## City of Vancouver Zoning and Development By-law <br> Community Services, 453 W. I2th Ave Vancouver, BC V5Y IV4 玉 604.873 .7344 fax 873.7060

## CD-1 (296)

5837-5897 Oak Street
(Oak Gardens)
By-law No. 7135
(Being a By-law to Amend By-law 3575, being the Zoning and Development By-law)

Effective June 22, 1993
(Amended up to and including By-law No. 8760, dated December 9, 2003) District Plan) to reflect this rezoning to CD-1.]

## 2 Uses

The area shown included within the heavy black outline on Schedule ' $A$ ' shall be more particularly described as CD-1(296), and the only uses permitted within the outlined area, subject to such conditions as Council may by resolution prescribe, and the only uses for which development permits will be issued are:
(a) Multiple Dwelling containing a maximum of 45 dwelling units occupied by households of which at least one member is 55 years of age or older.
(b) Accessory Uses customarily ancillary to the above use.

## 3 Floor Space Ratio

3.1 The floor space ratio shall not exceed 1.25 . For the purpose of computing floor space ratio, the site shall be all parcels covered by this By-law, and shall be deemed to be $3438.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, being the site size at time of application for rezoning, prior to any dedications.
3.2 The following shall be included in the computation of floor space ratio:
(a) all floors having a minimum ceiling height of 1.2 m , including earthen floor, both above and below ground level, to be measured to the extreme outer limits of the building;
(b) stairways, fire escapes, elevator shafts and other features which the Director of Planning considers similar, to be measured by their gross cross-sectional areas and included in the measurements for each floor at which they are located.
3.3 The following shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio:
(a) open residential balconies or sundecks, and any other appurtenances which, in the opinion of the Director of Planning, are similar to the foregoing;
(b) patios and roof gardens, provided that the Director of Planning first approves the design of sunroofs and walls;
(c) where floors are used for off-street parking and loading, bicycle storage, heating and mechanical equipment, or uses which in the opinion of the Director of Planning are similar to the foregoing, those floors or portions thereof so used, which are at or below the base surface, provided that the maximum exclusion for a parking space shall be 7.3 m in length; or
(d) amenity areas, including day care facilities, recreation facilities, and meeting rooms, to a maximum total of 10 percent of the total building floor area;
(e) areas of undeveloped floors located above the highest storey or half-storey, or adjacent to a storey or half-storey, with a ceiling height of not less than 1.2 m , and to which there is no permanent means of access other than a hatch;
(f) all residential storage space above or below base surface, except that if the residential storage space above base surface exceeds $3.7 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per dwelling unit, there will be no exclusion for any of the residential storage space above base surface for that unit; [8760; 031209$]$
(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000. [8169; 0003 14]

Note: Information included in square brackets [ ] identifies the by-law numbers and dates for the amendments to By-law No. 7135 or provides an explanatory note.

## 4 Height

4.1 The maximum building height measured above the base surface shall be 9.2 m and the building shall not extend beyond 3 storeys.
4.2 Notwithstanding the height limitation in section 4.1, the Director of Planning may permit a building to exceed a height of 9.2 m but not to exceed a height of 10.7 m provided that he considers:
(a) the impact of the increased height on views from surrounding development;
(b) the extent to which the increased height improves the roof lines of the building; and
(c) the effect of the increased height on adjacent properties and the character of the area.

## 5 Setbacks

The minimum setback of a building from the front property boundary shall be 6.0 m .
The minimum setback of a building from the side property boundary shall be 5.0 m .
The minimum setback of a building from the rear property boundary shall be 8.0 m .

## 6 Off-Street Parking and Loading

Off-street parking shall be provided, developed and maintained in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Parking By-law, except that a minimum of 1.1 off-street parking spaces for every dwelling unit plus one space for each $200 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of gross floor area shall be provided.

## 7 Acoustics

All development permit applications shall require evidence in the form of a report and recommendations prepared by a person trained in acoustics and current techniques of noise measurement demonstrating that the noise levels in those portions of the dwelling units listed below shall not exceed the noise level set opposite such portions. For the purposes of this section the noise level is the A-weighted 24-hour equivalent (Leq) sound level and will be defined simply as noise level in decibels.

Portions of Dwelling Units<br>bedrooms<br>Noise Level (Decibels)<br>living, dining, recreation rooms<br>35<br>kitchen, bathrooms, hallways 45

[7515; 9601 11]
8 [Section 8 is not reprinted here. It contains a standard clause including the Mayor and City Clerk's signatures to pass the by-law and to certify the by-law number and date of enactment.]


## CITY OF VANCOUVER



MEMORANDUM


Attached are the minutes of the Special Council meeting (Public Hearing) held on March 25, 1993.


GMAC : det
Attachment

Special Council (Public Hearing), March 25, 1993 . 16 5. Rezoning: 5837-5897 Oak Street/ 1008 West 42nd Avenue

An application by Neale, Staniszkis, Doll, Adams, Architects was considered as follows:

REZONING: 5837-5897 OAK STREET/1008 WEST 42ND AVENUE (Lots 12-15, Block 996, D.L. 526, Plan 7421)

Present Zoning: RS-1 Single-Family District Proposed Zoning: CD-1 Comprehensive Development District
(i) If approved, the rezoning would permit the use and development of the site generally as follows:

- up to 45 seniors' dwelling units in a three-storey apartment building;
- accessory uses;
- maximum floor space ratio of 1.25;
- maximum height of $9.2 \mathrm{~m}(30 \mathrm{ft}$ ));
- acoustic provisions; and
- provisions regarding off-street parking.
(ii) Any consequential amendments.

The Director of Planning recommended approval, subject to the following conditions proposed for adoption by resolution of Council:
(a) That, prior to approval by Council of the form of development, the applicant shall obtain approval of a development application by the Director of planning, who shall have particular regard to the following:
(i) design development to reduce height to three storeys in a visually appealing form and minimize overlook and privacy impact to development across the lane to the west by, among other things:

- variations in height and massing of the third storey; and
- reinforcing the lane side landscape plan by increasing the number and size of specimen trees.
provision of bicycle parking per Council approved guidelines of December 5, 1991 except that only one space be required for every three seniors' units; access underground parking from the lane.


