3. By-law No. 5376 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of subclause (iii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:

"(iv) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this subclause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

4. By-laws No. 4825 and 6325 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of subclause (ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:

"(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this subclause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

5. By-law No. 5343 is amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (iii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(iv) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000"

6. By-laws No. 4775, 4829, 5222, 5224, 5773 and 6039 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the

Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

7. By-laws No. 4085, 5411, and 5416 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

8. By-law No. 5407 is amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

9. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:

"3.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3568 3712 3885 4271 4358 4634 4674 4861 4900 4918 4926 4928
4930 4940 4958 4999 5009 5011 5014 5028 5060 5145 5179 5184
5229 5418 5477 5836 5838 5863 5937 5950 5975 5976 4954 6041
6064 6072 6117 6155 6161 6180 6245 6246 6260 6263 6277 6297
6305 6307 6394 6420 6425 6427 6428 6429 6448 6449 6489 6538
6577 6594 6564 6654 6663 6759 6760 6779 6876 6911

10. By-laws No. 6314 and 6582 are each amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the period from the end of clause (ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

11. By-law No. 6272 is amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the word "and" from the end of subclause (c)(i), by deleting the period from the end of subclause (c)(ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:

"(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

12. By-law No. 4580 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of the section and substituting it with a semi-colon, by relettering the existing text as clause (a) and by adding the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

13. By-law No. 6884 is amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the word "and" from the end of clause (a), by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

14. By-law No. 5683 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of this section and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor

space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

15. By-law No. 8088 is amended in Section 3.2 by adding the following clause:

"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

16. By-law No. 6009 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of subclause (e)(vii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

17. By-law No. 4677 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

18. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:

"3.3 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

4238 4860 5579 5717 5810 5852 5890 6057 6070 6310 6312 6313
6316 6320 6361 6363 6423 6528 6714 6715

19. By-law No. 7684 is amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (a) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

20. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the and from clause (a) and by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

7705 7459 7435 7434 7419 7389 6718

21. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

5458 5548 5597 6962 7045 7682

22. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3897 3983 5510 7144 7208 7476 7516 7820 7927 7996

23. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

" (f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

5091 6486 6676 6688 6713 6730 6787 6817 7159 7337 7531 7552
7556 7645 7652 7715 7835 7971 8111

24. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

4391 4049 4397 4597 6421 6710 6731 6738 6739 6740 6768
6827 6838 6919 6953 6963 6965 7006 7091 7092 7101 7135
7155 7157 7158 7163 7166 7175 7189 7193 7196 7198 7210
7223 7224 7230 7325 7340 7381 7519 7551 7602 7638 7639
7647 7651 7655 7723 7932 7948 8082

25. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3869 7173 7522 7601 7656 7672 7834 7852 7853 7904 7958

26. By-laws No. 4559, 7209, 7425 and 7431 are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

27. By-laws No. 5997 and 7829 are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (i) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(j) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

28. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:

"3.4 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

5762 5927 6315 6317 6318 6319 6321 6323 6362

29. By-law No. 7980 is amended

(a) in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.", and

(b) in Section 3.7 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

30. By-laws No. 7087 and 7174 are each amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

31. By-law No. 7246 is amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

32. By-laws No. 8034, 8043 and 8116 are each amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

33. By-laws No. 6322 and 6597 are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:

"3.5 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

34. By-law No. 8016 is amended in Section 3.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

35. By-law No. 8055 is amended in Section 3.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

36. By-law No. 8130 is amended in Section 3.6 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

37. By-law No. 7648 is amended in Section 3.6 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

38. By-laws No. 6063 and 6221 are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:

"4.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

39. By-law No. 5555 is amended in Section 4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

40. By-law No. 5705 is amended in Section 4 by adding the following section:

"4.3 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

41. By-law No. 7371 is amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (a) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

42. By-law No. 7249 is amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

43. By-laws No. 5702 and 7673 are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

44. By-laws No. 6819 and 7238 are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

45. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3632 3706 4131 7649 7995 8073 8097

46. By-law No. 5381 is amended in Section 4.3.3 by adding after the existing text the following:

" - where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

47. By-law No. 7592 is amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

48. By-law No. 6883 is amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

49. By-laws No. 4037 and 7405 are each amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum

exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

50. By-law No. 7201 is amended in Section 4.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

51. By-law No. 5383 is amended in Section 5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

52. By-law No. 6533 is amended in Section 5.2.4 by deleting the period at the end of the existing text and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following:

