



City of Vancouver *Zoning and Development By-law*
Community Services, 453 W. 12th Ave Vancouver, BC V5Y 1V4 ☎ 604.873.7344 fax 873.7060
planning@city.vancouver.bc.ca

CD-1 (304)

*5709 Wales Street
2672 East 41st Avenue
By-law No. 7173*

(Being a By-law to Amend By-law 3575, being the Zoning and Development By-law)

Effective September 14, 1993

(Amended up to and including By-law No.8760, dated December 9, 2003)

1 *[Section 1 is not reprinted here. It contains a standard clause amending Schedule D (Zoning District Plan) to reflect this rezoning to CD-1.]*

2 **Uses**

The area shown included within the heavy black outline on Schedule “A” shall be more particularly described as CD-1(304), and the only uses permitted within the outlined area, subject to such conditions as Council may by resolution prescribe, and the only uses for which development permits will be issued are:

- (a) Multiple Dwelling containing family dwelling units eligible for government funding as of September 14, 1993;
- (b) Child Day Care Facility; and
- (c) Accessory Uses customarily ancillary to the above uses.

3 **Floor Space Ratio**

3.1 The floor space ratio shall not exceed 0.95. For the purpose 3.1 of computing floor space ratio, the site shall be all parcels covered by this By-law, and shall be deemed to be 2 197.4 m², being the site size at time of application for rezoning, prior to any dedications.

3.2 The following shall be included in the computation of floor space ratio:

- (a) all floors having a minimum ceiling height of 1.2 m, including earthen floor, both above and below ground level, to be measured to the extreme outer limits of the building; and
- (b) stairways, fire escapes, elevator shafts and other features which the Director of Planning considers similar, to be measured by their gross cross-sectional areas and included in the measurements for each floor at which they are located.

3.3 The following shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio:

- (a) open residential balconies or sundecks, and any other appurtenances which, in the opinion of the Director of Planning, are similar to the foregoing;
- (b) covered verandahs, porches or inset balconies, provided that the side facing a street or the rear property line is open and the height of the guard rails does not exceed the minimum specified in the Building By-law;
- (c) patios and roof gardens, provided that the Director of Planning first approves the design of sunroofs and walls;
- (d) where floors are used for off-street parking and loading, bicycle storage, heating and mechanical equipment, or uses which in the opinion of the Director of Planning are similar to the foregoing, those floors or portions thereof so used, which are at or below the base surface, provided that the maximum exclusion for a parking space shall not exceed 7.3 m in length;
- (e) amenity areas, including recreation facilities and meeting rooms, to a maximum total of 10 percent of the total building floor area;
- (f) areas of undeveloped floors located above the highest storey or half-storey, or adjacent to a storey or half-storey, with a ceiling height of less than 1.2 m, and to which there is no permanent means of access other than a hatch; and
- (g) all residential storage space above or below base surface, except that if the residential storage space above base surface exceeds 3.7 m² per dwelling unit, there will be no exclusion for any of the residential storage space above base surface for that unit; [8760; 03 12 09]
- (h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000. [8169; 00 03 14]

Note: *Information included in square brackets [] identifies the by-law numbers and dates for the amendments to By-law No. 7173 or provides an explanatory note.*

3.4 The Director of Planning may permit the following to be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio:

- (a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure subject to the following:
 - (i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area being provided; and
 - (ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed.

[7512; 96 01 11]

4 Height

The maximum building height measured above the base surface shall be 11.3 m and the building shall not extend beyond 3 storeys.

5 Off-street Parking

Off-street parking shall be provided, developed and maintained in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Parking By-law, except that a minimum of 2 off-street parking spaces for every 3 employees shall be provided for the Child Day Care Facility and shall either be located on the site or on an adjacent site secured by a covenant or other instrument satisfactory to the Director-of Legal Services.

6 Acoustics


All development permit applications shall require evidence in the form of a report and recommendations prepared by a person trained in acoustics and current techniques of noise measurement demonstrating that the noise levels in those portions of the dwelling units listed below shall not exceed the noise level set opposite such portions. For the purposes of this section the noise level is the A-weighted 24-hour equivalent (Leq) sound level and will be defined simply as noise level in decibels.

Portions of Dwelling Units	Noise Level (Decibels)
bedrooms	35
living, dining, recreation rooms	40
kitchen, bathrooms, hallways	45

[7515; 96 01 11]

7 *[Section 7 is not reprinted here. It contains a standard clause including the Mayor and City Clerk's signatures to pass the by-law and to certify the by-law number and date of enactment.]*

BY-LAW No. 7173 BEING A BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 3575
BEING THE ZONING & DEVELOPMENT BY-LAW



The property outlined in black () is rezoned:
From **RS-1** To **CD-1**

Z-409 (b)

RZ-5709 Wales Street

City of Vancouver Planning Department

sectional: U-19

map: 1 of 1

scale: 1:2000



Clause No. 3 cont'd

(ii) Any consequential amendments.

The Director of Planning recommended approval.

There was no correspondence received on this matter.

Mr. Dave Thomsett, Planner, advised a small area of 43 lots west of Nanaimo Street was missed during the City-wide program of neighbourhood reviews. In response to a standard opinion survey, 53% of respondents supported the rezoning. No letters or telephone calls were received from this survey. Therefore, the Director of Planning recommended rezoning the area in accordance with past practice.

MOVED by Cllr. Bellamy,

THAT the application be approved, as set out in this Minute of the Public Hearing.

- CARRIED

(Councillors Davies, Eriksen, Rankin and Wilson opposed)

4. Rezoning: 5709 Wales Street and 2672 East 41st Avenue

An application by Ms. Linda Baker, Architect, was considered as follows:

REZONING: 5709 WALES STREET AND 2672 EAST 41ST AVENUE (Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 5, D.L. 721, L.D. 336, Plan 1828)

Present Zoning: RS-1 One-Family Dwelling District

Proposed Zoning: CD-1 Comprehensive Development District

(i) If approved, the rezoning would permit use and development of the site generally as follows:

- 19 family rental townhouse units;
- child day care facility;
- accessory uses;
- maximum floor space ratio of 0.95;
- maximum building height of 11.2 m (37 ft.);
- provisions regarding off-street parking.

(ii) Any consequential amendments.

Clause No. 4 cont'd

The Director of Planning recommended approval, subject to the following conditions proposed for adoption by Council:

- (a) THAT the proposed form of development be approved by Council in principle, generally as prepared by Linda Baker, Architect, and stamped "Received City Planning Department October 15, 1992", provided that the Director of Planning may allow minor alterations to this form of development when approving the detailed scheme of development as outlined in (b) below.
- (b) THAT, prior to approval by Council of the form of development, the applicant shall obtain approval of a development application by the Director of Planning, who shall have particular regard to the following:
 - (i) further design development to provide improved compatibility with surrounding one-family dwelling area, particularly its traditional front yard landscape character, by visually expanding the public realm onto the site, including compact private open spaces screened with planting and low fences;
 - (ii) relocation and retention of the "B" category heritage house, with replacement and new doors, windows, siding, trim and related elements to be of wood material and detailed in a manner consistent with the original architectural style;
 - (iii) further design development to achieve a more sympathetic relationship between new development and the Craftsman-style bungalow which is to be retained on the site, as advised by Urban Design Panel and Heritage Advisory Committee, with particular attention given to the balconies and fences;
 - (iv) provision of bicycle parking as per City Council guidelines of December 5, 1992 and provision of parking for the disabled to the satisfaction of the City Engineer; and
 - (v) a landscape and tree management plan to maximize open space use and livability, and to retain the mature trees on the site.