## Clause No. 5 continued

(b) That prior to the enactment of the $C D-1$ BY-law, the registered owner shall:
(i) consolidate the site;
(ii) make suitable arrangements to the satisfaction of the City Engineer, for all electrical and telephone services to be undergrounded within and adjacent to the site from the closest existing, suitable service point;
(iii) make arrangements to the satisfaction of the City Engineer for provision of sidewalk on the south side of 42 nd Avenue, and the north side of 43 rd Avenue from Oak Street to the lane west.

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED CHANGES
5837-5897 OAK STREET/1008 WEST 42ND AVENUE

|  | Current Status | Proposed Amendments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zone Use | ```RS-1 One-Family Dwelling, Family Suite, Institutional``` | $C D-1$ <br> Multiple Dwelling $\leftarrow$ sec |
| Max. FSR <br> Max. <br> Height | $\begin{aligned} & 0.60 \\ & 10.7 \mathrm{~m}(35 \mathrm{ft} .) \end{aligned}$ | 1.25 $10.7 \mathrm{~m}(35 \mathrm{ft}.) \longleftarrow \sec$ |

A review of the correspondence indicated 18 letters supporting the application, one petition containing 34 names supporting the application, one letter from the project architect (received prior to the Council referral to Public Hearing), 29 letters opposing the application, one comprehensive position paper and photographs from a resident opposing the project (on file in the city Clerk's Office).

Mr. Tom Phipps, Planner, reviewed the application for Council. Mr. Phipps advised this site has several attributes which make it a desirable location for multiple residential development, particularly for the specific seniors market intended by the applicant. They are:

- very good transit access, being on Oak Street, one block from $41 s t$ Avenue;
- walking distance to Oakridge Centre;


## Clause No. 5 continued

- near Jewish Community Centre and Louis Brier Home;
- no direct RS-1 adjacency since it is a full block-face with lane separation;
- greater than average site depth of 42.7 m (140 ft.);
- adjacent to C-1 frontage to the north;
- faces institutional sites on the east side of Oak Street; and
- park site diagonally to the southwest.

There is parking congestion in the area already, and the Planing Department has taken measures to ensure the new development does not further contribute to this problem. As a result, the applicant is required to adhere to higher than normal parking standards.

In terms of traffic congestion, the applicant is undertaking a study to look at the impact of the proposed development on traffic in the area. It concluded this project would not have a critical impact on the overall traffic situation, and the city Engineer concurs with the consultant's conclusions.

Planning staff compared the proposed development with a wide range of multi-residential developments in an attempt to determine whether this proposal is appropriate for the location. There are frequent examples of multi-residential developments in C-2 zones, ranging from 1.8 to 2.25 FSR. There are also examples of multiresidential dwellings of 1.45 to 1.85 FSR located in RS-1 zones adjacent to single-family zones. There are also a few instances of multi-family dwellings at 1.45 FSR located in RM-4 zones adjacent to single-family zones. Planning staff feel the form of development is suitable to the local area in this instance, and recommend the application which contains a 1.25 FSR , and a threestorey development. Height restrictions for this building will be the same as the permitted heights in single-family dwellings.

Mr. Phipps also referred to the attention of Council a memorandum from Mr. Rick Scobie, Deputy Director of Planning, in which Planning staff recommend the condition (b)(i) be deleted from the conditions of approval and be achieved at the development permit stage instead.

## Clause No. 5 continued

Mr. Tom Staniszkis, Architect, advised the project has undergone substantial revisions prior to the presentation this evening. He advised that in the context of C-2 zoning, 1.25 FSR is modest, and there are similar densities in several other neighbourhoods. The structure will not have a negative impact on the neighbourhood, as there is a height maximum of 30 feet, and it will be located a minimum of 52 feet from the nearest house. This will eliminate any shadowing problem or any negative view impacts.

Mr. Michael Wallace, on behalf of N. D. Lea Consultants, advised his company had been contracted to undertake a traffic study in the area. He advised the traffic problems in the neighbourhood are caused by the adjacent park and commercial development, and the proposed development will not compound this problem, as long as visitor parking is visible, well signed, and in accordance with the Parking By-law.

The Mayor called for speakers for or against the application and the following delegations were heard:

Mr. Ian Hall, 900 Block Ringwood Avenue, spoke on behalf of a senior citizen in the area. He asked the application not be approved as there is an unbearable traffic problem in the neighbourhood at present, and this development would only worsen the situation. Mr. Hall was also concerned the traffic consultant made no reference to the local schools in his report.

Mr. Ted Morris, 1000 Block West 47th Avenue, advised he has lived in this neighbourhood for 41 years, and feels this development will set a precedent in the neighbourhood and encourage the construction of other similar type buildings. Mr. Morris opposed the application.

Ms. Rene Ragetli, spoke in favour of the project, and advised this is a good location for a development of this type.

Mr. Donald Stewart, 1100 Block West 42nd Avenue, was opposed to the application as it would have an adverse impact on neighbouring dwellings, and would worsen the traffic situation in the neighbourhood.

Mr. Bill Fryfield, 6300 Block Oak Street, addressed Council on behalf of his mother. She has lived in the neighbourhood the last 20 years and would like the opportunity to remain in the neighbourhood and live in one of these new units. Mr. Fryfield advised this application is meeting the existing demand for seniors' housing.

Special Council (Public Hearing), March 25, 1993 . . . . . . 20

## Clause No. 5 continued

Mr. Harry Herman, 6500 Block Pinehurst, spoke in favour of the project. Mr. Herman has relatives living in the neighbouring Louis Brier home, and would like to purchase a unit on this new site, due to its close proximity to the nursing home.