" - where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

53. By-law No. 7654 is amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

54. By-law No. 7677 is amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum

exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

55. By-laws No. 7675, 7681 and 8109 are each amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

56. By-laws No. 3865 and 6475 are each amended in Section 5.3.3 by deleting the period from the end of the existing text and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following:

" - where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

57. By-law No. 7879 is amended in Section 5.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

58. By-law No. 8131 is amended in Section 5.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (j) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(k) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

59. By-law No. 6169 is amended in Section 6 by adding the following section:

"6.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the

Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

60. By-law No. 7679 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

61. By-law No. 7317 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

62. By-laws No. 7156, 7200, and 7232 are each amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

63. By-law No. 7461 is amended in Section 6.3 of Schedule B by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

64. By-law No. 7248 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (i) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(j) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

65. By-law No. 6744 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (j) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(k) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

66. By-laws No. 6747 and 7204 are each amended in Section 7.3 of Schedule B, by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

67. By-law No. 6757 is amended in Section 7.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

68. By-law No. 6254 is amended in Section 8 by deleting the period from the end of the second clause (a), which clause ends with the word "computation", and substituting a semi-colon and by inserting the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000;"

69.

This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 14th day of March , 2000.

(Signed) Philip W. Owen
Mayor

(Signed) Ulli S. Watkiss
City Clerk

"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 14th day of March 2000, and numbered 8169.

CITY CLERK"



CITY OF VANCOUVER
SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
NOVEMBER 20, 2003

A Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Thursday, November 20, 2003, at 7:30 p.m., in the Council Chamber, Third Floor, City Hall, for the purpose of holding a Public Hearing to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law, Official Development Plans, Sign By-law and to designate property as protected heritage property and to enter into a Heritage Revitalization Agreement.

PRESENT: Mayor Larry Campbell
Councillor David Cadman
Councillor Peter Ladner
Councillor Raymond Louie
Councillor Tim Louis
Councillor Anne Roberts
Councillor Tim Stevenson
*Councillor Sam Sullivan
Councillor Ellen Woodsworth

ABSENT: Councillor Fred Bass (Leave of Absence - Civic Business)
Councillor Jim Green (Civic Business)

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE: Laura Kazakoff, Meeting Coordinator

*Denotes absence for a portion of the meeting.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Councillor Louis
SECONDED by Councillor Cadman

THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Campbell in the Chair, to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law, Official Development Plans, Sign By-law, to designate property as protected heritage property and to enter into a Heritage Revitalization Agreement.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1. Text Amendment: Zoning and Development By-law, CD-1s, and Official Development Plans

An application by the Director of Current Planning was considered as follows:

Summary: Housekeeping amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law, including CD-1s and Official Development Plans.

The Director of Current Planning recommended approval.

Staff Comments

Dave Thomsett, Senior Planner, Rezoning Centre, was present to respond to questions.

Summary of Correspondence

No correspondence was received since the date the application was referred to Public Hearing.

Speakers

The Mayor called for speakers for and against the application and none were present.

Council Decision

MOVED by Councillor Louis

THAT the application by the Director of Current Planning to amend the Zoning & Development By-law, CD-1 By-laws and Official Development Plans generally as set out in Appendices A & B of the Policy Report "Miscellaneous Text Amendments: Zoning and Development By-law, CD-1 By-laws, and Official Development Plans, Zoning and Development Fee By-law and Policies and Guidelines" dated September 23, 2003, be approved.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
(Councillor Sullivan absent for the vote)

BY-LAWS

MOVED by Councillor Cadman
SECONDED by Councillor Louie

THAT Council, except for those members excused as noted in the agenda, enact the by-laws listed on the agenda for this meeting as numbers 1 to 11 inclusive, and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to sign and seal the enacted by-laws.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1. A By-law to amend Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 (re miscellaneous text amendments) (By-law No. 8758)
2. A By-law to amend By-law Nos. 6180, 6320, and 8587 which amended Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 by rezoning certain areas to CD-1 (By-law No. 8759)
3. A By-law to amend miscellaneous CD-1 By-laws (re miscellaneous text and residential storage amendments) (By-law 8760)