Clause No. 4 cont'd

- (c) THAT, prior to enactment of the by-law, the registered owner shall make suitable arrangements, to the satisfaction of the Medical Health Officer and the Director of Planning, for the shared use of playground space by the tenants and the child day care facility.
- (d) THAT, prior to enactment of the By-law, the registered owner shall make suitable arrangements, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer, to provide the following at no cost to the City:
 - (i) undergrounding of all electrical and telephone services within and adjacent the site from the closest existing suitable service point;
 - (ii) the consolidation of the site; and
 - (iii) dedication of 5.18 m (17 ft.) from the north side of Lot 3 for eventual street widening.
- (e) THAT, prior to enactment of the CD-1 By-law, the registered owner shall make arrangements to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning to obtain, at no cost to the City, designation of the "B" category heritage building at 5709 Wales Street under Schedule B of the City's Heritage By-law.
- (f) THAT, if approved at Public Hearing, the by-law be accompanied at the time of enactment by amendment to the Subdivision By-law to delete the site from the maps forming part of Table 1 of Schedule A.

Clause No. 4 cont'd

The agenda material included the following summary of the proposed changes:

	Current Status	Proposed Amendments (if approved)
Zone	RS-1	CD-1
Use	One-Family Dwelling Family Suite, Institutional	Dwelling Units Child Day Care
Maximum FSR	0.60	0.95
Maximum Height	9.2 m (30.2 ft.)	11.3 m (36.9 ft.)

Also circulated to Council, prior to the meetings, was correspondence received by the City Clerk (on file in the City Clerk's Office).

Included in the summary of the correspondence was:

- a petition opposing the application, containing 275 signatures;
- 239 questionnaires opposing the application (samples of the petition and questionnaire are on file in the City Clerk's Office);
- a brief prepared by the Neighbourhood Committee of concerned residents, outlining their objections to the proposal;
- 3 letters opposed (one containing 19 signatures);
- 8 letters of support.

Clause No. 4 cont'd

Mr. Phil Mondor, Planner, advised the application proposes construction of 19 non-market townhouses. This additional housing has a number of public benefits:

- The additional housing being proposed is badly required, particularly rental family housing. On this site, two existing units would be removed, but a net addition of 17 dwelling units would result. The land in question is owned by a church, and a project of this type is consistent with Council's desire to see housing develop on public land.

The Director of Planning feels this application would provide housing choice and variety in a neighbourhood that presently does not offer much housing choice to its residents. It would result in a slightly higher density in the area, but the site is located on a transit corridor, which will accommodate Council's environmental policies. The project is proposed by the British Columbia Housing Foundation, and would have no negative impacts on the livability of adjacent dwellings and the surrounding neighbourhood. The application would still retain the single-family housing character of the neighbourhood.

- This proposed development also includes a daycare facility, and this area is severely deficient in child daycare. There is presently an unlicensed babysitting service available in the church, but this new facility would be licensed under Provincial regulations.
- The project would ensure the retention of the "B" category heritage house. The "B" category relates to houses which have individual heritage merit.
- The project proposes to retain the major trees on the site.

Clause No. 4 cont'd

Mr. Mondor also addressed some of the concerns that have been cited by the neighbourhood:

- The neighbourhood stated they prefer only single-family dwellings in the area. Mr. Mondor clarified this was a different issue than the RS-1S earlier zoning review that was completed in the neighbourhood, but the residents have not made this distinction. The residents do not want low cost/government-subsidized housing, or a daycare centre. Staff feel government participation is necessary to create affordable housing.
- The residents oppose any increase in an already dense population, but Mr. Mondor indicated the density is low in this area compared the Downtown and West End. He also noted this rezoning application refers to one small site in a very large neighbourhood.
- There was also concern the rezoning would create further parking and traffic problems, as the amount of parking required for the project was one-half of the amount usually required for market housing development. However, City statistics have proven non-market housing developments have less car ownership and, therefore, require less parking.
- There was concern the educational system in the neighbourhood could not accommodate the increased number of children, and the project should be located elsewhere to enable children to have an equal chance at a good education. Staff are satisfied schools in the area can accommodate the needs of this project. It is anticipated that approximately 27 school-age children will live on this site.
- It was felt proper co-op housing should be built at a location more suited to its purpose. Mr. Mondor reviewed the non-market housing projects in the vicinity of the rezoning, and advised four projects were in existence, and two of these were seniors projects.
- The neighbourhood was also concerned this project would result in steadily declining property values in the area, and the Planning Department does not agree, although it is acknowledged that it may take longer for an individual to sell a house in the vicinity of the project.

Clause No. 4 cont'd

Mr. Michael Jacobsen, President, B.C. Housing Foundation, spoke on behalf of the applicant. The B.C. Housing Foundation was founded in 1952, and presently manages 13 buildings throughout the Vancouver area. It provides 400 residential units for seniors and families, and has a staff complement of four people who monitor the buildings on a regular basis. The funding for this project will be obtained from the Federal and Provincial Governments, and has already been allocated.

Ms. Linda Baker, Architect, described a plan of the proposed 19 housing units, and advised the present scheme is far different from the original scheme as a result of neighbourhood input. She outlined the changes that have been made to the project and compared the present scheme to the RS-1 envelopes.

The Mayor then called for speakers, and 47 delegations addressed the Public Hearing over the course of the two meetings. Fourteen delegations were in favour of the rezoning application, while 33 delegations were opposed. A Cantonese interpreter was available to assist members of the public. Those in support of the project were:

- Rev. Art Griffin, 3300 Block West Broadway
- Peter Schaub, 2100 Block East 43rd Avenue
- Ron Yamauchi, 2200 Block East 61st Avenue
- Robert Burkart, 3900 Block Glendale Street
- John Morley, 6600 Block Killarney Street
- Ted Glittenberg, 8700 Block Montcalm Street
- Anne Christensen, 5500 Block Earles Street
- Elizabeth Knightingale, 5500 Block Wales Street
- Margaret Konesky, 1000 Block East 52nd Avenue
- Mamie Palmu, 2600 Block East 49th Avenue
- Peter Ashmore, 3900 Block Main Street
- Janet Morley, 6600 Block Killarney Street
- Hellen Cove, 100 Block West Hastings Street
- Bob Thomas, 5500 Block Patterson Street.

The foregoing speakers supported the application on one or more of the following grounds:

- The community desperately needs more affordable housing. The non-market housing units will be filled with people already living in the area, and not people from outside the area as is feared by those opposed to the project.

Clause No. 4 cont'd

- There is a need for additional daycare facilities in the area, and a licensed care facility can be operated with minimal negative impact on the neighbourhood.
- Many changes have occurred in the neighbourhood over the years, and these changes have always been synonymous with growth. Affordable family housing is a positive, rather than a negative change.
- First Lutheran Church is attempting to use its property in a manner that will serve the neighbourhood. This project represents a rational approach to maximizing the potential benefits of the church-owned property.
- Vancouver residents should not be denied the opportunity to live in affordable housing. Residents of these projects look after their units and benefit from a sense of community inherent in this type of development. They allow people to get a start in life.

Those opposed to the application were:

- Frank Chow, 5900 Block Wales Street
- Joseph Davidson, 5700 Block Clarendon Street
- May Wong, 2700 Block East 43rd Avenue
- Joe Wong, 2700 Block East 43rd Avenue
- Mary Kwan, 2600 Block East 41st Avenue
- Yen Kwan, 2600 Block East 41st Avenue
- Yuet Hing Law, 2500 Block East 43rd Avenue
- Linda Kwan, 2600 Block East 41st Avenue
- Raymond Kwan, 2600 Block East 41st Avenue
- Alan Uyeno, 2600 Block East 42nd Avenue
- Christina Phua, 5700 Block Clarendon Street
- Lawrence Truong, 5700 Block Clarendon Street
- F.M. Chan, 5700 Block Wales Street
- Edna Wells, 5700 Block Clarendon Street
- Charles Fung, 2500 Block East 43rd Avenue
- Johnny Chong, 2500 Block East 42nd Avenue
- Louie Yip, 2600 Block East 42nd Avenue
- John Chow, 2500 Block East 42nd Avenue
- Mui Chow, 2500 Block East 42nd Avenue
- Pat Vacca, 2700 Block East 44th Avenue
- Louis Chow, 2400 Block East 40th Avenue
- Peter Lee, 5700 Block Rhodes Street
- Kee Wan Ho, 5700 Block Earles Street

Clause No. 4 cont'd

- Kwai Hay Ho, 5700 Block Earles Street
- Kwok Wai Mak, 5700 Block Clarendon Street
- Michael Wan, 2500 Block East 43rd Avenue
- Stephen Ho, 5300 Block Dumfries Street
- Lancy P. Ho, 5300 Block Dumfries Street
- Burnett Turpin, 5700 Block Wales Street
- Richard Nantel, 7700 Block Muirfield Crescent
- Frank Potter, 5600 Block Wales Street
- Tracy Mak, 5700 Block Clarendon Street
- Karley Kyryluk, 5700 Block Wales Street.