Ms. Tobie Sandomirsky, 2300 Block West $41 s t$ Avenue, spoke in favour of the application. Ms. Sandomirsky is a real estate agent specializing in seniors housing. She advised this project will allow seniors to liquidate the money which is tied up in their existing homes, and permit them to move into new housing of good quality. It has been her experience that a development of this type will compliment the surrounding neighbourhood, and will actually increase land values.

Mr. David Rubin, 6000 Block Willow Street, advised he has lived and worked in the area for the past 37 years, and favours the application before Council. He would like to purchase one of these units due to its proximity to his workplace.

Mr. Tom Haque, 1000 Block West 43 rd Avenue, asked that Council reject the application. Mr. Hague referred to several briefs that he had written to Council outlining his reasons for opposing the project.

Mr. Samuel Wong, 1000 Block West 42 nd Avenue, advised he was not in favour of the application as he was concerned about traffic congestion in the area and the size of the development.

Ms. Marjorie Groverman, 5800 Block Balsam Street, spoke in favour of the application, and referred to the comments of previous speakers who were also in favour of the project.

Mr. Michael Geller, on behalf of the applicant, provided a summary for Council. He advised the project was fully endorsed by the Special Advisory Committee on Seniors, and stated his preference that a restrictive covenant be enacted requiring at least one person per unit to be age 55 or greater. The intent of the project is to integrate new housing into a community where people can continue to live in their neighbourhood. Mr. Geller refuted any claims that this new housing would lead to a decrease in property values.

MOVED by Cllr. Bellamy,
THAT the application be approved, subject to the conditions set out in the minute of this Public Hearing, and subject to amendment as presented in the memorandum from the Deputy Director of Planning dated March 11, 1993.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
(Councillors Eriksen and Puil were not present for the vote)

CITY OF VANCOUVER


MEMORANDUM

From:
CITY CLERK

Date: October 20, 1993 Refer File: 2607-3

To:
Ken Dobell, City Manager
Tom Fletcher, Director of Planning

Subject: Form of Development: 5837 Oak Street D.A. 215673 - CD-1 By-law Number 7135

On October 19, 1993, Vancouver City Council approved the following recommendation contained in an October 8, 1993 Administrative Report (A19):

THAT the approved form of development for the CD-1 zoned site known as 5837 Oak Street be generally approved as illustrated in Development Application Number 215673, prepared by Neale Staniszkis Doll Adams Architects and stamped "Received, City Planning Department September 9, 1993", provided that the Director of Planning may approve design changes which would not adversely affect either the development character of this site or adjacent properties.

## CITY CLERK

Me

MCross:dmy
Letter to: Mr. Drew Ross
Neale Staniszkis Doll Adams Architects \#201 - 134 Abbott Street, Vancouver V6B 2K4

5837-97 0ak Street (Oak Laukins)

BY-LAW NO. 7135

A By-1 aw to amend By-law No. 3575, being the Zoning and Development By-law

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:
1.

The "Zoning District Plan" annexed to By-law No. 3575 as Schedule "D" is hereby amended according to the plan marginally numbered Z-412(b) and attached to this By-law as Schedule "A", and in accordance with the explanatory legends, notations and references inscribed thereon, so that the boundaries and districts shown on the Zoning District Plan are varied, amended or substituted to the extent shown on Schedule "A" of this By-law, and Schedule "A" of this By-law is hereby incorporated as an integral part of Schedule "D" of By-1aw No. 3575.
2. Uses

The area shown included within the heavy black outline on Schedule "A" shall be more particularly described as CD-1(296), and the only uses permitted within the outlined area, subject to such conditions as Council may by resolution prescribe, and the only uses for which development permits will be issued are:
(a) Multiple Dwelling containing a maximum of 45 dwelling units occupied by households of which at least one member is 55 years of age or older.
(b) Accessory Uses customarily ancillary to the above use.

## 3. Floor Space Ratio

3.1 The floor space ratio shall not exceed 1.25. For the purpose of computing floor space ratio, the site shall be all parcels covered by this By-law, and shall be deemed to be $3438.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, being the site size at time of application for rezoning, prior to any dedications.
3.2 The following shall be included in the computation of floor space ratio:
(a) all floors having a minimum ceiling height of 1.2 m , including earthen floor, both above and below ground level, to be measured to the extreme outer limits of the building;
(b) stairways, fire escapes, elevator shafts and other features which the Director of Planning considers similar, to be measured by their gross cross-sectional areas and included in the measurements for each floor at which they are located.
3.3

The following shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio:
(a) open residential balconies or sundecks, and any other appurtenances which, in the opinion of the Director of Planning, are similar to the foregoing;
(b) patios and roof gardens, provided that the Director of Planning first approves the design of sunroofs and walls;
(c) where floors are used for off-street parking and loading, bicycle storage, heating and mechanical equipment, or uses which in the opinion of the Director of Planning are similar to the foregoing, those floors or portions thereof so used, which are at or below the base surface, provided that the maximum exclusion for a parking space shall be 7.3 m in length; or
(d) amenity areas, including day care facilities, recreation facilities, and meeting rooms, to a maximum total of 10 percent of the total building floor area;
(e) areas of undeveloped floors located above the highest storey or half-storey, or adjacent to a storey or half-storey, with a ceiling height of not less than 1.2 m , and to which there is no permanent means of access other than a hatch;
(f) residential storage space provided that where the space is provided at or above base surface, the maximum exclusion shall be $3.7 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per dwelling unit.
4. Height
4.1 The maximum building height measured above the base surface shall be 9.2 m and the building shall not extend beyond 3 storeys.
4.2 Notwithstanding the height limitation in section 4.1, the Director of Planning may permit a building to exceed a height of 9.2 m but not to exceed a height of 10.7 m provided that he considers:
(a) the impact of the increased height on views from surrounding development;
(b) the extent to which the increased height improves the roof lines of the building; and
(c) the effect of the increased height on adjacent properties and the character of the area.

## 5. Setbacks

The minimum setback of a building from the front property boundary shall be $6: 0 \mathrm{~m}$.

The minimum setback of a building from the side property boundary shall be 5.0 m .