(Councillors Bass, Green and Sullivan excused from voting)

4. A By-law to amend Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 (re miscellaneous text and residential storage amendments) (By-law 8761)

(Councillors Bass, Green and Sullivan excused from voting)

5. A By-law to amend Downtown District Official Development Plan By-law No. 4912, Downtown-Eastside/Oppenheimer District Official Development Plan By-law No. 5532, and Southeast Granville Slopes Official Development Plan By-law No. 5752 (By-law 8762)

(Councillors Bass, Green and Sullivan excused from voting)

6. A By-law to amend Solid Waste and Recycling By-law No. 8417 to regulate certain 2004 utility fees and miscellaneous amendments (By-law 8763)

7. A By-law to amend Water Works By-law No. 4848 (2004 Fees and miscellaneous amendments) (By-law 8764)

8. A By-law to authorize the borrowing of certain sums of money from January 8, 2004 to January 7, 2005 pending the collection of real property taxes (By-law No. 8765)

9. A By-law to amend By-law No. 8093, being the Sewer and Watercourse By-law (Establishment of 2004 Sewer Use and Connection Rates) (By-law No. 8766)

10. A By-law to amend License By-law No. 4450 re 2004 marina operator fee increases

(By-law No. 8767)

11. A By-law to amend Impounding By-law No. 3519 (2004 Charges re Towing Service Contract) (By-law 8768)

Special needs residential facilities

BY-LAW NO. 9674

A By-law to amend CD-1 By-law No.'s 3869, 3897, 4271, 4580, 4634, 4671, 5343, 6041, 6070 6072, 6919, 7114, 7193, 7196, 7204, 7210, 7461, 7647, 7679, 7682, 7723, 7852, 8055, 8088, 8111, 8326, 8369, 8457, 8479, 8546, 8880, 9190, 9204, 9454, 9463, 9573, 9594, and 9600

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in public meeting, enacts as follows:

- From each of the CD-1 by-laws listed in Column 1 of Table 1, Council strikes out, from each of the sections listed in Column 2, the words set out in Column 3, and variations of those words, and substitutes the words set out in Column 4:

Table 1

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4
3869	2 (b) 5.1 (a)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care - Class B	Community Care Facility - Class B
3897	2 (c) 6 (a)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care - Class B	Community Care Facility - Class B
4271	2 (a)	special needs residential facility (Community Care Facility)	Community Care Facility
4580	3.1	Special Needs Residential Facility: Community Care Facility	Community Care Facility
4634	2 (e)	special needs residential facility (community care facility)	community care facility
	3 Table A	Special Needs Residential Facilities (Community Care Facilities)	Community Care Facilities
	4(c)	special needs residential facility	community care facility
4671	2.2 (a)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care - Class B, and Special Needs Residential Facility - Group Living	Community Care Facility - Class B and Group Residence
5343	2 (a)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care - Class B	Community Care Facility - Class B

6041	2 (c)	Special needs residential facility - community care - Class B	Community care facility - class B
6070	2 (a) (iii)	special - needs residential facility	community care facility or group residence
6072	2 (b)	and Special Needs Residential Facility	Community Care Facility and Group Residence
6919	2 (a)	Special Needs Residential Facility (Community Care Facility)	Community Care Facility
7114	2 (b)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care - Class B	Community Care Facility - Class B
7193	2 (a)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care	Community Care Facility
7196	2 (a)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care - Class B	Community Care Facility - Class B
7204	10 (b) (iv)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care - Class B	Community Care Facility - Class B
7210	2 (a)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care - Class B	Community Care Facility - Class B
7461	6.4 (b)	and special needs residential uses	community care facilities and group residences
7647	2.1 (a)	Special Needs Residential Facilities	of the following: Community Care Facilities or Group Residences
7679	4.1 (d)	and Special Needs Residential Facility	, Community Care Facility and Group Residence
7682	2 (e)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care - Class B	Community Care Facility - Class B
	10 (d)	Special Needs Residential Facility	Community Care Facility
7723	2 (a)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care - Class B	Community Care Facility - Class B
7852	2.1 (b)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Class B	Community Care Facility - Class B
	5	Special Needs Residential Facility	Community Care Facility
8055	2 (a)	Special Needs Residential Facility	Community Care Facility and Group Residence
8088	2 (b)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care - Class B	Community Care Facility - Class B