The foregoing speakers opposed the application on one or more of the following grounds:

- Many of the supporters of the project do not live in the area, and will not be affected by the project.
- The majority of the neighbourhood is opposed to the project, and 92% of the respondents to a recent questionnaire were opposed to this form of development. City Council must reflect the views of the people and reject the rezoning proposal.
- In February 1992, the neighbourhood rejected a rezoning proposal which would have permitted secondary suites in their neighbourhood. It is inappropriate for this rezoning application to be brought forward, when the views of the neighbourhood are already known.
- The additional housing and daycare facility will worsen the traffic congestion in the area, which will lead to dangerous conditions for the entire community. Concern was also expressed with the safety for children playing in the area, and for parents dropping their children off at the daycare, due to the heavy traffic volumes on 41st Avenue.
- The peace and tranquility of the neighbourhood will be lost, as the non-market housing will lead to increased noise and crime in the neighbourhood. This will have a detrimental effect on families already living in the area, and will make unsafe conditions in which to conduct daily living.

Clause No. 4 cont'd

- The Planning Department did not properly notify area residents of the intended rezoning proposal, and not enough information was known about the project.
- Taxpayers' money should not be used to subsidize housing. This is an inefficient use of tax dollars and should not be condoned by the City, even though the money for this project is coming from the Provincial and Federal Governments. Housing of this nature rewards the wrong individuals and sends the wrong message to the public.
- The East Side already has its share of subsidized housing projects, and it is unfair to build further housing in the neighbourhood. Projects of this nature should be located in the West Side or Downtown for a change. The increased density of the area will have a negative impact in the neighbourhood.
- Property values in the neighbourhood will decline as a result of increased crime, traffic congestion, and higher densities.
- The schools in the neighbourhood are already overcrowded and unable to accommodate additional children. Also, these children will all be from the same background and will not provide a good mix in the school system.
- The First Lutheran Church is not playing a proper role in this situation as it is interested only in monetary issues. The Church will receive a high price for the land, and is contributing only a small amount back into the daycare centre. First Lutheran Church is attempting to tell Council that it is trying to assist the neighbourhood when, in fact, it is interested only in monetary issues. It is getting an excellent deal with this project as the land will revert back to the Church after 60 years.
- The architect has followed a public process that will accommodate the heritage house structure, but not the neighbourhood.
- This is an inappropriate location for a daycare facility as daycare should be housed in schools.
- The hate and resentment of the neighbourhood towards the residents of the housing units will far outweigh any benefits of the project.

Clause No. 4 cont'd

Mr. Mondor responded to several points that were raised during the Public Hearing:

- The Planning Department agrees the survey results are an accurate description of the views of the neighbourhood. This survey revealed 92% of respondents opposed the project.
- In an opinion survey completed the previous year, the neighbourhood was opposed to RS-1S zoning. This opinion survey was done in conjunction with a City review of secondary suites and was not a plebiscite, referendum, or vote of any kind.
- A proper consultation process with the neighbourhood was completed. Meetings were held to review preliminary proposals at least six weeks prior to the rezoning application.
- The development proposal is compatible with the surrounding neighbourhood. The height of the building is consistent with the zoning regulations, and the parking arrangements are adequate. The issue of child safety has been addressed, as the pick-up and drop-off areas for the daycare will be made from the lane. Also, there are 30,000 vehicles passing this intersection each day so a 19-unit project will have minimal impact.

Mr. Mondor concluded the Director of Planning still recommends approval of the project.

MOVED by Cllr. Puil,

THAT the application be approved, subject to the conditions proposed by the Director of Planning, as set out in this Minute of the Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5709 Wales Street and
2672 East 41st Avenue

BY-LAW NO. 7173

A By-law to amend
By-law No. 3575, being the
Zoning and Development By-law

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting
assembled, enacts as follows:

1. The "Zoning District Plan" annexed to By-law No. 3575 as Schedule "D" is hereby amended according to the plan marginally numbered Z-409(b) and attached to this By-law as Schedule "A", and in accordance with the explanatory legends, notations and references inscribed thereon, so that the boundaries and districts shown on the Zoning District Plan are varied, amended or substituted to the extent shown on Schedule "A" of this By-law, and Schedule "A" of this By-law is hereby incorporated as an integral part of Schedule "D" of By-law No. 3575.

2. Uses

The area shown included within the heavy black outline on Schedule "A" shall be more particularly described as CD-1(304), and the only uses permitted within the outlined area, subject to such conditions as Council may by resolution prescribe, and the only uses for which development permits will be issued are:

- (a) Multiple Dwelling containing family dwelling units eligible for government funding as of September 14, 1993;
- (b) Child Day Care Facility; and
- (c) Accessory Uses customarily ancillary to the above uses.

3. Floor Space Ratio

3.1 The floor space ratio shall not exceed 0.95. For the purpose of computing floor space ratio, the site shall be all parcels covered by this By-law, and shall be deemed to be 2 197.4 m², being the site size at time of application for rezoning, prior to any dedications.

3.2 The following shall be included in the computation of floor space ratio:

(ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed;"

3. By-law No. 7246 is amended in section 3.5 by deleting clause (a) and by substituting the following new clause (a):

"(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure subject to the following:

(i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area being provided; and

(ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed;"

4. By-law Nos. 7156, 7200, 7232 and 7248 are each amended in section 6.4 by deleting clause (a) and by substituting the following new clause (a):

"(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure subject to the following:

(i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area being provided; and

(ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed;"

5. By-law No. 7317 is amended in section 6.4 by deleting clause (a) and by substituting the following new clause (a):

"(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure subject to the following:

(i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area being provided; and

(ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed."

- (a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure, and provided further that the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the provided residential floor area.

4. Height

The maximum building height measured above the base surface shall be 11.3 m and the building shall not extend beyond 3 storeys.

5. Off-Street Parking

Off-street parking shall be provided, developed and maintained in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Parking By-law, except that a minimum of 2 off-street parking spaces for every 3 employees shall be provided for the Child Day Care Facility and shall either be located on the site or on an adjacent site secured by a covenant or other instrument satisfactory to the Director of Legal Services.

6. Acoustics

All development permit applications shall require evidence in the form of a report and recommendations prepared by a person trained in acoustics and current techniques of noise measurement demonstrating that the noise levels in those portions of the dwelling units listed below shall not exceed the noise level set opposite such portions. For the purposes of this section the noise level is the A-weighted 24-hour equivalent (Leq) sound level and will be defined simply as noise level in decibels.

PORTIONS OF DWELLING UNITS

NOISE LEVELS (DECIBELS)

bedrooms	35
living, dining, recreation rooms	40
kitchen, bathrooms, hallways	45
terraces, patios, balconies	60

9. This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 11th day of
January, 1996.


"(signed) Jennifer Clarke"
Deputy Mayor

"(signed) Maria C. Kinsella"
City Clerk

"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 11th day of January 1996, and numbered 7512.