The minimum setback of a building from the rear property boundary shall be 8.0 m .
6. Off-Street Parking and Loading

Off-street parking shall be provided, developed and maintained in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Parking By-law, except that a minimum of 1.1 off-street parking spaces for every dwelling unit plus one space for each $200 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of gross floor area shall be provided.

## 7. Acoustics

All development permit applications shall require evidence in the form of a report and recommendations prepared by a person trained in acoustics and current techniques of noise measurement demonstrating that the noise levels in those portions of the dwelling units listed below shall not exceed the noise level set opposite such portions. For the purposes of this section the noise level is the $A$-weighted 24 -hour equivalent (Leq) sound level and will be defined simply as noise level in decibels.

PORTIONS OF OWELLING UNITS
bedrooms
living, dining, recreation rooms
kitchen, bathrooms, hallways
terraces, patios, balconies

NOISE LEVELS (DECIBELS)
35
40
45
45
8. This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 22nd day of June , 1993.

| (signed) Gordon Campbell |
| ---: |
| Mayor |
| (signed) Maria C. Kinsella |
| City Clerk |

"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 22nd day of June 1993, and numbered 7135.

CITY CLERK"

SCHEDULEA
BY-LAW No. 7135 BEING A BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 3575 BEING THE ZONING \& DEVELOPMENT BY-LAW

FORTY-FIRST AVE.


FORTY-THIRD AVE.


The property outlined in black ( $\rightarrow$ ) is rezoned:
From Rs-1 To CD-1
2-412 (b)
RZ-5837-5897 Oak Street

$$
\text { BY-LAW NO. } \quad 7515
$$

A By-law to amend
By-1aw Nos. $3712,4037,4049,4397,4677,5381$,
$5836,5652,6272,6310,6312,6313,6314,6315$,
$6316,6317,6318,6319,6320,6321,6322,6323$,
$6325,6361,6362,6363,6421,6425,6429,6475$,
$6489,6528,6533,6564,6582,6597,6663,6688$,
$6710,6713,6714,6715,6730,6731,6738,6739$,
$6740,6744,6747,6757,6768,6719,6787,6817$,
$6827,6965,7006,7087,7092,7101,7114,7135$,
$7155,7156,7157,7158,7163,7166,7173,7174$,
$7175,7180,7189,7193,7198,7200,7204,7209$,
$7223,7224,7230,7232,7246,7248,7317,7337$,
$7340,7381,7425,7431,7434$ and 7461, being
by-1aws which amended the Zoning and Development
By-1 aw by rezoning areas to CD-1

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:
1.

By-law Nos. 6429, 6597, 7092, 7101, 7224 and 7340 are each amended in section 5 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
2. words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number " 60 " from the right column:

| 4037 | 6688 | 7087 | 7180 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4397 | 6710 | 7155 | 7189 |
| 4677 | 6713 | 7157 | 7209 |
| 5852 | 6731 | 7163 | 7246 |
| 6272 | 6738 | 7166 | 7381 |
| 6363 | 6768 | 7173 | 7455 |
| 6421 | 6787 | 7174 | 7431 |
| 6582 | 6827 | 7175 | 7434 |

3. By-law No. 6730 is amended in section 6.1 by deleting the words "Terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
4. The following By-laws are each amended in section 7 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

| 5836 | 6321 | 6564 | 7114 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6310 | 6322 | 6739 | 7135 |
| 6312 | 6323 | 6740 | 7158 |
| 6315 | 6325 | 6817 | 7223 |
| 6319 | 6528 | 6965 | 7230 |
| 6320 |  |  |  |

5. By-law Nos. 6313, 6314, 6316, 6317, 6318 and 6361 are each amended in section 7.1 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number " 60 " from the right column.
6. By-law Nos. 3712, 4049, 6362, 6425, 6489, 6714, 6715, 7193 and 7337 are each amended in section 8 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number " 60 " from the right column.
7. By-law No. 6779 is amended in section 9 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
8. By-law No. 7198 is amended in section 10 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
9. By-law Nos. 7156, 7200, 7232 and 7248 are each amended in section 11 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
10. By-law No. 6744 is amended in section 12 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number " 60 " from the right column.
11. By-1aw Nos. 6747 and 6757 are both amended in section 13 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
12. By-7aw No. 5381 is amended in section 4.8 .1 by
(a) deleting clause (d), and
(b) relettering clauses (e) and (f) as (d) and (e), respectively.
13. By-law No. 6533 is amended in section 5.6 .1 by deleting clause (d).
14. By-law No. 6475 is amended in section 5.8.1 by deleting clause (d).
15. By-1aw No. 7006 is amended in section 7 by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.
16. By-law No. 7317 is amended in section 9 by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.
17. 

By-1aw No. 7461 is amended in section 9 of Schedule "B" by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.
18. By-law No. 7204 is amended in section 12 of Schedule "B" by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.
19. This By-1aw comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this llth day of
January , 1996.

$$
\frac{\text { "(signed) Jennifer Clarke" }}{\text { Deputy Mayor }}
$$

$\frac{\text { "(signed) Maria C. Kinsella" }}{\text { City Clerk }}$
"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the llth day of January 1996, and numbered 7515.

CITY CLERK"

Special Council (Public Hearing), September 12, 1995

## Clause 1 (a) and (b) (cont'd)

This development is also in keeping with Council's strategy of reducing traffic congestion by encouraging residential development in this area and reducing commuters. The application also provides for a substantial amount of bicycle parking within the new residential complex.

## Staff Closing Comments

Staff offered no additional comments.

## Council Decision

Prior to making a decision, several members of Council expressed the view that staff need to reconsider their approach when notifying residents about rezoning applications, as well as other City-related issues. Members of Council also referred to a previously requested report on waterfront tower height and Council expressed a desire to see this report as soon as possible.

MOVED by Cllr. Bellamy,
THAT this application be approved, subject to the conditions as set out in this minute of the Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Cllr. Price,
THAT the City Manager ensure that when the anticipated report from the Housing Centre on housing affordability comes back, it deals with the issues related to Triangle West and new neighbourhoods.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Balcony Enclosures and Acoustic Requirements

An application by the Director of Land Use and Development was considered as follows:

The proposed amendments to various zoning District Schedules, Official Development Plans and CD-1 Comprehensive Development District By-laws, would either:

- not allow any of the permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for enclosed balconies except in buildings existing prior to April 23, 1985 in which case the present regulations would apply; Or
- continue to permit a maximum of 8 percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded form Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for balconies BUT to permit no more than half of excluded floor area to be enclosed; or
- permit no more than 8 percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for enclosed balconies.

The proposed acoustic amendments would delete the acoustic requirement for balconies, terraces, patios, etc.

Amended Balcony Enclosure Guidelines and Policies are also proposed.

The Director of Land Use and Development recommended approval of this application.

## Staff Opening Comments

Mr. Ralph Segal, Planner, provided background on this issue and introduced the options before Council this evening.

In 1964, in order to improve livability in higher density multiple dwelling developments, open balconies were excluded from FSR to a maximum of eight percent of residential floor area. In the early 1980s, the City received numerous requests from owners of units in existing buildings to enclose their balconies for reasons of poor insulation and acoustics, air drafts and other interior problems. In response, Council in 1985 adopted balcony enclosure guidelines by which enclosed balconies would continue to be excluded from FSR.

Subsequently, in response to the development industry's request for equity, Council permitted this exclusion to apply to new construction, subject to adherence to the guidelines. Since then, new buildings have, to an increasing degree, incorporated enclosed balconies as additional interior space displacing the private open space, the open balconies, for which the FSR exclusion had been originally provided.

Since enclosed balcony space has been successfully marketed at the full per square foot price of the rest of the dwelling unit, many developers have been more and more aggressive in seeking the full eight percent exclusion for enclosed balconies. This differs from a mix of open and enclosed balconies that were anticipated when the exclusion was first put in place.

Special Council (Public Hearing), September 12, 1995

## Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

With the aid of photographs distributed to Council (on file in the City Clerk's Office), Mr. Segal explained that enclosure of most or all balconies bulks up buildings by filling in the volumes of open balconies and intends to create less residential, more office-like buildings. Exclusions from FSR are usually given to encourage developers to provide facilities that are considered important for livability but would likely not be provided without that incentive. In this case, bonuses are being permitted when they the negative affect of displacing the private open space for which the FSR exclusion was intended.

Recommendation A1 would eliminate the FSR exclusion for enclosed balconies except in the buildings existing prior to 1985, as per the original intent of the balcony enclosure provisions. Alternatively, should Council consider that enclosed balconies do have merit, A2 is offered which states that no more than half of the excluded balcony area may be enclosed. The third option, A3 is to simply allow outright the full eight percent exclusion to be enclosed.

This application also proposes an acoustic amendment. At present, acoustic requirements in many district schedules and CD-1 by-laws apply to standards in both rooms within the unit as well as exterior balconies and patios. As the current standard often requires balconies to be enclosed, even when this is not desired, the proposed amendment will delete this requirement. Mr. Segal also explained that amendments are proposed to the balcony enclosure guidelines which would delete provisions calling for easy conversion of enclosed balconies back to open balconies, as well as adding several additional clauses which will clarify the design intent in new construction.

Responding to a question from a member of Council, Mr. Segal advised of an error in the memorandum dated July 18, 1995 from the City Clerk, which referred this matter to Public Hearing. Recommendation $A 1$ makes reference to excluding floor space ratio for enclosed balconies except in buildings existing prior to April 23, 1995. This should read April 23, 1985.

A member of Council enquired whether these guidelines would permit a style of balcony sometimes referred to a french balconies. Mr. Segal advised this style would not be permitted under the proposed guidelines.

Council members also enquired whether thresholds will still be required between the interior unit and the closed balconies. It was confirmed the proposed guidelines still contain this threshold requirement.

## Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

## Correspondence

All correspondence received prior to this matter being referred to Public Hearing was included as Appendix $E$ in the Council report. One additional letter stressing the need for more open balconies in Vancouver and another favouring option A2, were also received.

## Speakers

The Mayor called for speakers for and against the application, and the following addressed Council.

Mr. Hans Schmidt, representing the Society of Soundscape Preservation, expressed concern with the proposed deletion of acoustic requirements, on the grounds that if these requirements are deleted, the City is simply accommodating the noise which exists and not attempting to eliminate or reduce it. A greater emphasis should be directed towards elimination of the source of noise.

Mr. Dugal Purdie, on behalf of the Urban Development Institute (UDI), indicated his support for option A2 as it represents an appropriate compromise. The UDI is strongly opposed to Al as this would affect proformas upon which construction was predicated upon. Mr. Purdie urged Council to support recommendation A2 with an amendment to exclude the applicability of the guidelines to enclosed space, as the Institute believes the total design of the building should be left with the architects and reviewed through the existing development permit process, without the addition of guidelines.

Mr. Stuart Howard, on behalf of the Architectural Institute of British Columbia (AIBC), lent his support to option A2, as it represents a compromise position. AIBC would ultimately prefer option 5 as stated in its May 30 , 1995 brief to Council, but is willing to accept the compromise position. Mr. Howard suggested the Planning Department is naive in its support of option Al because apartments are now significantly smaller in size and the continued requirement of an open balcony would result in a small, unusable space.

## Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,
THAT the City continue to permit a maximum of eight percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor space Ratio (FSR) for balconies, but to permit no more than half of excluded floor area to be enclosed;

FURTHER THAT the requirement that thresholds be included in enclosed balconies be removed.

- CARRIED

Councillors Chiavario, Kwan and Price opposed)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,
THAT those District Schedules and CD-1 by-laws containing an acoustic regulation be amended, to delete the acoustic requirement for on-site open space (i.e., balconies, terraces, patios, etc.), generally as outlined in Appendix $A$ of the Policy Report dated June 6, 1995.

- CARRIED
(Councillor Sullivan opposed)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,
THAT the Balcony Enclosure Guidelines and Policies, amended as noted in Appendix $B$ of the Policy Report dated June 6, 1995, to reflect more practical utilization by residents, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,
THAT Council advise the Planning Department that it supports "French Balconies" where appropriate and that language be incorporated in the balcony regulations and/or guidelines that would encourage their provision.

## thaty OF VANCOUVER



## CITY OF VANCOUVER

## SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

FEBRUARY 24, 2000
A Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Thursday, February 24, 2000, at 7:35 p.m., in Council Chambers, Third Floor, City Hall, for the purpose of holding a Public Hearing to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law and Official Development Plans.

| PRESENT: | Mayor Philip Owen <br> Councillor Fred Bass <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Councillor Jennifer Clarke <br> Councillor Daniel Lee <br> Councillor Don Lee <br> Councillor Sandy McCormick <br> Councillor Sam Sullivan <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> CITYENT: <br> Councillor Lynne Kennedy <br> Councillor Tim Louis <br> Councillor Gordon Price (Sick Leave) <br> CFFICE: Councillor George Puil (Civic Business) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |

## COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee,
SECONDED by Cllr. Daniel Lee,
THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Owen in the Chair, to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development Bylaw and Official Development Plans.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY


## 1. Text Amendments: District Schedules, Official Development Plans and

## [Barrett Commission]

An application by the Director of Current Planning was considered as follows:
Summary: The proposed text amendments would provide floor space exclusions to provide construction incentives to control building envelope leaks.

The Director of Current Planning recommended approval.

## Staff Comments

Jacqui Forbes-Roberts, General Manager of Community Services, provided a brief introduction to the report, noting the proposed text amendments would affect new construction and repairs and restoration of existing buildings. Ms. Forbes-Roberts also requested an amendment to the proposed draft by-law to amend By-law 3575 to add RS1 to Section 4.7.3, (d).

Doug Watts, Building Envelope Specialist, with the aid of a slide presentation, described the specifics of the technical and different design issues of the proposed amendments, and explained what steps other municipalities have taken to address the recommendations arising from the Barrett Commission.

## Summary of Correspondence

Council was advised the following correspondence was received since the date the application was referred to Public Hearing:
one letter in support of 'Option $A$ '.

## Speakers

Mayor Owen called for speakers for and against the application.
The following spoke in support of 'Option A':
John Fowler, Canadian Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institute
Bill McEwen, Masonry Institute of British Columbia (brief filed)
Peter Reese
The foregoing speakers supported 'Option A' based on one or more of the following points:
application of the current FSR calculations has prevented a wide-spread use of precast concrete exterior walls; there have been very few problems with the use of pre-cast concrete, which has proven to be a versatile and durable material;
thicker exterior walls are better walls, because they can include an airspace cavity behind the cladding which provides a "rainscreen" system, more efficient insulation, thicker, more durable cladding materials; current FSR calculations discourage the foregoing;
the proposed changes in FSR definitions will immediately encourage better wall design;
brick and stone-faced walls should be encouraged.

The following generally supported 'Option A' but felt the proposed text amendments should be referred back to staff for further study and discussion with the industry:

John O'Donnell, AIBC
Stuart Howard, Vancouver Planning Coalition
The following is a summary of the foregoing speakers' comments:
Option ' A ' is supported in principle; however the text amendments also should address overhangs, balconies, elevated walkways, yard setbacks, and site coverage;
staff should accept the electronic calculation of areas and the calculations of the Architect, given under seal;
letters of assurance from a building envelope specialist are redundant at an early stage; the proposed text amendments should cover everything instead of the City issuing administrative bulletins to address further changes.

## Staff Closing Comments

Ralph Segal, Planner; Eric Fiss, Planner; and Doug Watts responded to the issues raised by the speakers: the proposed text amendments are the result of a fair bit of consultation with the industry; a building envelope specialist is required to be involved in the process earlier as technical details are to be submitted at the development permit stage; staff are taking a further look at other issues, such as recesses, balconies and walkways.

Ms. Forbes-Roberts advised Council may proceed with the proposed amendmentsto the floor space exclusions and request staff to come back with additional amendments. Staff and the industry would prefer the FSR exclusions not be delayed.

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee,
A. THAT the application by the Director of Current Planning to amend various District Schedules, Official Development Plans and CD-1 By-laws to provide floor space exclusions to provide construction incentives to control building envelope leaks be approved.

FURTHER THAT the draft By-law 3575, section 4.7.3, be amended as follows:
(d) as clause (h) in the following district schedules:

RS-1 and RS-1S RT-4, etc.

## (Italics denote amendment)

B. THAT staff report back on other aspects affecting leakage of buildings, such as overhangs, protection of upper balconies, recesses, etc.

## RISE FROM COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee,
THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY


## ADOPT REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Clarke, SECONDED BY Cllr. Don Lee,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted, and the Director of Legal Services be instructed to prepare and bring forward the necessary by-law amendments.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Special Council adjourned at 9:20 p.m.
$\qquad$

Comments or questions? You can send us email.

## city homepage <br> GET IM TOUCH <br> communiras <br> SEAFOCH

## EXPLANATION

## Zoning and Development <br> Various CD-1 by-laws <br> Amendments re Exterior Wall Exclusion (Barrett Commission Recommendations)

Following a public hearing on February 24, 2000 Council approved an application, as noted above. There were no prior-to conditions and the Director of Current Planning has advised that the attached by-law can now be enacted to implement Council's resolution.

Director of Legal Services
14 March 2000

Exterior Wall Exclusion

BY-LAW NO. 8169


#### Abstract

A By-law to amend By-laws Nos. 356836323706371238653869388538973907391439834037404940854131 423842714358439744124559458045974634467446774775482548294860 486149004918492649284930494049544958499950095011501450285060 509151455179518452225224522953765343538153835407541154165418 547755105548555555795597568357025717576257735810583658385852 586358905927593759505975597659976009603960416057606360646070 607261176155616161696180622162456246625462606263627262776297 630563076310631263136314631563166317631863196320632163226323 632563616362636363946420642164236425642764286429644864496475 .648664896528653365386564657765826594659766546663667666886710 671367146715671867306731673867396740674467476757675967606768 $677967876817681968276838687668368846911691969536962696^{2} 6965$ 700670457087709171017114713571557156715771587159716371 c , 7173 717471757189719371967198720072017204720872097210722372247230 723272357246724872497317732573377340737173817389740574197425 743174347435745974617476751675197522753175517552755675927601  767776797681768276847705771577237820782978347835785278537879 790479277932794879587971799579968016803480438055807380828088 809781098111811681308131 being By-laws which amended the Zoning and Development By-law by rezoning areas to CD-1

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:


1. 

By-law No. 3907 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
2. By-law No. 4412 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
3. By-law No. 5376 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of subclause (iii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:
"(iv) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this subclause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
4.

By-laws No. 4825 and 6325 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of subclause (ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:
"(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this subclause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.1 .
5. By-law No. 5343 is amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (iii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(iv) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000"
6. By-laws No. 4775, 4829, 5222, 5224, 5773 and 6039 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semicolon and by adding the following clause:
"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the

Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
7. By-laws No. 4085, 5411, and 5416 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
8. By-law No. 5407 is amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
9.

The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:
"3.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 1.52 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllllll}
3568 & 3712 & 3885 & 4271 & 4358 & 4634 & 4674 & 4861 & 4900 & 4918 & 4926 & 4928 \\
4930 & 4940 & 4958 & 4999 & 5009 & 5011 & 5014 & 5028 & 5060 & 5145 & 5179 & 5184 \\
5229 & 5418 & 5477 & 5836 & 5838 & 5863 & 5937 & 5950 & 5975 & 5976 & 4954 & 6041 \\
6064 & 6072 & 6117 & 6155 & 6161 & 6180 & 6245 & 6246 & 6260 & 6263 & 6277 & 6297 \\
6305 & 6307 & 6394 & 6420 & 6425 & 6427 & 6428 & 6429 & 6448 & 6449 & 6489 & 6538 \\
6577 & 6594 & 6564 & 6654 & 6663 & 6759 & 6760 & 6779 & 6876 & 6911 & &
\end{array}
$$

10. By-laws No. 6314 and 6582 are each amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the period from the end of clause (ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
11. 

By-law No. 6272 is amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the word "and" from the end of subclause (c)(i), by deleting the period from the end of subclause (c)(ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:
"(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
12. By-law No. 4580 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of the section and substituting it with a semi-colon, by relettering the existing text as clause (a) and by adding the following clause:
"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
13. By-law No. 6884 is amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the word "and" from the end of clause (a), by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.". e
14. By-law No. 5683 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of this section and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor
space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
15.

By-law No. 8088 is amended in Section 3.2 by adding the following clause:
"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.". .
16.

By-law No. 6009 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of subclause (e)(vii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
17.

By-law No. 4677 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of clause ( f ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
18. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following $s$ ion:
"3.3 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

423848605579571758105852589060576070631063126313 63166320636163636423652867146715
19.

By-law No. 7684 is amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (a) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
20. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the and from clause (a) and by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

7705745974357434741973896718
21. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

545855485597696270457682
22. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3897398355107144720874767516782079277996
23.

The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
" (f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 2 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

```
50916486 6676 66886713 6730678768177159733775317552
755676457652771578357971 8111
```

24. The By-laws listed below are each amended in.Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

| 4391 | 4049 | 4397 | 4597 | 6421 | 6710 | 6731 | 6738 | 6739 | 6740 | 6768 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6827 | 6838 | 6919 | 6953 | 6963 | 6965 | 7006 | 7091 | 7092 | 7101 | 7135 |
| 7155 | 7157 | 7158 | 7163 | 7166 | 7175 | 7189 | 7193 | 7196 | 7198 | 7210 |
| 7223 | 7224 | 7230 | 7325 | 7340 | 7381 | 7519 | 7551 | 7602 | 7638 | 7639 |
| 7647 | 7651 | 7655 | 7723 | 7932 | 7948 | 8082 |  |  |  |  |

25. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause $(\mathrm{g})$ and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

38697173752276017656767278347852785379047958
26. By-laws No. 4559, 7209, 7425 and 7431 are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause ( h ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
27. By-laws No. 5997 and 7829 are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (i) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(j) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
28.

The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:
"3.4 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

576259276315631763186319632163236362
29. By-law No. 7980 is amended
(a) in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.", and
(b) in Section 3.7 by deleting the period from the end of clause ( $f$ ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
30. By-laws No. 7087 and 7174 are each amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause ( $f$ ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
" (g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
31.

By-law No. 7246 is amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause ( h ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
32. By-laws No. 8034, 8043 and 8116 are each amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause ( $f$ ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
33. By-laws No. 6322 and 6597 are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:
"3.5 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
34.

By-law No: 8016 is amended in Section 3.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
35. By-law No. 8055 is amended in Section 3.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause ( h ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
36. By-law No. 8130 is amended in Section 3.6 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
37.

By-law No. 7648 is amended in Section 3.6 by deleting the period from the end of clause ( $f$ ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
38. By-laws No. 6063 and 6221 are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:
"4.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
39. By-law No: 5555 is amended in Section 4 bydeleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
40. By-law No. 5705 is amended in Section 4 by adding the following section:
"4.3 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
41.

By-law No. 7371 is amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (a) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
42. By-law No. 7249 is amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
43. By-laws No. 5702 and 7673 are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
44.

By-laws No. 6819 and 7238 are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
45. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause ( $f$ ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
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46. By-law No. 5381 is amended in Section 4.3 .3 by adding after the existing text the following:
" - where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
47. By-law No. 7592 is amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
48. By-law No. 6883 is amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
49. By-laws No. 4037 and 7405 are each amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum
exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
50.