8111	2 (a) 5.1	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care - Class B	Community Care Facility - Class B
8326	2 (b)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Group Living	Group Residence
8369	2 (a)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community Care - Class B	Community Care Facility - Class B
8457	2 (b)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Community care - Class B	Community Care Facility - Class B
8479	2 (b)	Special Needs Residential Facility - Group Living	Group Residence
8546	2.2 (b)	and Special Needs Residential Facility	Community Care Facility and Group Residence
8880	3	Special Needs Residential Facility	Community Care Facility, Group Residence
9190	3 (c)	and Special Needs Residential Facility	Community Care Facility and Group Residence
9204	3 (b)	and Special Needs Residential Facility	Community Care Facility and Group Residence
9454	3.2 (c)	and Special Needs Residential Facility	Community Care Facility and Group Residence
9463	3.2 (b)	and Special Needs Residential Facility	Community Care Facility and Group Residence
9573	2.2 (b)	and Special Needs Residential Facility	Community Care Facility and Group Residence
9594	3.2 (c)	and Special Needs Residential Facility	Community Care Facility and Group Residence
9600	3.2 (b)	and Special Needs Residential Facility	Community Care Facility and Group Residence

2. A decision by a court that any part of this By-law is illegal, void, or unenforceable severs that part from this By-law, and is not to affect the balance of this By-law.

3. This By-law is to come into force and take effect on the date of its enactment.

ENACTED by Council this 24th day of June, 2008

Mayor

City Clerk

EXPLANATION

A By-law to amend certain CD-1 By-laws re special needs residential facilities

After the public hearing on June 10, 2008, Council resolved to amend certain CD-1 By-laws to refer to community care facilities rather than special needs residential facilities. The Director of Planning has advised that there are no prior-to conditions, and enactment of the attached by-law will implement Council's resolution.

Director of Legal Services
June 24, 2008

17. **A By-law to authorize Council entering into a Heritage Revitalization Agreement with the Owner of Heritage Property (re 2978 West 5th Avenue) (By-law No. 9687)**
(Councillors Ball, Cadman and Louie ineligible to vote)
18. **A By-law to designate certain real property as protected heritage property (re 2978 West 5th Avenue) (By-law No. 9688)**
(Councillors Ball, Cadman and Louie ineligible to vote)

MOVED by Councillor Lee
SECONDED by Councillor Stevenson

THAT Council, except for those members excused as noted in the agenda, enact the by-laws listed on the agenda for this meeting as numbers 3 to 7, 9, 10 and 16, and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to sign and seal the enacted by-laws.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
(Councillor Louie absent for the vote)

3. **A By-law to amend Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 (re special needs residential facilities) (By-law No. 9673)**
(Councillors Anton, Ball, Capri and Louie ineligible to vote)
4. **A By-law to amend certain CD-1 By-laws re special needs residential facilities (By-law No. 9674)**
(Councillors Anton, Ball, Capri and Louie ineligible to vote)
5. **A By-law to amend Downtown-Eastside/Oppenheimer Official Development Plan By-law No. 5532 (re special needs residential facilities) (By-law No. 9675)**
(Councillors Anton, Ball, Capri and Louie ineligible to vote)
6. **A By-law to amend First Shaughnessy Official Development Plan By-law No. 5546 (re special needs residential facilities) (By-law No. 9676)**
(Councillors Anton, Ball, Capri and Louie ineligible to vote)
7. **A By-law to amend By-law No. 9488 Regarding Areas of Real Property in Certain RM, FM, and CD-1 Zoning Districts (re special needs residential facilities) (By-law No. 9677)**
(Councillors Anton, Ball, Capri and Louie ineligible to vote)
9. **A By-law to authorize Council entering into a Heritage Revitalization Agreement with the Owner of Heritage Property (re 125 Boundary Road) (By-law No. 9679)**
(Councillors Anton, Ball, Capri and Louie ineligible to vote)
10. **A By-law to designate certain real property as protected heritage property (re 125 Boundary Road) (By-law No. 9680)**
(Councillors Anton, Ball, Capri and Louie ineligible to vote)

ADOPT REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Councillor Lee
SECONDED by Councillor Deal