CITY CLERK"

**BY-LAW No. 7173 BEING A BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 3575
BEING THE ZONING & DEVELOPMENT BY-LAW**



The property outlined in black () is rezoned:
From **RS-1** To **CD-1**

Z-409 (b)

RZ-5709 Wales Street

City of Vancouver Planning Department

sectional: U-19
map: 1 of 1
scale: 1:2000



CITY OF VANCOUVER



MEMORANDUM

RECEIVED
PLANNING DEPARTMENT
SEP 24 1993
NUMBER 18072
REFERRED TO: JAD
COPY TO: (initials)
ANSWER REQ'D

From: CITY CLERK

Date: September 23, 1993
Refer File: 5052-3

To: Tom Fletcher, Director of Planning
John Mulberry, Director of Legal Services
Rick Scobie, Associate Director - Land Use & Development

Subject: Comprehensive Development District
Form of Development
5709 Wales Street and 2672 East 41st Avenue

At its meeting on September 14, 1993, Vancouver City Council approved the following motion:

"THAT the approved form of development for the CD-1 zoned site known as 5709 Wales Street and 2672 East 41st Avenue be amended generally as illustrated in DA 215137, prepared by Linda Baker, Architect, and stamped 'Received, Planning Department (Land Use and Development Division), April 23, 1993', provided that the Director of Planning may approve design changes which would not adversely affect either the development character and livability of this site or adjacent properties."

CITY CLERK
mc

MCross:dmy

Balcony Exclusions
Option A.2

BY-LAW NO. 7512

A By-law to amend By-law Nos.
6421, 6688, 6710, 6731, 6757, 6787, 6817, 7006,
7156, [REDACTED] 7189, 7193, 7200, 7204, 7209, 7223,
7224, 7232, 7246, 7248, 7317, 7337, 7340, 7381,
7431 and 7461, being by-laws which amended the Zoning
and Development By-law by rezoning areas to CD-1

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting assembled,
enacts as follows:

1. The following By-laws are each amended by deleting section 3.4
and by substituting the following new section 3.4:

"3.4 The Director of Planning may permit the following to be excluded in
the computation of floor space ratio:

(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of
Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines
adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure
subject to the following:

(i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck
exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential
floor area being provided; and

(ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor
area may be enclosed."

6688	7006	7337
6710	7173	7340
6731	7189	7381
6787	7223	7431
6817	7224	

2. By-law Nos. 6421, 7193 and 7209 are each amended in section 3.4 by
deleting clause (a) and by substituting the following new clause (a):

"(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of
Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines
adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure
subject to the following:

(i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck
exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential
floor area being provided; and

- (a) all floors having a minimum ceiling height of 1.2 m, including earthen floor, both above and below ground level, to be measured to the extreme outer limits of the building; and
- (b) stairways, fire escapes, elevator shafts and other features which the Director of Planning considers similar, to be measured by their gross cross-sectional areas and included in the measurements for each floor at which they are located.

3.3 The following shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio:

- (a) open residential balconies or sundecks, and any other appurtenances which, in the opinion of the Director of Planning, are similar to the foregoing;
- (b) covered verandahs, porches or inset balconies, provided that the side facing a street or the rear property line is open and the height of the guard rails does not exceed the minimum specified in the Building By-law;
- (c) patios and roof gardens, provided that the Director of Planning first approves the design of sunroofs and walls;
- (d) where floors are used for off-street parking and loading, bicycle storage, heating and mechanical equipment, or uses which in the opinion of the Director of Planning are similar to the foregoing, those floors or portions thereof so used, which are at or below the base surface, provided that the maximum exclusion for a parking space shall not exceed 7.3 m in length;
- (e) amenity areas, including recreation facilities and meeting rooms, to a maximum total of 10 percent of the total building floor area;
- (f) areas of undeveloped floors located above the highest storey or half-storey, or adjacent to a storey or half-storey, with a ceiling height of less than 1.2 m, and to which there is no permanent means of access other than a hatch; and
- (g) residential storage space provided that where the space is provided at or above base surface, the maximum exclusion shall be 3.7 m² per dwelling unit.

3.4 The Director of Planning may permit the following to be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio:

6. By-law No. 7461 is amended in section 6.4 of Schedule "B" by deleting clause (a) and by substituting the following new clause (a):

- "(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure subject to the following:
 - (i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area being provided; and
 - (ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed."

7. By-law No. 6757 is amended in section 7.4 by deleting clause (a) and by substituting the following new clause (a):

- "(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure subject to the following:
 - (i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area being provided; and
 - (ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed;"

8. By-law No. 7204 is amended in section 7.4 of Schedule "B" by deleting clause (a) and by substituting the following new clause (a):

- "(a) enclosed residential balconies, provided that the Director of Planning first considers all applicable policies and guidelines adopted by Council and approves the design of any balcony enclosure subject to the following:
 - (i) the total area of all open and enclosed balcony or sundeck exclusions does not exceed eight percent of the residential floor area being provided; and
 - (ii) no more than fifty percent of the excluded balcony floor area may be enclosed;"

7. This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 14th day of
September, 1993.

(signed) Gordon Campbell
Mayor

(signed) Maria C. Kinsella
City Clerk

"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 14th day of September 1993, and numbered 7173.

CITY CLERK"

Clause 1(a) and (b) (cont'd)

This development is also in keeping with Council's strategy of reducing traffic congestion by encouraging residential development in this area and reducing commuters. The application also provides for a substantial amount of bicycle parking within the new residential complex.

Staff Closing Comments

Staff offered no additional comments.

Council Decision

Prior to making a decision, several members of Council expressed the view that staff need to reconsider their approach when notifying residents about rezoning applications, as well as other City-related issues. Members of Council also referred to a previously requested report on waterfront tower height and Council expressed a desire to see this report as soon as possible.

MOVED by Cllr. Bellamy,

THAT this application be approved, subject to the conditions as set out in this minute of the Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Cllr. Price,

THAT the City Manager ensure that when the anticipated report from the Housing Centre on housing affordability comes back, it deals with the issues related to Triangle West and new neighbourhoods.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Balcony Enclosures and Acoustic Requirements /

An application by the Director of Land Use and Development was considered as follows:

The proposed amendments to various zoning District Schedules, Official Development Plans and CD-1 Comprehensive Development District By-laws, would either:

- not allow any of the permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for enclosed balconies except in buildings existing prior to April 23, 1985 in which case the present regulations would apply; or

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

- continue to permit a maximum of 8 percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded form Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for balconies BUT to permit no more than half of excluded floor area to be enclosed; or
- permit no more than 8 percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for enclosed balconies.

The proposed acoustic amendments would delete the acoustic requirement for balconies, terraces, patios, etc.

Amended Balcony Enclosure Guidelines and Policies are also proposed.

The Director of Land Use and Development recommended approval of this application.

Staff Opening Comments

Mr. Ralph Segal, Planner, provided background on this issue and introduced the options before Council this evening.

In 1964, in order to improve livability in higher density multiple dwelling developments, open balconies were excluded from FSR to a maximum of eight percent of residential floor area. In the early 1980s, the City received numerous requests from owners of units in existing buildings to enclose their balconies for reasons of poor insulation and acoustics, air drafts and other interior problems. In response, Council in 1985 adopted balcony enclosure guidelines by which enclosed balconies would continue to be excluded from FSR.

Subsequently, in response to the development industry's request for equity, Council permitted this exclusion to apply to new construction, subject to adherence to the guidelines. Since then, new buildings have, to an increasing degree, incorporated enclosed balconies as additional interior space displacing the private open space, the open balconies, for which the FSR exclusion had been originally provided.

Since enclosed balcony space has been successfully marketed at the full per square foot price of the rest of the dwelling unit, many developers have been more and more aggressive in seeking the full eight percent exclusion for enclosed balconies. This differs from a mix of open and enclosed balconies that were anticipated when the exclusion was first put in place.

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

With the aid of photographs distributed to Council (on file in the City Clerk's Office), Mr. Segal explained that enclosure of most or all balconies bulks up buildings by filling in the volumes of open balconies and intends to create less residential, more office-like buildings. Exclusions from FSR are usually given to encourage developers to provide facilities that are considered important for livability but would likely not be provided without that incentive. In this case, bonuses are being permitted when they the negative affect of displacing the private open space for which the FSR exclusion was intended.

Recommendation A1 would eliminate the FSR exclusion for enclosed balconies except in the buildings existing prior to 1985, as per the original intent of the balcony enclosure provisions. Alternatively, should Council consider that enclosed balconies do have merit, A2 is offered which states that no more than half of the excluded balcony area may be enclosed. The third option, A3 is to simply allow outright the full eight percent exclusion to be enclosed.

This application also proposes an acoustic amendment. At present, acoustic requirements in many district schedules and CD-1 by-laws apply to standards in both rooms within the unit as well as exterior balconies and patios. As the current standard often requires balconies to be enclosed, even when this is not desired, the proposed amendment will delete this requirement. Mr. Segal also explained that amendments are proposed to the balcony enclosure guidelines which would delete provisions calling for easy conversion of enclosed balconies back to open balconies, as well as adding several additional clauses which will clarify the design intent in new construction.

Responding to a question from a member of Council, Mr. Segal advised of an error in the memorandum dated July 18, 1995 from the City Clerk, which referred this matter to Public Hearing. Recommendation A1 makes reference to excluding floor space ratio for enclosed balconies except in buildings existing prior to April 23, 1995. This should read April 23, 1985.

A member of Council enquired whether these guidelines would permit a style of balcony sometimes referred to a french balconies. Mr. Segal advised this style would not be permitted under the proposed guidelines.

Council members also enquired whether thresholds will still be required between the interior unit and the closed balconies. It was confirmed the proposed guidelines still contain this threshold requirement.

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

Correspondence

All correspondence received prior to this matter being referred to Public Hearing was included as Appendix E in the Council report. One additional letter stressing the need for more open balconies in Vancouver and another favouring option A2, were also received.

Speakers

The Mayor called for speakers for and against the application, and the following addressed Council.

Mr. Hans Schmidt, representing the Society of Soundscape Preservation, expressed concern with the proposed deletion of acoustic requirements, on the grounds that if these requirements are deleted, the City is simply accommodating the noise which exists and not attempting to eliminate or reduce it. A greater emphasis should be directed towards elimination of the source of noise.

Mr. Dugal Purdie, on behalf of the Urban Development Institute (UDI), indicated his support for option A2 as it represents an appropriate compromise. The UDI is strongly opposed to A1 as this would affect proformas upon which construction was predicated upon. Mr. Purdie urged Council to support recommendation A2 with an amendment to exclude the applicability of the guidelines to enclosed space, as the Institute believes the total design of the building should be left with the architects and reviewed through the existing development permit process, without the addition of guidelines.

Mr. Stuart Howard, on behalf of the Architectural Institute of British Columbia (AIBC), lent his support to option A2, as it represents a compromise position. AIBC would ultimately prefer option 5 as stated in its May 30, 1995 brief to Council, but is willing to accept the compromise position. Mr. Howard suggested the Planning Department is naive in its support of option A1 because apartments are now significantly smaller in size and the continued requirement of an open balcony would result in a small, unusable space.

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT the City continue to permit a maximum of eight percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for balconies, but to permit no more than half of excluded floor area to be enclosed;

FURTHER THAT the requirement that thresholds be included in enclosed balconies be removed.

- CARRIED

(Councillors Chiavario, Kwan and Price opposed)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT those District Schedules and CD-1 by-laws containing an acoustic regulation be amended, to delete the acoustic requirement for on-site open space (i.e., balconies, terraces, patios, etc.), generally as outlined in Appendix A of the Policy Report dated June 6, 1995.

- CARRIED

(Councillor Sullivan opposed)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT the Balcony Enclosure Guidelines and Policies, amended as noted in Appendix B of the Policy Report dated June 6, 1995, to reflect more practical utilization by residents, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT Council advise the Planning Department that it supports "French Balconies" where appropriate and that language be incorporated in the balcony regulations and/or guidelines that would encourage their provision.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Acoustic Requirements

BY-LAW NO. 7515

A By-law to amend
By-law Nos. 3712, 4037, 4049, 4397, 4677, 5381,
5836, 5852, 6272, 6310, 6312, 6313, 6314, 6315,
6316, 6317, 6318, 6319, 6320, 6321, 6322, 6323,
6325, 6361, 6362, 6363, 6421, 6425, 6429, 6475,
6489, 6528, 6533, 6564, 6582, 6597, 6663, 6688,
6710, 6713, 6714, 6715, 6730, 6731, 6738, 6739,
6740, 6744, 6747, 6757, 6768, 6779, 6787, 6817,
6827, 6965, 7006, 7087, 7092, 7101, 7114, 7135,
7155, 7156, 7157, 7158, 7163, 7166, [REDACTED], 7174,
7175, 7180, 7189, 7193, 7198, 7200, 7204, 7209,
7223, 7224, 7230, 7232, 7246, 7248, 7317, 7337,
7340, 7381, 7425, 7431, 7434 and 7461, being
by-laws which amended the Zoning and Development
By-law by rezoning areas to CD-1

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting assembled,
enacts as follows:

1. By-law Nos. 6429, 6597, 7092, 7101, 7224 and 7340 are each amended in section 5 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

2. The following By-laws are each amended in section 6 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column:

4037	6688	7087	7180
4397	6710	7155	7189
4677	6713	7157	7209
5852	6731	7163	7246
6272	6738	7166	7381
6363	6768	7173	7425
6421	6787	7174	7431
6582	6827	7175	7434
6663			

3. By-law No. 6730 is amended in section 6.1 by deleting the words "Terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

4. The following By-laws are each amended in section 7 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

5836	6321	6564	7114
6310	6322	6739	7135
6312	6323	6740	7158
6315	6325	6817	7223
6319	6528	6965	7230
6320			

5. By-law Nos. 6313, 6314, 6316, 6317, 6318 and 6361 are each amended in section 7.1 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
6. By-law Nos. 3712, 4049, 6362, 6425, 6489, 6714, 6715, 7193 and 7337 are each amended in section 8 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
7. By-law No. 6779 is amended in section 9 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
8. By-law No. 7198 is amended in section 10 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
9. By-law Nos. 7156, 7200, 7232 and 7248 are each amended in section 11 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
10. By-law No. 6744 is amended in section 12 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
11. By-law Nos. 6747 and 6757 are both amended in section 13 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
12. By-law No. 5381 is amended in section 4.8.1 by
 - (a) deleting clause (d), and
 - (b) relettering clauses (e) and (f) as (d) and (e), respectively.
13. By-law No. 6533 is amended in section 5.6.1 by deleting clause (d).
14. By-law No. 6475 is amended in section 5.8.1 by deleting clause (d).
15. By-law No. 7006 is amended in section 7 by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.

16. By-law No. 7317 is amended in section 9 by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.

17. By-law No. 7461 is amended in section 9 of Schedule "B" by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.

18. By-law No. 7204 is amended in section 12 of Schedule "B" by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.

19. This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 11th day of
January , 1996.

"(signed) Jennifer Clarke"
Deputy Mayor

"(signed) Maria C. Kinsella"
City Clerk

"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 11th day of January 1996, and numbered 7515.

CITY CLERK"

Clause 1(a) and (b) (cont'd)

This development is also in keeping with Council's strategy of reducing traffic congestion by encouraging residential development in this area and reducing commuters. The application also provides for a substantial amount of bicycle parking within the new residential complex.

Staff Closing Comments

Staff offered no additional comments.

Council Decision

Prior to making a decision, several members of Council expressed the view that staff need to reconsider their approach when notifying residents about rezoning applications, as well as other City-related issues. Members of Council also referred to a previously requested report on waterfront tower height and Council expressed a desire to see this report as soon as possible.

MOVED by Cllr. Bellamy,

THAT this application be approved, subject to the conditions as set out in this minute of the Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Cllr. Price,

THAT the City Manager ensure that when the anticipated report from the Housing Centre on housing affordability comes back, it deals with the issues related to Triangle West and new neighbourhoods.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Balcony Enclosures and Acoustic Requirements

An application by the Director of Land Use and Development was considered as follows:

The proposed amendments to various zoning District Schedules, Official Development Plans and CD-1 Comprehensive Development District By-laws, would either:

- not allow any of the permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for enclosed balconies except in buildings existing prior to April 23, 1985 in which case the present regulations would apply; or

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

- continue to permit a maximum of 8 percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for balconies BUT to permit no more than half of excluded floor area to be enclosed; or
- permit no more than 8 percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for enclosed balconies.

The proposed acoustic amendments would delete the acoustic requirement for balconies, terraces, patios, etc.

Amended Balcony Enclosure Guidelines and Policies are also proposed.

The Director of Land Use and Development recommended approval of this application.

Staff Opening Comments

Mr. Ralph Segal, Planner, provided background on this issue and introduced the options before Council this evening.

In 1964, in order to improve livability in higher density multiple dwelling developments, open balconies were excluded from FSR to a maximum of eight percent of residential floor area. In the early 1980s, the City received numerous requests from owners of units in existing buildings to enclose their balconies for reasons of poor insulation and acoustics, air drafts and other interior problems. In response, Council in 1985 adopted balcony enclosure guidelines by which enclosed balconies would continue to be excluded from FSR.

Subsequently, in response to the development industry's request for equity, Council permitted this exclusion to apply to new construction, subject to adherence to the guidelines. Since then, new buildings have, to an increasing degree, incorporated enclosed balconies as additional interior space displacing the private open space, the open balconies, for which the FSR exclusion had been originally provided.

Since enclosed balcony space has been successfully marketed at the full per square foot price of the rest of the dwelling unit, many developers have been more and more aggressive in seeking the full eight percent exclusion for enclosed balconies. This differs from a mix of open and enclosed balconies that were anticipated when the exclusion was first put in place.

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

With the aid of photographs distributed to Council (on file in the City Clerk's Office), Mr. Segal explained that enclosure of most or all balconies bulks up buildings by filling in the volumes of open balconies and intends to create less residential, more office-like buildings. Exclusions from FSR are usually given to encourage developers to provide facilities that are considered important for livability but would likely not be provided without that incentive. In this case, bonuses are being permitted when they the negative affect of displacing the private open space for which the FSR exclusion was intended.

Recommendation A1 would eliminate the FSR exclusion for enclosed balconies except in the buildings existing prior to 1985, as per the original intent of the balcony enclosure provisions. Alternatively, should Council consider that enclosed balconies do have merit, A2 is offered which states that no more than half of the excluded balcony area may be enclosed. The third option, A3 is to simply allow outright the full eight percent exclusion to be enclosed.

This application also proposes an acoustic amendment. At present, acoustic requirements in many district schedules and CD-1 by-laws apply to standards in both rooms within the unit as well as exterior balconies and patios. As the current standard often requires balconies to be enclosed, even when this is not desired, the proposed amendment will delete this requirement. Mr. Segal also explained that amendments are proposed to the balcony enclosure guidelines which would delete provisions calling for easy conversion of enclosed balconies back to open balconies, as well as adding several additional clauses which will clarify the design intent in new construction.

Responding to a question from a member of Council, Mr. Segal advised of an error in the memorandum dated July 18, 1995 from the City Clerk, which referred this matter to Public Hearing. Recommendation A1 makes reference to excluding floor space ratio for enclosed balconies except in buildings existing prior to April 23, 1995. This should read April 23, 1985.

A member of Council enquired whether these guidelines would permit a style of balcony sometimes referred to a french balconies. Mr. Segal advised this style would not be permitted under the proposed guidelines.

Council members also enquired whether thresholds will still be required between the interior unit and the closed balconies. It was confirmed the proposed guidelines still contain this threshold requirement.

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

Correspondence

All correspondence received prior to this matter being referred to Public Hearing was included as Appendix E in the Council report. One additional letter stressing the need for more open balconies in Vancouver and another favouring option A2, were also received.

Speakers

The Mayor called for speakers for and against the application, and the following addressed Council.

Mr. Hans Schmidt, representing the Society of Soundscape Preservation, expressed concern with the proposed deletion of acoustic requirements, on the grounds that if these requirements are deleted, the City is simply accommodating the noise which exists and not attempting to eliminate or reduce it. A greater emphasis should be directed towards elimination of the source of noise.

Mr. Dugal Purdie, on behalf of the Urban Development Institute (UDI), indicated his support for option A2 as it represents an appropriate compromise. The UDI is strongly opposed to A1 as this would affect proformas upon which construction was predicated upon. Mr. Purdie urged Council to support recommendation A2 with an amendment to exclude the applicability of the guidelines to enclosed space, as the Institute believes the total design of the building should be left with the architects and reviewed through the existing development permit process, without the addition of guidelines.

Mr. Stuart Howard, on behalf of the Architectural Institute of British Columbia (AIBC), lent his support to option A2, as it represents a compromise position. AIBC would ultimately prefer option 5 as stated in its May 30, 1995 brief to Council, but is willing to accept the compromise position. Mr. Howard suggested the Planning Department is naive in its support of option A1 because apartments are now significantly smaller in size and the continued requirement of an open balcony would result in a small, unusable space.

cont'd....

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT the City continue to permit a maximum of eight percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for balconies, but to permit no more than half of excluded floor area to be enclosed;

FURTHER THAT the requirement that thresholds be included in enclosed balconies be removed.

- CARRIED

(Councillors Chiavario, Kwan and Price opposed)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT those District Schedules and CD-1 by-laws containing an acoustic regulation be amended, to delete the acoustic requirement for on-site open space (i.e., balconies, terraces, patios, etc.), generally as outlined in Appendix A of the Policy Report dated June 6, 1995.

- CARRIED

(Councillor Sullivan opposed)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT the Balcony Enclosure Guidelines and Policies, amended as noted in Appendix B of the Policy Report dated June 6, 1995, to reflect more practical utilization by residents, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT Council advise the Planning Department that it supports "French Balconies" where appropriate and that language be incorporated in the balcony regulations and/or guidelines that would encourage their provision.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY



CITY OF VANCOUVER

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

FEBRUARY 24, 2000

A Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Thursday, February 24, 2000, at 7:35 p.m., in Council Chambers, Third Floor, City Hall, for the purpose of holding a Public Hearing to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law and Official Development Plans.

PRESENT: Mayor Philip Owen
 Councillor Fred Bass
 Councillor Jennifer Clarke
 Councillor Daniel Lee
 Councillor Don Lee
 Councillor Sandy McCormick
 Councillor Sam Sullivan

ABSENT: Councillor Lynne Kennedy
 Councillor Tim Louis
 Councillor Gordon Price (Sick Leave)
 Councillor George Puil (Civic Business)

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE: Tarja Tuominen, Meeting Coordinator

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee,
 SECONDED by Cllr. Daniel Lee,

THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Owen in the Chair, to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law and Official Development Plans.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1. Text Amendments: District Schedules, Official Development Plans and

CD-1 By-laws - Floor Space Exclusions

[Barrett Commission]

An application by the Director of Current Planning was considered as follows:

Summary: The proposed text amendments would provide floor space exclusions to provide construction incentives to control building envelope leaks.

The Director of Current Planning recommended approval.

Staff Comments

Jacqui Forbes-Roberts, General Manager of Community Services, provided a brief introduction to the report, noting the proposed text amendments would affect new construction and repairs and restoration of existing buildings. Ms. Forbes-Roberts also requested an amendment to the proposed draft by-law to amend By-law 3575 to add RS1 to Section 4.7.3, (d).

Doug Watts, Building Envelope Specialist, with the aid of a slide presentation, described the specifics of the technical and different design issues of the proposed amendments, and explained what steps other municipalities have taken to address the recommendations arising from the Barrett Commission.

Summary of Correspondence

Council was advised the following correspondence was received since the date the application was referred to Public Hearing:

one letter in support of 'Option A'.

Speakers

Mayor Owen called for speakers for and against the application.

The following spoke in support of 'Option A':

John Fowler, Canadian Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institute
Bill McEwen, Masonry Institute of British Columbia (brief filed)
Peter Reese

The foregoing speakers supported 'Option A' based on one or more of the following points:

application of the current FSR calculations has prevented a wide-spread use of precast concrete exterior walls; there have been very few problems with the use of pre-cast concrete, which has proven to be a versatile and durable material;

thicker exterior walls are better walls, because they can include an airspace cavity behind the cladding which provides a "rainscreen" system, more efficient insulation, thicker, more durable cladding materials; current FSR calculations discourage the foregoing;

the proposed changes in FSR definitions will immediately encourage better wall design;

brick and stone-faced walls should be encouraged.

The following generally supported 'Option A' but felt the proposed text amendments should be referred back to staff for further study and discussion with the industry:

John O'Donnell, AIBC
Stuart Howard, Vancouver Planning Coalition

The following is a summary of the foregoing speakers' comments:

Option 'A' is supported in principle; however the text amendments also should address overhangs, balconies, elevated walkways, yard setbacks, and site coverage; staff should accept the electronic calculation of areas and the calculations of the Architect, given under seal; letters of assurance from a building envelope specialist are redundant at an early stage; the proposed text amendments should cover everything instead of the City issuing administrative bulletins to address further changes.

Staff Closing Comments

Ralph Segal, Planner; Eric Fiss, Planner; and Doug Watts responded to the issues raised by the speakers: the proposed text amendments are the result of a fair bit of consultation with the industry; a building envelope specialist is required to be involved in the process earlier as technical details are to be submitted at the development permit stage; staff are taking a further look at other issues, such as recesses, balconies and walkways.

Ms. Forbes-Roberts advised Council may proceed with the proposed amendments to the floor space exclusions and request staff to come back with additional amendments. Staff and the industry would prefer the FSR exclusions not be delayed.

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee,

A. THAT the application by the Director of Current Planning to amend various District Schedules, Official Development Plans and CD-1 By-laws to provide floor space exclusions to provide construction incentives to control building envelope leaks be approved.

FURTHER THAT the draft By-law 3575, section 4.7.3, be amended as follows:

(d) as clause (h) in the following district schedules:

RS-1 and RS-1S RT-4, etc.

(Italics denote amendment)

B. THAT staff report back on other aspects affecting leakage of buildings, such as overhangs, protection of upper balconies, recesses, etc.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

RISE FROM COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ADOPT REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Clarke,
SECONDED BY Cllr. Don Lee,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted, and the Director of Legal Services be instructed to prepare and bring forward the necessary by-law amendments.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Special Council adjourned at 9:20 p.m.

* * * * *



Comments or questions? You can send us [email](#).

[CITY HOMEPAGE](#)

[GET IN TOUCH](#)

[COMMUNITIES](#)

[SEARCH](#)

(c) 1998 City of Vancouver

EXPLANATION

**Zoning and Development
Various CD-1 by-laws**

Amendments re Exterior Wall Exclusion (Barrett Commission Recommendations)

Following a public hearing on February 24, 2000 Council approved an application, as noted above. There were no prior-to conditions and the Director of Current Planning has advised that the attached by-law can now be enacted to implement Council's resolution.

**Director of Legal Services
14 March 2000**

I:\BYLAWS\WPDOCS\PORTER\CD-1CONS.WPD

Exterior Wall Exclusion

BY-LAW NO. 8169

A By-law to amend
By-laws Nos.

3568 3632 3706 3712 3865 3869 3885 3897 3907 3914 3983 4037 4049 4085 4131
4238 4271 4358 4397 4412 4559 4580 4597 4634 4674 4677 4775 4825 4829 4860
4861 4900 4918 4926 4928 4930 4940 4954 4958 4999 5009 5011 5014 5028 5060
5091 5145 5179 5184 5222 5224 5229 5376 5343 5381 5383 5407 5411 5416 5418
5477 5510 5548 5555 5579 5597 5683 5702 5717 5762 5773 5810 5836 5838 5852
5863 5890 5927 5937 5950 5975 5976 5997 6009 6039 6041 6057 6063 6064 6070
6072 6117 6155 6161 6169 6180 6221 6245 6246 6254 6260 6263 6272 6277 6297
6305 6307 6310 6312 6313 6314 6315 6316 6317 6318 6319 6320 6321 6322 6323
6325 6361 6362 6363 6394 6420 6421 6423 6425 6427 6428 6429 6448 6449 6475
6486 6489 6528 6533 6538 6564 6577 6582 6594 6597 6654 6663 6676 6688 6710
6713 6714 6715 6718 6730 6731 6738 6739 6740 6744 6747 6757 6759 6760 6768
6779 6787 6817 6819 6827 6838 6876 6883 6884 6911 6919 6953 6962 6963 6965
7006 7045 7087 7091 7101 7114 7135 7155 7156 7157 7158 7159 7163 7164 7173
7174 7175 7189 7193 7196 7198 7200 7201 7204 7208 7209 7210 7223 7224 7230
7232 7235 7246 7248 7249 7317 7325 7337 7340 7371 7381 7389 7405 7419 7425
7431 7434 7435 7459 7461 7476 7516 7519 7522 7531 7551 7552 7556 7592 7601
7602 7638 7639 7645 7647 7648 7649 7651 7652 7654 7655 7656 7672 7673 7675
7677 7679 7681 7682 7684 7705 7715 7723 7820 7829 7834 7835 7852 7853 7879
7904 7927 7932 7948 7958 7971 7995 7996 8016 8034 8043 8055 8073 8082 8088
8097 8109 8111 8116 8130 8131

being By-laws which amended the
Zoning and Development By-law
by rezoning areas to CD-1

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting
assembled, enacts as follows:

1. By-law No. 3907 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

2. By-law No. 4412 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3. By-law No. 5376 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of subclause (iii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:

"(iv) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this subclause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

4. By-laws No. 4825 and 6325 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of subclause (ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:

"(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this subclause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

5. By-law No. 5343 is amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (iii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(iv) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000"

6. By-laws No. 4775, 4829, 5222, 5224, 5773 and 6039 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the

Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

7. By-laws No. 4085, 5411, and 5416 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

8. By-law No. 5407 is amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

9. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:

"3.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3568 3712 3885 4271 4358 4634 4674 4861 4900 4918 4926 4928
4930 4940 4958 4999 5009 5011 5014 5028 5060 5145 5179 5184
5229 5418 5477 5836 5838 5863 5937 5950 5975 5976 4954 6041
6064 6072 6117 6155 6161 6180 6245 6246 6260 6263 6277 6297
6305 6307 6394 6420 6425 6427 6428 6429 6448 6449 6489 6538
6577 6594 6564 6654 6663 6759 6760 6779 6876 6911

10. By-laws No. 6314 and 6582 are each amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the period from the end of clause (ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

11. By-law No. 6272 is amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the word "and" from the end of subclause (c)(i), by deleting the period from the end of subclause (c)(ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:

"(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

12. By-law No. 4580 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of the section and substituting it with a semi-colon, by relettering the existing text as clause (a) and by adding the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

13. By-law No. 6884 is amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the word "and" from the end of clause (a), by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

14. By-law No. 5683 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of this section and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor

space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

15. By-law No. 8088 is amended in Section 3.2 by adding the following clause:

"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

16. By-law No. 6009 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of subclause (e)(vii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

17. By-law No. 4677 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

18. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:

"3.3 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

4238 4860 5579 5717 5810 5852 5890 6057 6070 6310 6312 6313
6316 6320 6361 6363 6423 6528 6714 6715

19. By-law No. 7684 is amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (a) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

20. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the and from clause (a) and by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

7705 7459 7435 7434 7419 7389 6718

21. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

5458 5548 5597 6962 7045 7682

22. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3897 3983 5510 7144 7208 7476 7516 7820 7927 7996

23. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

" (f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

5091 6486 6676 6688 6713 6730 6787 6817 7159 7337 7531 7552
7556 7645 7652 7715 7835 7971 8111

24. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

4391 4049 4397 4597 6421 6710 6731 6738 6739 6740 6768
6827 6838 6919 6953 6963 6965 7006 7091 7092 7101 7135
7155 7157 7158 7163 7166 7175 7189 7193 7196 7198 7210
7223 7224 7230 7325 7340 7381 7519 7551 7602 7638 7639
7647 7651 7655 7723 7932 7948 8082

25. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3869 7173 7522 7601 7656 7672 7834 7852 7853 7904 7958

26. By-laws No. 4559, 7209, 7425 and 7431 are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

27. By-laws No. 5997 and 7829 are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (i) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(j) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

28. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:

"3.4 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

5762 5927 6315 6317 6318 6319 6321 6323 6362

29. By-law No. 7980 is amended

(a) in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.", and

(b) in Section 3.7 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

30. By-laws No. 7087 and 7174 are each amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

31. By-law No. 7246 is amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

32. By-laws No. 8034, 8043 and 8116 are each amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

33. By-laws No. 6322 and 6597 are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:

"3.5 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

34. By-law No. 8016 is amended in Section 3.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

35. By-law No. 8055 is amended in Section 3.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

36. By-law No. 8130 is amended in Section 3.6 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

37. By-law No. 7648 is amended in Section 3.6 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

38. By-laws No. 6063 and 6221 are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:

"4.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

39. By-law No. 5555 is amended in Section 4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

40. By-law No. 5705 is amended in Section 4 by adding the following section:

"4.3 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

41. By-law No. 7371 is amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (a) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

42. By-law No. 7249 is amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

43. By-laws No. 5702 and 7673 are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

44. By-laws No. 6819 and 7238 are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

45. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3632 3706 4131 7649 7995 8073 8097

46. By-law No. 5381 is amended in Section 4.3.3 by adding after the existing text the following:

" - where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

47. By-law No. 7592 is amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

48. By-law No. 6883 is amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

49. By-laws No. 4037 and 7405 are each amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum

exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

50. By-law No. 7201 is amended in Section 4.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

51. By-law No. 5383 is amended in Section 5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

52. By-law No. 6533 is amended in Section 5.2.4 by deleting the period at the end of the existing text and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following:

" - where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

53. By-law No. 7654 is amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

54. By-law No. 7677 is amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum

exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

55. By-laws No. 7675, 7681 and 8109 are each amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

56. By-laws No. 3865 and 6475 are each amended in Section 5.3.3 by deleting the period from the end of the existing text and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following:

" - where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

57. By-law No. 7879 is amended in Section 5.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

58. By-law No. 8131 is amended in Section 5.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (j) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(k) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

59. By-law No. 6169 is amended in Section 6 by adding the following section:

"6.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the

Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

60. By-law No. 7679 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

61. By-law No. 7317 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

62. By-laws No. 7156, 7200, and 7232 are each amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

63. By-law No. 7461 is amended in Section 6.3 of Schedule B by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

64. By-law No. 7248 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (i) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(j) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

65. By-law No. 6744 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (j) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(k) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

66. By-laws No. 6747 and 7204 are each amended in Section 7.3 of Schedule B, by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

67. By-law No. 6757 is amended in Section 7.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

68. By-law No. 6254 is amended in Section 8 by deleting the period from the end of the second clause (a), which clause ends with the word "computation", and substituting a semi-colon and by inserting the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000;"

69.

This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 14th day of March , 2000.

(Signed) Philip W. Owen
Mayor

(Signed) Ulli S. Watkiss
City Clerk

"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 14th day of March 2000, and numbered 8169.

CITY CLERK"



CITY OF VANCOUVER
SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
NOVEMBER 20, 2003

A Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Thursday, November 20, 2003, at 7:30 p.m., in the Council Chamber, Third Floor, City Hall, for the purpose of holding a Public Hearing to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law, Official Development Plans, Sign By-law and to designate property as protected heritage property and to enter into a Heritage Revitalization Agreement.

PRESENT: Mayor Larry Campbell
Councillor David Cadman
Councillor Peter Ladner
Councillor Raymond Louie
Councillor Tim Louis
Councillor Anne Roberts
Councillor Tim Stevenson
*Councillor Sam Sullivan
Councillor Ellen Woodsworth

ABSENT: Councillor Fred Bass (Leave of Absence - Civic Business)
Councillor Jim Green (Civic Business)

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE: Laura Kazakoff, Meeting Coordinator

*Denotes absence for a portion of the meeting.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Councillor Louis
SECONDED by Councillor Cadman

THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Campbell in the Chair, to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law, Official Development Plans, Sign By-law, to designate property as protected heritage property and to enter into a Heritage Revitalization Agreement.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1. Text Amendment: Zoning and Development By-law, CD-1s, and Official Development Plans

An application by the Director of Current Planning was considered as follows:

Summary: Housekeeping amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law, including CD-1s and Official Development Plans.

The Director of Current Planning recommended approval.

Staff Comments

Dave Thomsett, Senior Planner, Rezoning Centre, was present to respond to questions.

Summary of Correspondence

No correspondence was received since the date the application was referred to Public Hearing.

Speakers

The Mayor called for speakers for and against the application and none were present.

Council Decision

MOVED by Councillor Louis

THAT the application by the Director of Current Planning to amend the Zoning & Development By-law, CD-1 By-laws and Official Development Plans generally as set out in Appendices A & B of the Policy Report "Miscellaneous Text Amendments: Zoning and Development By-law, CD-1 By-laws, and Official Development Plans, Zoning and Development Fee By-law and Policies and Guidelines" dated September 23, 2003, be approved.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
(Councillor Sullivan absent for the vote)

BY-LAWS

MOVED by Councillor Cadman
SECONDED by Councillor Louie

THAT Council, except for those members excused as noted in the agenda, enact the by-laws listed on the agenda for this meeting as numbers 1 to 11 inclusive, and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to sign and seal the enacted by-laws.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1. A By-law to amend Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 (re miscellaneous text amendments) (By-law No. 8758)
2. A By-law to amend By-law Nos. 6180, 6320, and 8587 which amended Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 by rezoning certain areas to CD-1 (By-law No. 8759)
3. A By-law to amend miscellaneous CD-1 By-laws (re miscellaneous text and residential storage amendments) (By-law 8760)

(Councillors Bass, Green and Sullivan excused from voting)

4. A By-law to amend Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 (re miscellaneous text and residential storage amendments) (By-law 8761)

(Councillors Bass, Green and Sullivan excused from voting)

5. A By-law to amend Downtown District Official Development Plan By-law No. 4912, Downtown-Eastside/Oppenheimer District Official Development Plan By-law No. 5532, and Southeast Granville Slopes Official Development Plan By-law No. 5752 (By-law 8762)

(Councillors Bass, Green and Sullivan excused from voting)

6. A By-law to amend Solid Waste and Recycling By-law No. 8417 to regulate certain 2004 utility fees and miscellaneous amendments (By-law 8763)

7. A By-law to amend Water Works By-law No. 4848 (2004 Fees and miscellaneous amendments) (By-law 8764)

8. A By-law to authorize the borrowing of certain sums of money from January 8, 2004 to January 7, 2005 pending the collection of real property taxes (By-law No. 8765)

9. A By-law to amend By-law No. 8093, being the Sewer and Watercourse By-law (Establishment of 2004 Sewer Use and Connection Rates) (By-law No. 8766)

10. A By-law to amend License By-law No. 4450 re 2004 marina operator fee increases

(By-law No. 8767)

11. A By-law to amend Impounding By-law No. 3519 (2004 Charges re Towing Service Contract) (By-law 8768)