By-law No. 7201 is amended in Section 4.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
51. By-law No. 5383 is amended in Section 5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
52.

By-law No. 6533 is amended in Section 5.2.4 by deleting the period at the end of the existing text and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following:
" - where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March $14,2000 . "$.
53.

By-law No. 7654 is amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause ( $f$ ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
54. By-law No. 7677 is amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum
exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
55. By-laws No. 7675, 7681 and 8109 are each amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
56. By-laws No. 3865 and 6475 are each amended in Section 5.3 .3 by deleting the period from the end of the existing text and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following:
" - where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
57. By-law No. 7879 is amended in Section 5.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause ( $f$ ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
58.

By-law No. 8131 is amended in Section 5.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause ( j ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(k) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
59. By-law No. 6169 is amended in Section 6 by adding the following section:
"6.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the

Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
60. By-law No. 7679 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
61. By-law No. 7317 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause ( $f$ ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
62. By-laws No. 7156, 7200, and 7232 are each amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
63.

By-law No. 7461 is amended in Section 6.3 of Schedule B by deleting the period from the end of clause ( h ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
64.

By-law No. 7248 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (i) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(j) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
65. By-law No. 6744 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause ( j ) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(k) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
66. By-laws No. 6747 and 7204 are each amended in Section 7.3 of Schedule B, by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
67. By-law No. 6757 is amended in Section 7.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
68. By-law No. 6254 is amended in Section 8 by deleting the period from the end of the second clause (a), which clause ends with the word "computation", and substituting a semi-colon and by inserting the following clause:
"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm , but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000;"
69. This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 14th day of March, 2000.
(Signed) Philip W. Owen
Mayor
(Signed) Ulli S. Watkiss
City Clerk
"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 14th day of March 2000, and numbered 8169.


## CITY OF VANCOUVER

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

NOVEMBER 20, 2003

A Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Thursday, November 20, 2003, at 7:30 p.m., in the Council Chamber, Third Floor, City Hall, for the purpose of holding a Public Hearing to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law, Official Development Plans, Sign By-law and to designate property as protected heritage property and to enter into a Heritage Revitalization Agreement.

| PRESENT: | Mayor Larry Campbell <br> Councillor David Cadman <br> Councillor Peter Ladner <br> Councillor Raymond Louie <br> Councillor Tim Louis <br> Councillor Anne Roberts <br> Councillor Tim Stevenson <br> *Councillor Sam Sullivan <br> Councillor Ellen Woodsworth |
| :--- | :--- |
| ABSENT: | Councillor Fred Bass (Leave of Absence - Civic Business) <br> Councillor Jim Green (Civic Business) |
| CITY CLERK'S OFFICE: | Laura Kazakoff, Meeting Coordinator |

*Denotes absence for a portion of the meeting.

## COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

## MOVED by Councillor Louis

SECONDED by Councillor Cadman
THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Campbell in the Chair, to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law, Official Development Plans, Sign By-law, to designate property as protected heritage property and to enter into a Heritage Revitalization Agreement.

## CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

## 1. Text Amendment: Zoning and Development By-law, CD-1s, and Official Development Plans

An application by the Director of Current Planning was considered as follows:

Summary: Housekeeping amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law, including CD-1s and Official Development Plans.

The Director of Current Planning recommended approval.

## Staff Comments

Dave Thomsett, Senior Planner, Rezoning Centre, was present to respond to questions.

## Summary of Correspondence

No correspondence was received since the date the application was referred to Public Hearing.

## Speakers

The Mayor called for speakers for and against the application and none were present.

## Council Decision

MOVED by Councillor Louis
THAT the application by the Director of Current Planning to amend the Zoning \& Development By-law, CD-1 By-laws and Official Development Plans generally as set out in Appendices A\&B of the Policy Report "Miscellaneous Text Amendments: Zoning and Development By-law, CD-1 By-laws, and Official Development Plans, Zoning and Development Fee By-law and Policies and Guidelines" dated September 23, 2003, be approved.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
(Councillor Sullivan absent for the vote)

## MOVED by Councillor Cadman

 SECONDED by Councillor LouieTHAT Council, except for those members excused as noted in the agenda, enact the by-laws listed on the agenda for this meeting as numbers 1 to 11 inclusive, and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to sign and seal the enacted by-laws.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1. A By-law to amend Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 (re miscellaneous text amendments) (By-law No. 8758)
2. A By-law to amend By-law Nos. 6180, 6320, and 8587 which amended Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 by rezoning certain areas to CD-1 (By-law No. 8759)
3. A By-law to amend miscellaneous CD-1 By-laws (re miscellaneous text and residential storage amendments) (By-law 8760)
(Councillors Bass, Green and Sullivan excused from voting)
4. A By-law to amend Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 (re miscellaneous text and residential storage amendments) (By-law 8761)
(Councillors Bass, Green and Sullivan excused from voting)
5. A By-law to amend Downtown District Official Development Plan By-law No. 4912, DowntownEastside/Oppenheimer District Official Development Plan By-law No. 5532, and Southeast Granville Slopes Official Development Plan By-law No. 5752 (By-law 8762)
(Councillors Bass, Green and Sullivan excused from voting)
6. A By-law to amend Solid Waste and Recycling By-law No. 8417 to regulate certain 2004 utility fees and miscellaneous amendments (By-law 8763)
7. A By-law to amend Water Works By-law No. 4848 (2004 Fees and miscellaneous amendments) (By-law 8764)
8. A By-law to authorize the borrowing of certain sums of money from January 8, 2004 to January 7, 2005 pending the collection of real property taxes (By-law No. 8765)
9. A By-law to amend By-law No. 8093, being the Sewer and Watercourse By-law (Establishment of 2004 Sewer Use and Connection Rates) (By-law No. 8766)
10. A By-law to amend License By-law No. 4450 re 2004 marina operator fee increases
(By-law No. 8767)
11. A By-law to amend Impounding By-law No. 3519 (2004 Charges re Towing Service Contract) (By-law 8768)