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BY-LAWS

MOVED by Councillor Anton
SECONDED by Councillor Stevenson

THAT Council, except for those members excused as noted in the agenda, enact the by-laws listed on the agenda for this meeting as numbers 1, 2, 8, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17 and 18, and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to sign and seal the enacted by-laws.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
(Councillor Louie absent for the vote)

1. **A By-law to contract a debt by the issue and sale of debentures in the aggregate principal amount of \$5,722.78 for certain local improvement lane lighting projects, and for imposing an annual special rate on real property specially benefited by such local improvements (By-law No. 9671)**
2. **A By-law to contract a debt by the issue and sale of debentures in the aggregate principal amount of \$554,777.99 for certain local improvement street work projects, including pavement, curbs, trees and bulges, and lane pavement and for imposing an annual special rate on real property specially benefited by such local improvements (By-law No. 9672)**
8. **A By-law to amend Parking By-law No. 6059 (re special needs residential facilities) (By-law No. 9678)**
11. **A By-law to amend Subdivision By-law No. 5208 regarding miscellaneous amendments (By-law No. 9681)**
12. **A By-law to amend Parking By-law No. 6059 (re bicycle spaces) (By-law No. 9682)**
14. **A By-law to amend Subdivision By-law No. 5208 (re 5475 Dunbar Street and 3625 and 3641 West 39th Avenue) (By-law No. 9684)**
15. **A By-law to amend CD-1 By-law No. 8131 (re Great Northern Way Campus) (By-law No. 9685)**

4. TEXT AMENDMENT: SNRF ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT BY-LAW REVISIONS

An application by the Director of Planning was considered as follows:

Summary: To amend the definitions of Special Need Residential Facilities (SNRF) in the Zoning & Development By-law and make consequential changes to the Zoning & Development By-law and relevant CD-1 By-laws; amend references in the Downtown Eastside/Oppenheimer, Rental Housing Stock and First Shaughnessy Official Development Plan By-laws; and amend the Parking By-law. These are housekeeping amendments to update the definitions.

The Directors of Social Planning, Planning, Development Services, Housing Centre, Legal Services, and General Manager of Engineering Services recommended approval.

Also before Council was a memorandum from Anne Kloppenborg, Social Planning, dated May 20, 2008, which noted an addition to Appendix B of the Policy Report "Special Needs Residential Facilities: Amendments to Definitions and Guidelines" dated April 15, 2008, in order to conform with the draft By-laws prepared by staff.

Staff Opening Comments

Anne Kloppenborg, Social Planning, reviewed the application, including the memo distributed with the agenda package for the Public Hearing.

Summary of Correspondence

No correspondence had been received on this application since referral to Public Hearing.

Speakers

Sister Elizabeth Kelliher and Johnn Olldym spoke in opposition to the application.

Council Decision

MOVED by Councillor Chow

- A. THAT the application to:
 - i) amend the definitions of Special Needs Residential Facilities in section 2 of the Zoning and Development By-law, and make the necessary consequential changes to the Zoning and Development By-law and to the CD-1 By-laws listed in Appendix A; and
 - ii) amend references to "Special Needs Residential Facilities" in the Downtown Eastside/Oppenheimer, Rental Housing Stock and First Shaughnessy Official Development Plan By-laws,

generally in accordance with Appendix A and B to Policy Report "Special Needs Residential Facilities: Amendments to Definitions and Guidelines", dated April 15, 2008, be approved with the following changes to Appendix B noted in the Memorandum dated May 20, 2008, from Anne Kloppenborg, Social Planning:

In Section 1.1 of the Official Development Plan Regarding Areas of Real Property in Certain RM, FM, and CD-1 Zoning Districts, delete *special needs residential facility* and substitute *community care facility or group residence*; and in Section 2.5, delete the reference to *Special Needs Residential Facility Guidelines* and substitute *Community Care Facility and Group Residence Guidelines*.

- B. THAT Council approve amendments to the Parking By-law, generally in accordance with Appendix C to Policy Report "Special Needs Residential Facilities: Amendments to Definitions and Guidelines", dated April 15, 2008.
- C. THAT if approved at Public Hearing, the by-laws be accompanied at the time of enactment by the Community Care Facilities and Group Residences Guidelines and the Application Procedures for Development Permits for Community Care Facilities and Group Residences, as outlined in Appendix D to Policy Report "Special Needs Residential Facilities: Amendments to Definitions and Guidelines", dated April 15, 2008.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY