CD-1 (223)

Back-up Notes Summary Page

2750-2798 East 28th Avenue and 4400-4402 Kaslo Street

BY-LAWS

CD-1 (223) - By-law No. 6361 and any subsequent amending by-laws

http://app.vancouver.ca/bylaw_net/Report.aspx?bylawid=6361

Consequential By-laws

Parking No. 11428 Schedule C <u>https://bylaws.vancouver.ca/parking/SchedC.pdf</u>

POLICIES and GUIDELINES

29th Avenue Station Area CD-1 Guidelines (Kaslo Street-End Site) (By-law No. 6361) – <u>http://</u> <u>former.vancouver.ca/commsvcs/guidelines/CD-1/T005.pdf</u>

Transportation 2040 (2012) -

http://vancouver.ca/files/cov/Transportation 2040 Plan as adopted by Council.pdf

PUBLIC HEARINGS - Minutes, Reports, Posted By-laws, etc.

<u>PH Date</u> May 12, 1988 - Item 3 <u>Summary</u> - from RS-1 to develop low-rise apartments or townhouses on sites adjacent to the A.L.R.T. system and impacted by the guideways, stations, bus loops and additional traffic on arterial streets <u>By-law and Guidelines enacted</u> on June 21, 1988

<u>PH Date</u> September 12, 1995 - Item 2 <u>Summary</u> – to amend CD-1 (223) to delete the acoustic requirement for on-site open space (i.e. balconies, terraces, patios, etc.) <u>TA enacted</u> on January 11, 1996 – <u>By-law No. 7515</u>

<u>PH Date</u> February 24, 2000 – Item 1 <u>Summary</u> – to amend CD-1 (223) to provide floor space exclusions to provide construction incentives to control building envelope leaks TA enacted on March 14, 2000 – By-law No. 8169

<u>PH Date</u> December 12, 2006 - Item 2 - <u>https://council.vancouver.ca/20061212/ph20061212WEB.htm</u> <u>Summary</u> - to amend CD-1 (223) to allow "one-family dwelling with secondary suite" as a use <u>TA enacted</u> on December 12, 2006 - <u>By-law No. 9414</u>

<u>PH Date</u> November 24, 2015 - Item 1 - <u>https://council.vancouver.ca/20151124/phea20151124ag.htm</u> <u>Summary</u> – to amend CD-1 (223) to update the off-street parking requirements <u>TA enacted</u> on *December 15, 2015* – <u>By-law No. 11417</u>

Backup Notes

#223 - Item 3 - Kaslo St. End

CITY OF VANCOUVER

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING

A Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Thursday, May 12, 1988 in the Council Chamber, Third Floor, City Hall at approximately 7:30 p.m., for the purpose of holding a Public Hearing to amend the Zoning and Development By-law.

PRESENT:	Mayor Campbell
	Aldermen Baker, Bellamy,
	Owen, Price and Taylor

<u>ABSENT</u>: Aldermen Boyce, Caravetta, Davies, Eriksen and Puil

CLERK TO THE COUNCIL: Mrs. J. Thomas

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Ald. Bellamy, SECONDED by Ald. Owen,

THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Campbell in the Chair, to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

 Text Amendment - Landscape Setback - McLean Drive and East 3rd Avenue

An application of the Director of Planning was considered as follows:

TEXT AMENDMENT: LANDSCAPE SETBACK - MCLEAN DRIVE AND E. 3RD AVENUE

- (i) The proposed amendment to Schedule C of Zoning and
 - Development By-law No. 3575, if approved, would establish a 6.0 ft. landscape setback along the west side of McLean Drive from Grandview Highway North to Third Avenue, and along the south side of Third Avenue from McLean Drive to the lane west of McLean Drive.
- (ii) Any consequential amendments.

The Director of Planning recommended the application be approved.

There were no speakers for or against the application.

MOVED by Ald. Bellamy,

THAT the application of the Director of Planning be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Rezoning - 4000 Block Nanaimo Street

Council considered an application of the Director of Planning as follows:

REZONING: LOCATION - 4000 BLOCK NANAIMO STREET (Lot C, Northwest Quarter of Section 46, T.H.S.L., Plan 21336) Present Zoning: CD-1 Comprehensive Development District (By-law No. 6313) Proposed Zoning: RS-1 One-Family Dwelling District (i) The draft by-law, if approved, would rezone the site to a rezoning more consistent with present site use and development as vehicular (transit) access to the bus loop at Nanaimo Station.

(ii) Consequential amendments to CD-1 By-law No. 6313.

The Director of Planning recommended the application be approved.

There were no speakers for or against the application.

MOVED by Ald. Bellamy,


THAT the application of the Director of Planning be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. Rezoning - Kaslo Street End and 28th Avenue

Council considered an application of the Director of Planning as follows:

REZONING: LOCATION - KASLO STREET END AND 28TH AVENUE (See Diagram 1 below) Present Zoning: RS-1 One-Family Dwelling District Proposed Zoning: CD-1 Comprehensive Development District



- (i) The draft CD-1 by-law, if approved, would accommodate the use and development of the site generally as follows: - one-family dwelling;
 - multiple dwelling;
 - maximum floor space ratio of 1.0 for a multiple dwelling and 0.60 for a one-family dwelling; - maximum density of 35 units per acre;

 - maximum height of 35 ft.;
 - acoustic requirements;
 - storm water storage requirements; and
 - provisions regarding off-street parking
- (ii) Any consequential amendments.

Clause No. 3 Continued

The Director of Planning recommended approval subject to the following condition proposed for adoption by resolution of Council:

(a) The approval in principle of the document entitled, "29th Avenue Station Area Guidelines - Kaslo Street-End Site".

There were no speakers for or against the application.

MOVED by Ald. Owen,

THAT the application be approved subject to the condition proposed by the Director of Planning as set out in this Minute of the Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4. Rezoning - 5515-5665 Boundary Road 3690 Vanness Avenue

Council considered an application of the Director of Planning as follows:

REZONING: LOCATION - 5515-5665 BOUNDARY ROAD AND 3690 VANNESS AVENUE (Lots 10-24, Plan 2889, and Lot 'C', Plan 13894, all of Block 6, D.L. 36) Present Zoning: RS-1 One-Family Dwelling District Proposed Zoning: CD-1 Comprehensive Development District

- (i) The draft CD-1 by-law, if approved, would accommodate the use and development of the site generally as follows:
 - one-family dwelling;
 - two-family dwelling;
 - multiple dwelling;
 - maximum floor space ratio of 1.45 for a multiple dwelling and 0.60 for a one-family dwelling or a two-family dwelling;
 - maximum height of 120 ft. for a multiple dwelling;
 - acoustic requirements; and
 - provisions regarding off-street parking.
- (ii) Any consequential amendments.

The Director of Planning recommended approval subject to the following condition proposed for adoption by resolution of Council:

(a) The approval in principle of the document entitled, "Joyce Station Area Guidelines - Boundary Road and Vanness Avenue Site".

There were no speakers for or against the application; however, the Mayor ascertained eight members of the public present including Ms. C. Taulu, Chairman of the Joyce Station Area Planning Committee, supported the application.

MOVED by Ald. Bellamy,


THAT the application be approved subject to the condition proposed by the Director of Planning as set out in this Minute of the Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. Rezoning - 3263-3327 Vanness Avenue and 5092 McHardy Street

Council considered an application of the Director of Planning as follows:

REZONING: LOCATION - 3263-3327 VANNESS AVENUE AND 5092 MCHARDY STREET (See Diagram 2 below) Present Zoning: RS-1 One-Family Dwelling District Proposed Zoning: CD-1 Comprehensive Development District



- (i) The draft CD-1 by-law, if approved, would accommodate the use and development of the site generally as follows:
 one-family dwelling;
 - multiple dwelling;
 - maximum floor space ratio of 1.20 for a multiple dwelling and 0.60 for a one-family dwelling;
 - maximum height of 39 ft.;
 - acoustic requirements;
 - storm water storage requirements; and
 - provisions regarding off-street parking;
- (ii) Any consequential amendments

The Director of Planning recommended approval subject to the following condition proposed for adoption by resolution of Council:

(a) The approval in principle of the document entitled, "Joyce Station Area Guidelines - Boundary Road and Vanness Avenue Site".

There were no speakers for or against the application.

MOVED by Ald. Bellamy,

THAT the application be approved subject to the condition proposed by the Director of Planning as set out in this Minute of the Public Hearing.


- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6. Rezoning - Joyce Street between Wellington and Euclid Avenues

Council considered an application of the Director of Planning as follows:

REZONING: LOCATION - JOYCE STREET BETWEEN WELLINGTON AND EUCLID AVENUES (See Diagram 3 below) Present Zoning: C-1 Commercial District Proposed Zoning: C-2C Commercial District - Site "O" on Diagram 3; RM-4N Multiple Dwelling District - Site "P" on Diagram 3.

Any consequential amendments.



The Director of Planning recommended approval.

There were no speakers for or against the application.

MOVED by Ald. Taylor,

THAT the application of the Director of Planning be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7. Text Amendment - CD-1 By-laws Nos. 6310-6320 Inclusive

Council considered an application of the Director of Planning as follows:

TEXT AMENDMENT: CD-1 BY-LAWS NO. 6310-6320 INCLUSIVE

- (i) The proposed amendment to Section 5 (Dwelling Unit Density) of each of the CD-1 By-laws, if approved, would revise the maximum permitted dwelling units per hectare to be consistent with the stated maximum permitted dwelling units per acre.
- (ii) Any consequential amendments.

Clause No. 7 Continued

The Director of Planning recommended approval.

There were no speakers for or against the application.

MOVED by Ald. Baker,

THAT the application of the Director of Planning be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8. Parking By-law No. 6059 -Text Amendment to Section 4.2.1.3

Council considered an application of the Director of Planning as follows:

PARKING BY-LAW NO. 6059 - TEXT AMENDMENT TO SECTION 4.2.1.3

- (i) The proposed amendment, if approved, would establish off-street parking requirements for a multiple dwelling or infill multiple dwelling located in the RT-5N Zoning District.
- (ii) Any consequential amendments.

The Director of Planning recommended approval.

There were no speakers for or against the application.

MOVED by Ald. Price,

THAT the application of the Director of Planning be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

RISE FROM COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Ald. Bellamy, THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ADOPT REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Ald. Bellamy, SECONDED by Ald. Owen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted and the Director of Legal Services be instructed to prepare and bring forward the necessary by-law amendments.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Special Council adjourned at 7:50 p.m.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

MAY 1988

29 TH AVENUE STATION AREA GUIDELINES FOR CD-1 BY-LAW NO. 6361

KASLO STREET-END SITE

Adopted by City Council June 21, 1988

CONTENTS

.

1	APPLICATION AND INTENT	1
2 2.1 2.3 2.4 2.6 2.8 2.9 2.13	GENERAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS Site Context Orientation Views Light and Ventilation Noise Privacy Parking	2 2 3 3 4 5 6
4 4.2 4.3 4.4	GUIDELINES PERTAINING TO THE REGULATIONS OF THE ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT BY-LAW Frontage Height. Yards	6 6 7
5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	ARCHITECTURAL COMPONENTS Roofs Windows Entrances Balconies Exterior Walls and Finishes	8 8 9 9 10
7	OPEN SPACE	10
8	LANDSCAPING	11
9	STORM WATER STORAGE	14

NOTE: The guidelines in this document are organized under standardized headings. As a consequence, there are gaps in the numbering sequence where no guidelines apply under a standardized heading.

29TH AVENUE STATION AREA GUIDELINES FOR CD-1 BY-LAW KASLO STREET END SITE

APPLICATION AND INTENT

1

These guidelines should be used in conjunction with the CD-1 By-Law for multiple residential development on the Kaslo Street End site, zoned CD-1 (Figure 1). The guidelines will be used by City staff in the evaluation of projects. Applicants should also refer to Chapter 3: New Development Opportunities and Chapter 7: Implementation and Development Principles in the Nanaimo/29th Avenue Station Areas Plan.

The ALRT redevelopment sites are mainly located in established single-family neighbourhoods. Most sites are also adjacent to and physically impacted by the ALRT system or busy arterial streets. The major guideline objectives are:

- (a) To ensure that new development is compatible with the physical character of the neighbourhood;
- (b) To achieve residential liveability by dealing with the impacts of the ALRT system and arterial streets; and
- (c) To achieve high quality development that assists in establishing a stronger neighbourhood character and image.

It may not always be possible to achieve all the guideline objectives outlined in this document. On each site trade offs will be considered to achieve the major guideline objectives.

The intent in developing the Kaslo Street End site is to provide multiple housing that can deal with the impact of the ALRT system. This housing should be scaled to fit into the surrounding single-family area and should create a frontage character for 28th Avenue.

> 29th Avenue Station Area Kaslo Street End Site May 1988

City of Vancouver Planning Department




Figure 1. 29th Avenue Station Area - Kaslo Street End Site

2 GENERAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

2.1 Site Context

This site is located in a stable residential area and is flanked by single-family homes to the north and east. The site fronts on 28th Avenue and on Kaslo Street. The depressed ALRT guideway borders the south side of the site. Two other CD-1 zoned multiple residential sites are located immediately to the north across 28th Avenue and to the south across the below grade ALRT guideway.

Although there are few prominent design elements in the surrounding neighbourhood, there is potential for emphasizing positive features to create a more identifiable community. Elements that establish character include topography, view, landscaping, building scale and building features such as roof types, windows, entrances and finishing materials.

OBJECTIVE:

New development should respond positively to the site context and the existing scale and character of the surrounding neighbourhood.

This can be achieved by:

(a) Being compatible with the scale and character of the surrounding neighbourhood.

City of Vancouver Planning Department 29th Avenue Station Area Kaslo Street End Site May 1988

- (b) Ensuring that the liveability of any new dwelling unit is not compromised by ALRT and bus impacts.
- (c) Helping establish a stronger neighbourhood character and image.

2.3 Orientation

The neighbouring subdivision pattern results in existing homes on 28th Avenue facing north-south and those on the east side of Kaslo Street facing east-west. In each case, these homes face toward the site as it extends west along 28th Avenue from Kaslo Street. The neighbourhood would benefit visually if new development fronts on 28th Avenue, facing towards these existing homes.

OBJECTIVE:

New development should reflect the street orientation of existing homes along 28th Avenue and Kaslo Street to the north.

This can be achieved by orienting front entrances to establish a frontage character consistent with the development opposite on 28th Avenue and adjacent on Kaslo Street.

2.4 Views

Views are a major amenity in residential development. Views of the downtown and northshore mountains may be possible from the site and new development may benefit from this view opportunity. However, new development should not unduly detract from views of existing dwellings to the north and east of Site N. A view analysis should be provided, illustrating protection of existing views from homes to the north and east.

OBJECTIVE:

New development should take advantage of potential views without unduly compromising existing views enjoyed by neighbouring homes.

This can be achieved through orientation and articulation of facades and careful alignment of roof lines.

2.6 Light and Ventilation

Adequate natural light and ventilation are necessary for residential liveability. However, the need to mitigate impacts could conflict with providing light and ventilation along building walls facing the ALRT and bus loop. New development must achieve solutions to this conflict to ensure residential liveability. Below grade dwelling units and their private outdoor spaces would not receive adequate light.

OBJECTIVE:

New development should provide adequate natural light and ventilation to all dwelling units.

City of Vancouver Planning Department 29th Avenue Station Area Kaslo Street End Site May 1988 This can be achieved by:

- (a) Maximizing the number of exterior walls with windows for each dwelling unit.
- (b) Using alternatives to standard windows such as skylights and glass block to allow light through any walls which directly abut the guideway without an intervening noise fence.
- (c) Locating dwelling units at or above grade only.
- (d) Minimizing the impact of building massing on present light levels enjoyed by adjacent properties.

2.8 Noise

Low noise levels are a major element in residential liveability. This site is impacted by noise from ALRT trains and buses. New development must be noise tolerant.


OBJECTIVE:


New development should minimize ALRT and bus noise in dwelling units.

This can be achieved by:

- (a) Locating rooms most affected by noise such as living rooms, dining rooms and bedrooms away from the noise source (Figure 2).
- (b) Using materials and construction methods that limit noise transmission such as masonry construction, double stud insulated walls, triple glazing and glass block.
- (c) Locating noise buffers such as glazed balconies, walls, fences and berms between the noise source and dwelling units.
- (d) Providing alternate ventilation systems such as baffled wall vents.
- (e) Constructing noise fences adjacent to the ALRT guideway and bus loop using materials compatible with the main building.

City of Vancouver Planning Department





2.9 Privacy

29th Avenue traffic, particularly buses, creates some privacy problems due to overlook into this site. New development that is higher than adjacent buildings could also create privacy problems. However, sensitive site and dwelling unit planning can reduce overlook problems, minimize the loss of privacy on adjacent sites and screen sites north of the site from 29th Avenue and the bus loop.

OBJECTIVE:

New development should respect existing levels of privacy.

This can be achieved by:

- (a) Designing and landscaping new development to ensure that the privacy of adjacent sites is not unduly compromised.
- (b) Ensuring that new development has a high degree of individual unit privacy through careful location and treatment of windows, balconies and patios.
- (c) Using building massing and landscaping to screen views from north of the site to the bus loop and 29th Avenue.

2.13 Parking

Underground parking should be located below grade limiting any exposed structure. Any exposed structure and surface parking areas should be well screened and suitably treated.

4 GUIDELINES PERTAINING TO REGULATIONS OF THE ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT BY-LAW

4.2 Frontage

The most common building frontage in the neighbourhood is that of a single-family home on a single lot. This sets up a recognizable rhythm of spacing from house to house. New higher density development will be built on larger sites possibly disrupting this established pattern.

OBJECTIVE:

New development should provide a frontage character that is compatible with existing single-family development. It should also create visual interest and avoid an anonymous box-like image.

- This can be achieved by:
- (a) Physically breaking the building into a number of smaller elements.
- (b) Visually breaking facades into smaller individual components.
- (c) Articulating building facades to express individual units.

Figure 3. Example of New Development Creating Frontage Character.





4.3 Height

The existing character of the neighbourhood is in part created by the predominant one to two-storey height of single-family development. New development will be higher in order to achieve its maximum density. It

City of Vancouver Planning Department 29th Avenue Station Area Kaslo Street End Site May 1988 should also respond to lower building heights in the surrounding neighbourhood.

OBJECTIVE:

New development should screen the 29th Avenue Station and bus loop from the remainder of the site and should provide a visual transition to the lower height of nearby single-family homes.

This can be achieved by:

- (a) Locating the highest building elements adjacent to the ALRT guideway.
- (b) Providing variations in height to create visual interest and a transition to the lower height of nearby single-family homes.


4.4 Yards

Yards are an important element that create scale and character for an area. Most single-family homes in the neighbourhood have front yards of 6.1 to 7.3 metres (20 to 24 feet) and 1.0 metre (3 foot) side yards. Typical rear yards are 7.6 metres (25 feet). Front yards provide continuous open space along the street edge while rear yards provide private outdoor space.

OBJECTIVE:

New development should respect and continue the existing yard rhythm and landscape character of adjoining single-family development.

Figure 4. Suggested Setbacks for the Kaslo Street End Site.



29th Avenue Station Area Kaslo Street End Site May 1988

City of Vancouver Planning Department This can be achieved by:

- (a) Providing a 6.1 metre (20 foot) setback along 28th Avenue and along 28th Avenue within 30.5 metres (100 feet) of Kaslo Street and at least a 4.9 metre (16 foot) setback west of this point.
- (b) Providing a 3.5 metre (11.6 foot) side yard adjoining lot N on the east side of Kaslo Street and adjoining 29th Avenue.
- (c) Providing a 7.6 metre (25 foot) rear yard adjoining the lane east of Kaslo Street (Figure 4).
- (d) Providing a 1.0 metre (3.3 foot) setback between the building or noise fence and the ALRT guideway, for landscaping, when the exposed walls have been designed to deal with privacy and noise impacts, if this approach creates more useable open space and encourages unit orientation away from the guideway.

5 ARCHITECTURAL COMPONENTS

5.1 Roofs

Roofs can assist in giving an area character and identity and often define the building's use. There are a variety of pitched roof types in the neighbourhood, reflecting a residential character.

OBJECTIVE:

New development should have roofs that are compatible with the existing neighbourhood character and create visual interest.

This can be achieved by:

- (a) Integrating pitched roofs into the overall design to provide residential character. These should strengthen neighbourhood identity, be compatible with adjacent housing and avoid a "tacked-on" look.
- (b) Emphasizing entrances and expressing dwelling identity by incorporating secondary roofs.
- (c) Clustering and screening any mechanical equipment and venting.

5.2 <u>Windows</u>

Windows are an important element in establishing character. In this neighbourhood windows are of the standard residential type. New development provides an opportunity to enhance visual interest and the sense of quality construction by emphasizing window detailing. However, particular care must be taken in the treatment of any windows affected by ALRT and bus impacts.

OBJECTIVE:

New development should use windows that create visual interest and reinforce the residential character of the neighbourhood.

This can be achieved by:

- (a) Emphasizing residential character by using articulated window types such as bay windows and windows with more detailing and emphasized framing that express unit individuality.
- (b) Suitably treating any windows affected by ALRT and bus impacts to reduce noise and ensure privacy.

5.3 Entrances

Entrances are a key component in a building's design and traditionally are its major focus. Most older houses in the area have highly visible single street-facing entrances, some at grade and others accessible from a substantial staircase.

OBJECTIVE:

New development should emphasize entrances.

This can be achieved by:

- (a) Providing individual grade access to as many dwelling units as possible.
- (b) Creating visual interest by use of porches, staircases, entrance roofs and door detailing.
- (c) Locating and designing lobbies to be clearly visible and directly accessible from the street.

5.4 Balconies

With an increase in density, balconies will provide needed outdoor space. The design of balconies should consider privacy, useability, integration with the overall design and ALRT and bus impacts.

OBJECTIVE:

New residential development should provide balconies which are useable, private and ALRT and bus-tolerant.

This can be achieved by:

(a) Providing balconies with a minimum depth of 6 feet.

- (b) Orienting and screening balconies to ensure a high degree of privacy from other units and adjacent balconies.
- (c) Providing balcony walls without gaps and suitably screening balconies affected by ALRT and bus impacts to reduce noise and ensure privacy.
- (d) Integrating balconies into the overall building design to avoid a "tacked-on" look.

5.5 Exterior Walls and Finishes

Most houses in the neighbourhood are finished in combinations of stucco and wood with some use of brick and stone trim. The detailing and finishing of long walls requires careful attention to ensure an attractive image when viewed from nearby homes, the B.C. Parkway or the ALRT station.

OBJECTIVE:

New development should employ finishing materials that create a strong, attractive and cohesive character and minimize visual impacts of continuous building walls.

- This can be achieved by:
- (a) Using a limited number of finishing materials common to the area.
- (b) Avoiding uninterrupted stucco walls.
- (c) Articulating and texturing building walls adjacent to the ALRT guideway.

OPEN SPACE

7

Open space creates character and liveability in residential areas. Surrounding single-family homes provide open space in their front and rear yards. New development at a higher density will likely provide communal open spaces or private patios and balconies.

OBJECTIVE:

New development should provide a variety of open spaces which are useable, easily supervised, compatible with the characteristic open space of the neighbourhood and buffered from ALRT and bus impacts. The design of on-site open space should be integrated with provision of access to the 29th Avenue Station across this site from Kaslo Street.

- This can be achieved by:
- (a) Defining open space by the careful siting and massing of buildings rather than being left over areas resulting from the building design (Figure 5).

City of Vancouver Planning Department

- (b) Incorporating into the open space plan a pedestrian route across this site from Kaslo Street to 29th Avenue.
- (c) Providing alternatives to ground floor open space when site coverage is greater than 50%, such as large balconies and roof decks.
- (d) Providing private open space directly accessible from each unit in the form of a yard, roof garden or large balcony. Ground level private open space should be defined by landscaping or screening and landscaping.
- (e) Suitably screening any open space affected by ALRT and bus impacts to reduce noise and ensure privacy.
- (f) Setting back any privacy fencing 3.0 metres (10 feet) from the front property line to ensure visual continuity of open space along the street. Any fencing should be designed to promote casual surveillance from the street or the pedestrian route by permitting some view of the dwelling unit without sacrificing unit privacy.

28 th. AVE. PORMAL FRONT YARD OPEN SPACE SIDE YARD OPEN SPACE AT STREET END THE STREET EN

Figure 5. Open Space Opportunities.

8 LANDSCAPING

Landscaping defines public-private space and creates neighbourhood character. It can also assist in mitigating ALRT and bus impacts. The predominant form of landscaping in the neighbourhood is simple, formal front yards with ornamental trees and gardens. To respond to the variety of uses of open space, both hard and soft surfaces, including pavers, cobblestones, tile and lawn areas, should be provided.


City of Vancouver Planning Department 29th Avenue Station Area Kaslo Street End Site May 1988 OBJECTIVE:


New landscaping should compliment and enhance the predominant landscape character of the neighbourhood. It should also help mitigate impacts and integrate new development into the neighbourhood.

This can be achieved by:

- (a) Ensuring that new landscaping is compatible with the existing neighbourhood character.
- (b) Providing landscaped patios, balconies and roof decks.
- (c) Using landscaped treatments adjacent to the ALRT guideway to visually screen new development and soften the visual impact of continuous building walls and noise fencing (Figure 6).
- (d) Layering landscape materials to achieve an appropriate interface along the street (Figure 7).
- (e) Providing consistent boulevard trees in agreement with the City Engineer to visually tie the neighbourhood together.

Figure 6. Suggested Landscaping Adjacent to the ALRT.





STORM WATER STORAGE

The following table, prepared by the City Engineer, rates the pervious character of various surfaces to guide applicants in the City's administration of the storm water storage provision of the by-law.

Pervious

9

- Grass
- Gardens
- Decorative Stone
 Driveways and Walkways
 (Gravel size or smaller)
- Turfstone Pavers for Driveways (use % of pervious area in pavers)
- Overhangs such as Bay Windows with pervious ground beneath

Impervious

- Buildings
- Concrete
- Black top
- Asphalt
- Wood
- Wooden Decks with spaces between the slats to pervious ground beneath
- Swimming Pools
- Concrete/Brick Pavers
- Gravel Driveways

APPENDIX

Submission Requirements

Applicants should refer to the information required for significant development permit applications contained in the Checklist in Brochure #3 Development Permits for Major Developments.

City of Vancouver Planning Department 29th Avenue Station Area Kaslo Street End Site May 1988 29th Avenue Station Area Kaslo Street-end Site

BY-LAW NO. 6361

A By-law to amend the Zoning and Development By-law, <u>being By-law No. 3575</u>

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

- 1. The "Zoning District Plan" annexed to By-law No. 3575 as schedule "D" is hereby amended according to the plan marginally numbered Z-348(c) and attached to this By-law as Schedule "A", and in accordance with the explanatory legends, notations and references inscribed thereon, so that the boundaries and districts shown on the Zoning District Plan are varied, amended or substituted to the extent shown on Schedule "A" of this By-law, and Schedule "A" of this By-law is hereby incorporated as an integral part of Schedule "D" of By-law No. 3575.
- 2. The area shown included within the heavy black outline on Schedule "A" is rezoned to CD-1, and the only uses permitted within the said area, subject to such conditions as Council may by resolution prescribe, including design guidelines, and the only uses for which development permits will be issued are:
 - One-family dwelling, subject to the regulations that would apply if located in the RS-1 District;
 - Multiple dwelling;
 - Accessory uses customarily ancillary to the foregoing.
- 3. Floor Space Ratio
- 3.1 The maximum floor space ratio for multiple dwellings, calculated as if located in the RM-4N District, shall be 1.0, except that the following shall also be excluded from the floor space ratio calculation:
 - (1) enclosed balconies and other features designed to reduce transit noise, provided the Director of Planning first approves the design of any such feature, and provided further that the total area of all such enclosures and other features does not exceed eight percent of the permitted floor area; and

. . .

223

- (11) the following ancillary amenity facilities for the social and recreational enjoyment of the residents provided that the area of such excluded facilities does not exceed 20 percent of the allowable floor space:
 - saunas;
 - tennis court;
 - swimming pools;
 - squash or raquetball courts:
 - gymnasium and workout rooms;
 - games and hobby rooms;
 - other related indoor uses of a social or recreational nature which in the opinion of the Director of Planning are similar to the above.
- 3.2 The maximum floor space ratio for a one-family dwelling, calculated as if located in the RS-1 District, shall be 0.60.
- 4. Site Area

The minimum site area for a multiple dwelling shall be $5,574 \text{ m}^2$ (60,000 sq. ft.), the calculation of which shall include the full area of the Kaslo Street end south of 28th Avenue regardless of any pedestrian access corridors which may be retained by the City.

5. Dwelling Unit Density

The maximum dwelling unit density for multiple buildings shall be 86.5 units per hectare (35 units per acre).

6. Height

The maximum building height measured above the base surface shall be 10.7 m (35 ft.).

- 7. Acoustics
- 7.1 All development permit applications shall require evidence in the form of a report prepared by a person trained in acoustics and current techniques of noise measurement demonstrating that the noise levels in those portions of the dwelling units listed below shall not exceed the noise levels set opposite such portions. For the purposes of this section the "noise level" is the A-weighted 24-hour equivalent (LEQ) sound level expressed in decibels.

Portion of Dwelling Unit Noise Level

bedrooms	35
living, dining, recreation rooms	40
kitchen, bathrooms, hallways	45
terraces, patios, balconies	60

- 7.2 No development permit shall be issued for a multiple dwelling until arrangements, satisfactory to the Director of Legal Services, have been made for the construction of a fence, designed and located to mitigate noise emanating from the adjacent ALRT right-of-way, which:
 - (a) is between 1.8 m (6 ft.) and 2.5 m (8 ft.) in height and continuous in its length with no horizontal openings; and
 - (b) is constructed of solid materials having an impervious surface and a minimum density of 9.7 kg per m^2 (2 lb. per sq. ft.).
- 7.3 The noise fence shall be designed and inspected by a Professional Engineer registered in the Province of B.C. who shall certify that the fence is designed and constructed in accordance with the minimum standards set out in section 7.2(b) above.
- 8. Off-Street Parking
- 8.1 Off-street parking shall be provided, developed and maintained in accordance with the provisions of the Parking By-law except as follows:
 - (a) for multiple dwellings a minimum of 1.5 spaces per unit shall be provided; and
 - (b) for units designated solely for families of low income under the provisions of the National Housing Act - a minimum of 1 space for every dwelling unit shall be provided.
- 8.2 A minimum of 75% of the off-street parking spaces required for multiple dwellings shall be provided underground, except that spaces required for senior citizens' housing may be surface parking.
- 9. Vehicular Access

Vehicular access to underground parking shall be provided from the lane east of Kaslo Street near 29th Avenue.

10. Stormwater Storage

No development permit shall be issued for any development which will:

- (a) have the effect of reducing the pervious area of the site; and
- (b) result in the site having a pervious area of less than 50% of the site area;

until the property owner has entered into a covenant or other instrument satisfactory to the Director of Legal Services to ensure compliance with the following:

•

- (c) a stormwater storage system shall be constructed on the site which:
 - (1) provides a minimum storage capacity equal to the depth of 13.2 millimeters over the entire site; and
 - (11) includes a device to restrict the maximum stormwater flow from the site into the public sewer to 21.0 litres per second per hectare;
- (d) the stormwater storage system shall be designed and inspected by a Professional Engineer registered in the Province of B.C. who shall certify that the system is designed and constructed in accordance with the minimum standards set out in clause (c) above:
- (e) maintenance of the stormwater storage system shall be the responsibility of the property owner; and
- (f) the property owner shall enter into a release and indemnity agreement with the City, to the satisfaction of the Director of Legal Services, regarding the stormwater storage system.
- This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 21stday of June . 1988.

(signed) Gordon Campbell

Mayor


(signed) Maria Kinsella

City Clerk

- 4 -

"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 21st day of June 1988, and numbered 6361.

CITY CLERK"



CITY OF VANCOUVER PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Special Council (Public Hearing), September 12, 1995 8

Clause 1(a) and (b) (cont'd)

This development is also in keeping with Council's strategy reducing traffic congestion by encouraging residential of development in this area and reducing commuters. The application also provides for a substantial amount of bicycle parking within the new residential complex.

Staff Closing Comments

Staff offered no additional comments.

Council Decision

۲

Prior to making a decision, several members of Council expressed the view that staff need to reconsider their approach when notifying residents about rezoning applications, as well as other City-related issues. Members of Council also referred to a previously requested report on waterfront tower height and Council expressed a desire to see this report as soon as possible.

MOVED by Cllr. Bellamy,

THAT this application be approved, subject to the conditions as set out in this minute of the Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Cilr. Price,

THAT the City Manager ensure that when the anticipated report from the Housing Centre on housing affordability comes back, it deals with the issues related to Triangle West and new neighbourhoods.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Balcony Enclosures and Acoustic Requirements /

An application by the Director of Land Use and Development was considered as follows:

The proposed amendments to various zoning District Schedules, Official Development Plans and CD-1 Comprehensive Development District By-laws, would either:

not allow any of the permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for enclosed balconies except in buildings existing prior to April 23, 1985 in which case the present regulations would apply; or

Special Council (Public Hearing), September 12, 1995 9

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

٢.

- continue to permit a maximum of 8 percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded form Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for balconies BUT to permit no more than half of excluded floor area to be enclosed; or
- permit no more than 8 percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for <u>enclosed</u> balconies.

The proposed acoustic amendments would delete the acoustic requirement for balconies, terraces, patios, etc.

Amended Balcony Enclosure Guidelines and Policies are also proposed.

The Director of Land Use and Development recommended approval of this application.

Staff Opening Comments

Mr. Ralph Segal, Planner, provided background on this issue and introduced the options before Council this evening.

In 1964, in order to improve livability in higher density multiple dwelling developments, open balconies were excluded from FSR to a maximum of eight percent of residential floor area. In the early 1980s, the City received numerous requests from owners of units in existing buildings to enclose their balconies for reasons of poor insulation and acoustics, air drafts and other interior problems. In response, Council in 1985 adopted balcony enclosure guidelines by which enclosed balconies would continue to be excluded from FSR.

Subsequently, in response to the development industry's request for equity, Council permitted this exclusion to apply to new construction, subject to adherence to the guidelines. Since then, new buildings have, to an increasing degree, incorporated enclosed balconies as additional interior space displacing the private open space, the open balconies, for which the FSR exclusion had been originally provided.

Since enclosed balcony space has been successfully marketed at the full per square foot price of the rest of the dwelling unit, many developers have been more and more aggressive in seeking the full eight percent exclusion for enclosed balconies. This differs from a mix of open and enclosed balconies that were anticipated when the exclusion was first put in place.

Special Council (Public Hearing), September 12, 1995 10

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

With the aid of photographs distributed to Council (on file in the City Clerk's Office), Mr. Segal explained that enclosure of most or all balconies bulks up buildings by filling in the volumes of open balconies and intends to create less residential, more office-like buildings. Exclusions from FSR are usually given to encourage developers to provide facilities that are considered important for livability but would likely not be provided without that incentive. In this case, bonuses are being permitted when they the negative affect of displacing the private open space for which the FSR exclusion was intended.

Recommendation A1 would eliminate the FSR exclusion for enclosed balconies except in the buildings existing prior to 1985, as per the original intent of the balcony enclosure provisions. Alternatively, should Council consider that enclosed balconies do have merit, A2 is offered which states that no more than half of the excluded balcony area may be enclosed. The third option, A3 is to simply allow outright the full eight percent exclusion to be enclosed.

This application also proposes an acoustic amendment. At present, acoustic requirements in many district schedules and CD-1 by-laws apply to standards in both rooms within the unit as well as exterior balconies and patios. As the current standard often requires balconies to be enclosed, even when this is not desired, the proposed amendment will delete this requirement. Mr. Segal also explained that amendments are proposed to the balcony enclosure guidelines which would delete provisions calling for easy conversion of enclosed balconies back to open balconies, as well as adding several additional clauses which will clarify the design intent in new construction.

Responding to a question from a member of Council, Mr. Segal advised of an error in the memorandum dated July 18, 1995 from the City Clerk, which referred this matter to Public Hearing. Recommendation A1 makes reference to excluding floor space ratio for enclosed balconies except in buildings existing prior to April 23, 1995. This should read April 23, 1985.

A member of Council enquired whether these guidelines would permit a style of balcony sometimes referred to a french balconies. Mr. Segal advised this style would not be permitted under the proposed guidelines.

Council members also enquired whether thresholds will still be required between the interior unit and the closed balconies. It was confirmed the proposed guidelines still contain this threshold requirement.

Special Council (Public Hearing), September 12, 1995 . . . 11

<u>Clause No. 2 (cont'd)</u>

Correspondence

All correspondence received prior to this matter being referred to Public Hearing was included as Appendix E in the Council report. One additional letter stressing the need for more open balconies in Vancouver and another favouring option A2, were also received.

Speakers

The Mayor called for speakers for and against the application, and the following addressed Council.

<u>Mr. Hans Schmidt</u>, representing the Society of Soundscape Preservation, expressed concern with the proposed deletion of acoustic requirements, on the grounds that if these requirements are deleted, the City is simply accommodating the noise which exists and not attempting to eliminate or reduce it. A greater emphasis should be directed towards elimination of the source of noise.

<u>Mr. Dugal Purdie</u>, on behalf of the Urban Development Institute (UDI), indicated his support for option A2 as it represents an appropriate compromise. The UDI is strongly opposed to A1 as this would affect proformas upon which construction was predicated upon. Mr. Purdie urged Council to support recommendation A2 with an amendment to exclude the applicability of the guidelines to enclosed space, as the Institute believes the total design of the building should be left with the architects and reviewed through the existing development permit process, without the addition of guidelines.

<u>Mr. Stuart Howard</u>, on behalf of the Architectural Institute of British Columbia (AIBC), lent his support to option A2, as it represents a compromise position. AIBC would ultimately prefer option 5 as stated in its May 30, 1995 brief to Council, but is willing to accept the compromise position. Mr. Howard suggested the Planning Department is naive in its support of option A1 because apartments are now significantly smaller in size and the continued requirement of an open balcony would result in a small, unusable space.

Special Council (Public Hearing), September 12, 1995 12

Clause No. 2 (cont'd)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT the City continue to permit a maximum of eight percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for balconies, but to permit no more than half of excluded floor area to be enclosed;

FURTHER THAT the requirement that thresholds be included in enclosed balconies be removed.

- CARRIED

Councillors Chiavario, Kwan and Price opposed)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT those District Schedules and CD-1 by-laws containing an acoustic regulation be amended, to delete the acoustic requirement for on-site open space (i.e., balconies, terraces, patios, etc.), generally as outlined in Appendix A of the Policy Report dated June 6, 1995.

- CARRIED

(Councillor Sullivan opposed)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT the Balcony Enclosure Guidelines and Policies, amended as noted in Appendix B of the Policy Report dated June 6, 1995, to reflect more practical utilization by residents, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT Council advise the Planning Department that it supports "French Balconies" where appropriate and that language be incorporated in the balcony regulations and/or guidelines that would encourage their provision.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1

BY-LAW NO. 7515

A By-law to amend By-law Nos. 3712, 4037, 4049, 4397, 4677, 5381, 5836, 5852, 6272, 6310, 6312, 6313, 6314, 6315, 6316, 6317, 6318, 6319, 6320, 6321, 6322, 6323, 6325, **3367**, 6362, 6363, 6421, 6425, 6429, 6475, 6489, 6528, 6533, 6564, 6582, 6597, 6663, 6688, 6710, 6713, 6714, 6715, 6730, 6731, 6738, 6739, 6740, 6744, 6747, 6757, 6768, 6779, 6787, 6817, 6827, 6965, 7006, 7087, 7092, 7101, 7114, 7135, 7155, 7156, 7157, 7158, 7163, 7166, 7173, 7174, 7175, 7180, 7189, 7193, 7198, 7200, 7204, 7209, 7223, 7224, 7230, 7232, 7246, 7248, 7317, 7337, 7340, 7381, 7425, 7431, 7434 and 7461, being by-laws which amended the Zoning and Development By-law by rezoning areas to CD-1

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. By-law Nos. 6429, 6597, 7092, 7101, 7224 and 7340 are each amended in section 5 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

2. The following By-laws are each amended in section 6 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column:

4037	6688	7087	7180
4397	6710	7155	7189
4677	6713	7157	7209
5852	6731	7163	7246
6272	6738	7166	7381
6363	6768	7173	7425
6421	6787	7174	7431
6582	6827	7175	7434
6663			

3. By-law No. 6730 is amended in section 6.1 by deleting the words "Terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

4. The following By-laws are each amended in section 7 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

5836	6321	6564	7114
6310	6322	6739	7135
6312	6323	6740	7158
6315	6325	6817	7223
6319	6528	6965	7230
6320			

5. By-law Nos. 6313, 6314, 6316, 6317, 6318 and 6361 are each amended in section 7.1 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column. L

6. By-law Nos. 3712, 4049, 6362, 6425, 6489, 6714, 6715, 7193 and 7337 are each amended in section 8 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

7. By-law No. 6779 is amended in section 9 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

8. By-law No. 7198 is amended in section 10 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

9. By-law Nos. 7156, 7200, 7232 and 7248 are each amended in section 11 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

10. By-law No. 6744 is amended in section 12 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

11. By-law Nos. 6747 and 6757 are both amended in section 13 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

12. By-law No. 5381 is amended in section 4.8.1 by

(a) deleting clause (d), and

(b) relettering clauses (e) and (f) as (d) and (e), respectively.

13. By-law No. 6533 is amended in section 5.6.1 by deleting clause (d).

14. By-law No. 6475 is amended in section 5.8.1 by deleting clause (d).

15. By-law No. 7006 is amended in section 7 by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.

- 2 -

16. By-law No. 7317 is amended in section 9 by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.

17. By-law No. 7461 is amended in section 9 of Schedule "B" by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.

18. By-law No. 7204 is amended in section 12 of Schedule "B" by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.

19. This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this ^{11th} day of January , 1996.

2

"(signed) Jennifer Clarke" Deputy Mayor

"(signed) Maria C. Kinsella" City Clerk

"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 11th day of January 1996, and numbered 7515.

CITY CLERK"



OR CITY OF VANCOUVER



CITY OF VANCOUVER

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

FEBRUARY 24, 2000

A Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Thursday, February 24, 2000, at 7:35 p.m., in Council Chambers, Third Floor, City Hall, for the purpose of holding a Public Hearing to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law and Official Development Plans.

PRESENT:	Mayor Philip Owen Councillor Fred Bass Councillor Jennifer Clarke Councillor Daniel Lee Councillor Don Lee Councillor Sandy McCormick Councillor Sam Sullivan
ABSENT:	Councillor Lynne Kennedy Councillor Tim Louis Councillor Gordon Price (Sick Leave) Councillor George Puil (Civic Business)
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE:	Tarja Tuominen, Meeting Coordinator

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee, SECONDED by Cllr. Daniel Lee,

> THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Owen in the Chair, to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development Bylaw and Official Development Plans.

> > - CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1. Text Amendments: District Schedules, Official Development Plans and

CD-1 By-laws - Floor Space Exclusions

[Barrett Commission]

An application by the Director of Current Planning was considered as follows:

Summary: The proposed text amendments would provide floor space exclusions to provide construction incentives to control building envelope leaks.

The Director of Current Planning recommended approval.

Staff Comments

Jacqui Forbes-Roberts, General Manager of Community Services, provided a brief introduction to the report, noting the proposed text amendments would affect new construction and repairs and restoration of existing buildings. Ms. Forbes-Roberts also requested an amendment to the proposed draft by-law to amend By-law 3575 to add RS1 to Section 4.7.3, (d).

Doug Watts, Building Envelope Specialist, with the aid of a slide presentation, described the specifics of the technical and different design issues of the proposed amendments, and explained what steps other municipalities have taken to address the recommendations arising from the Barrett Commission.

Summary of Correspondence

Council was advised the following correspondence was received since the date the application was referred to Public Hearing:

one letter in support of 'Option A'.

Speakers

Mayor Owen called for speakers for and against the application.

The following spoke in support of `Option A':

John Fowler, Canadian Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institute Bill McEwen, Masonry Institute of British Columbia (brief filed) Peter Reese

The foregoing speakers supported 'Option A' based on one or more of the following points:

application of the current FSR calculations has prevented a wide-spread use of precast concrete exterior walls; there have been very few problems with the use of pre-cast concrete, which has proven to be a versatile and durable material;

thicker exterior walls are better walls, because they can include an airspace cavity behind the cladding which provides a "rainscreen" system, more efficient insulation, thicker, more durable cladding materials; current FSR calculations discourage the foregoing;

the proposed changes in FSR definitions will immediately encourage better wall design;

brick and stone-faced walls should be encouraged.

The following generally supported `Option A' but felt the proposed text amendments should be referred back to staff for further study and discussion with the industry:

John O'Donnell, AIBC Stuart Howard, Vancouver Planning Coalition

The following is a summary of the foregoing speakers' comments:

Option `A' is supported in principle; however the text amendments also should address overhangs, balconies, elevated walkways, yard setbacks, and site coverage; staff should accept the electronic calculation of areas and the calculations of the Architect, given under seal;

letters of assurance from a building envelope specialist are redundant at an early stage; the proposed text amendments should cover everything instead of the City issuing administrative bulletins to address further changes.

Staff Closing Comments

Ralph Segal, Planner; Eric Fiss, Planner; and Doug Watts responded to the issues raised by the speakers: the proposed text amendments are the result of a fair bit of consultation with the industry; a building envelope specialist is required to be involved in the process earlier as technical details are to be submitted at the development permit stage; staff are taking a further look at other issues, such as recesses, balconies and walkways.

Ms. Forbes-Roberts advised Council may proceed with the proposed amendmentsto the floor space exclusions and request staff to come back with additional amendments. Staff and the industry would prefer the FSR exclusions not be delayed.

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee,

A. THAT the application by the Director of Current Planning to amend various District Schedules, Official Development Plans and CD-1 By-laws to provide floor space exclusions to provide construction incentives to control building envelope leaks be approved.

FURTHER THAT the draft By-law 3575, section 4.7.3, be amended as follows:

(d) as clause (h) in the following district schedules:

RS-1 and RS-1S RT-4, etc.

(Italics denote amendment)

B. THAT staff report back on other aspects affecting leakage of buildings, such as overhangs, protection of upper balconies, recesses, etc.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

RISE FROM COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ADOPT REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Clarke, SECONDED BY Cllr. Don Lee,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted, and the Director of Legal Services be instructed to prepare and bring forward the necessary by-law amendments.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Special Council adjourned at 9:20 p.m.

* * * * *



Comments or questions? You can send us email.

CITY HOMEPAGE GET IN TOUCH COMMUNITIES SEARCH

(c) 1998 City of Vancouver

EXPLANATION

Zoning and Development Various CD-1 by-laws

Amendments re Exterior Wall Exclusion (Barrett Commission Recommendations)

Following a public hearing on February 24, 2000 Council approved an application, as noted above. There were no prior-to conditions and the Director of Current Planning has advised that the attached by-law can now be enacted to implement Council's resolution.

Director of Legal Services 14 March 2000

I:\BYLAWS\WPDOCS\PORTER\CD-1CONS.WPD

BY-LAW NO. <u>8169</u>

A By-law to amend By-laws Nos.

3568 3632 3706 3712 3865 3869 3885 3897 3907 3914 3983 4037 4049 4085 4131 4238 4271 4358 4397 4412 4559 4580 4597 4634 4674 4677 4775 4825 4829 4860 4861 4900 4918 4926 4928 4930 4940 4954 4958 4999 5009 5011 5014 5028 5060 5091 5145 5179 5184 5222 5224 5229 5376 5343 5381 5383 5407 5411 5416 5418 5477 5510 5548 5555 5579 5597 5683 5702 5717 5762 5773 5810 5836 5838 5852 5863 5890 5927 5937 5950 5975 5976 5997 6009 6039 6041 6057 6063 6064 6070 6072 6117 6155 6161 6169 6180 6221 6245 6246 6254 6260 6263 6272 6277 6297 6305 6307 6310 6312 6313 6314 6315 6316 6317 6318 6319 6320 6321 6322 6323 6325 6361 6362 6363 6394 6420 6421 6423 6425 6427 6428 6429 6448 6449 6475 6486 6489 6528 6533 6538 6564 6577 6582 6594 6597 6654 6663 6676 6688 6710 6713 6714 6715 6718 6730 6731 6738 6739 6740 6744 6747 6757 6759 6760 6768 6779 6787 6817 6819 6827 6838 6876 6833 6884 6911 6919 6953 6962 6963 6965 7006 7045 7087 7091 7101 7114 7135 7155 7156 7157 7158 7159 7163 7160 7173 7174 7175 7189 7193 7196 7198 7200 7201 7204 7208 7209 7210 7223 7224 7230 7232 7235 7246 7248 7249 7317 7325 7337 7340 7371 7381 7389 7405 7419 7425 7431 7434 7435 7459 7461 7476 7516 7519 7522 7531 7551 7552 7556 7592 7601 7602 7638 7639 7645 7647 7648 7649 7651 7652 7654 7655 7656 7672 7673 7675 7677 7679 7681 7682 7684 7705 7715 7723 7820 7829 7834 7835 7852 7853 7879 7904 7927 7932 7948 7958 7971 7995 7996 8016 8034 8043 8055 8073 8082 8088 8097 8109 8111 8116 8130 8131

> being By-laws which amended the Zoning and Development By-law by rezoning areas to CD-1

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. By-law No. 3907 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.". 2. By-law No. 4412 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3. By-law No. 5376 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of subclause (iii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:

"(iv) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this subclause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

4. By-laws No. 4825 and 6325 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of subclause (ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:

"(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this subclause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

5. By-law No. 5343 is amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (iii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(iv) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000"

6. By-laws No. 4775, 4829, 5222, 5224, 5773 and 6039 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semicolon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the

Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

7. By-laws No. 4085, 5411, and 5416 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

8. By-law No. 5407 is amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

9. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:

"3.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

356837123885427143584634467448614900491849264928493049404958499950095011501450285060514551795184522954185477583658385863593759505975597649546041606460726117615561616180624562466260626362776297630563076394642064256427642864296448644964896538657765946564666367596760677968766911

10. By-laws No. 6314 and 6582 are each amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the period from the end of clause (ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

11. By-law No. 6272 is amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the word "and" from the end of subclause (c)(i), by deleting the period from the end of subclause (c)(ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:

"(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

12. By-law No. 4580 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of the section and substituting it with a semi-colon, by relettering the existing text as clause (a) and by adding the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

13. By-law No. 6884 is amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the word "and" from the end of clause (a), by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."...

14. By-law No. 5683 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of this section and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

- 15. By-law No. 8088 is amended in Section 3.2 by adding the following clause:
 - "(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

16. By-law No. 6009 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of subclause (e)(vii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

17. By-law No. 4677 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

18. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following socion:

"3.3 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

> 4238 4860 5579 5717 5810 5852 5890 6057 6070 6310 6312 6313 6316 6320 6361 6363 6423 6528 6714 6715

19. By-law No. 7684 is amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (a) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

20. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the and from clause (a) and by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

7705 7459 7435 7434 7419 7389 6718

21. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

5458 5548 5597 6962 7045 7682

22. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3897 3983 5510 7144 7208 7476 7516 7820 7927 7996

23. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 52 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

> 5091 6486 6676 6688 6713 6730 6787 6817 7159 7337 7531 7552 7556 7645 7652 7715 7835 7971 8111

24. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

43914049439745976421671067316738673967406768682768386919695369636965700670917092710171357155715771587163716671757189719371967198721072237224723073257340738175197551760276387639764776517655772379327948808276387639

25. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3869 7173 7522 7601 7656 7672 7834 7852 7853 7904 7958

26. By-laws No. 4559, 7209, 7425 and 7431 are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.". 27. By-laws No. 5997 and 7829 are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (i) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(j) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

28. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:

"3.4 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

5762 5927 6315 6317 6318 6319 6321 6323 6362

By-law No. 7980 is amended

(a) in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.", and

(b) in Section 3.7 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

30. By-laws No. 7087 and 7174 are each amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

29.

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

31. By-law No. 7246 is amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

32. By-laws No. 8034, 8043 and 8116 are each amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

33. By-laws No. 6322 and 6597 are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:

"3.5 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

34. By-law No: 8016 is amended in Section 3.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

35. By-law No. 8055 is amended in Section 3.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

36. By-law No. 8130 is amended in Section 3.6 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

37. By-law No. 7648 is amended in Section 3.6 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

38. By-laws No. 6063 and 6221 are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:

"4.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

39. By-law No. 5555 is amended in Section 4 by-deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

By-law No. 5705 is amended in Section 4 by adding the following section:

40.

"4.3 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

41. By-law No. 7371 is amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (a) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

42. By-law No. 7249 is amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

43. By-laws No. 5702 and 7673 are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

44. By-laws No. 6819 and 7238 are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.". 45. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3632 3706 4131 7649 7995 8073 8097

11

46. By-law No. 5381 is amended in Section 4.3.3 by adding after the existing text the following:

- where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

47. By-law No. 7592 is amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

48. By-law No. 6883 is amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

49. By-laws No. 4037 and 7405 are each amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

50. By-law No. 7201 is amended in Section 4.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

51. By-law No. 5383 is amended in Section 5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

52. By-law No. 6533 is amended in Section 5.2.4 by deleting the period at the end of the existing text and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following:

 where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

53. By-law No. 7654 is amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

54. By-law No. 7677 is amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

55. By-laws No. 7675, 7681 and 8109 are each amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

56. By-laws No. 3865 and 6475 are each amended in Section 5.3.3 by deleting the period from the end of the existing text and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following:

 where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

57. By-law No. 7879 is amended in Section 5.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

58. By-law No. 8131 is amended in Section 5.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (j) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

- "(k) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 9. By-law No. 6169 is amended in Section 6 by adding the following section:

"6.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the

59.

Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

60. By-law No. 7679 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

61. By-law No. 7317 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

62. By-laws No. 7156, 7200, and 7232 are each amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

63. By-law No. 7461 is amended in Section 6.3 of Schedule B by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

64. By-law No. 7248 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (i) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(j) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

65. By-law No. 6744 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (j) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(k) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

66. By-laws No. 6747 and 7204 are each amended in Section 7.3 of Schedule B, by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

67. By-law No. 6757 is amended in Section 7.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

68. By-law No. 6254 is amended in Section 8 by deleting the period from the end of the second clause (a), which clause ends with the word "computation", and substituting a semi-colon and by inserting the following clause:

"(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000;" DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 14th day of March, 2000.

(Signed) Philip W. Owen Mayor

(Signed) Ulli S. Watkiss City Clerk

"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 14th day of March 2000, and numbered 8169.

CITY CLERK"

BY-LAW NO. 9414

A By-law to amend CD-1 By-law No.'s 4670, 4918, 5028, 5416, 5937, 5950, 6169, 6312, 6313, 6315, 6316, 6318, 6319, 6320, 6321, 6322, 6323, 6361, 6362, 6363, 6528, 7405, and 7705

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in public meeting, enacts as follows:

1. To section 2 of By-law No.'s 4670 and 4918, and to section 2(a) of By-law No.'s 5416, 5937, 5950 and 6528, after "dwellings", Council adds "or one-family dwellings with secondary suite".

- 2. In section 2 of By-law No. 5028, Council:
 - (a) after "dwellings", Council adds "or one-family dwellings with secondary suite"; and
 - (b) strikes out "dwelling units" each time it appears, and substitutes "one-family dwellings and one-family dwellings with secondary suite combined".

3. To section 2 of By-law No.'s 6312, 6315, 6316, 6318, 6319, 6320, 6321, 6322, 6323, 6361 and 6362, to subsections (a) and (b) of By-law No. 6363, and to section 2(b) of By-law No. 6313, after "One-family dwelling", Council adds "or one-family dwelling with secondary suite".

4. In By-law No. 6169, Council:

- (a) from section 2(a), strikes out "dwelling", and substitutes "dwellings or one-family dwellings with secondary suite"; and
- (b) from section 8, strikes out "dwelling unit", and substitutes "one-family dwelling or one-family dwelling with secondary suite".

5. To section 2(a) of By-law No. 7405, after "One-Family Dwellings", Council adds "or One-Family Dwellings with Secondary Suite".

6. To section 2(d) of By-law No. 7705, after "One-Family Dwelling", Council adds "or One-Family Dwelling with Secondary Suite".

7. To section 3.1 of By-law No.'s 6315, 6321, 6322, 6323 and 6362, to section 3.2 of Bylaw No.'s 6316, 6318, 6319, 6320 and 6361, to section 6.1 of By-law No.'s 6321, 6322 and 6323, and to sections 6.3 and 7.1 of By-law No. 6362, after "one-family dwelling", Council adds "or one-family dwelling with secondary suite".

- 8. In By-law No. 4918, Council repeals:
 - (a) section 4, and substitutes:
 - "4. The number of one-family dwellings and one-family dwellings with secondary suite, combined, must not exceed eight per acre.";
 - (b) section 5, and substitutes:
 - "5. The height of any one-family dwelling or one-family dwelling with secondary suite must not exceed two storeys and a cellar or one storey and a basement."; and
 - (c) section 6, and substitutes:
 - "6. Each one-family dwelling or one-family dwelling with secondary suite must have 1.5 off-street parking spaces except for a building constructed after April 20, 2004 which must have at least two off-street parking spaces.".
- 9. In By-law No. 5028, Council repeals section 5, and substitutes:
 - "5. Each one-family dwelling or one-family dwelling with secondary suite must have two off-street parking spaces.".
- 10. In By-law No. 5416, Council:
 - (a) repeals the first sentence of section 7, and substitutes:

"Each one-family dwelling or one-family dwelling with secondary suite must have at least two off-street parking spaces with access from the lane."; and

(b) repeals section 9.

11. A decision by a court that any part of this By-law is illegal, void, or unenforceable severs that part from this By-law, and is not to affect the balance of this By-law.

12. This By-law is to come into force and take effect on the date of its enactment.

ENACTED by Council this 12th day of December, 2006

Mayor

Andreen Anter

City Clerk

Public Hearing Minutes, Tuesday, December 12, 2006

RISE FROM COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Councillor Ladner

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ADOPT REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Councillor Anton SECONDED by Councillor Chow

> THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted, and the Director of Legal Services be instructed to prepare and bring forward the necessary by-law amendments.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BY-LAWS

MOVED by Councillor Louie SECONDED by Councillor Cadman

THAT Council enact the by-laws before them at this meeting as numbers 1 and 2, and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to sign and seal the enacted by-laws.

CARRIED UNANIMOUS LY

- 1. A By-law to amend various CD-1 By-laws re secondary suites (By-law No. 9414)
- 2. A By-law to amend the Zoning and Development By-law re retail and wholesale uses (By-law No. 9415)

The Special Council adjourned at 8:20 p.m.

* * * * *

2. TEXT AMENDMENT: Secondary Suites: Amendments to Various CD-1 By-laws

An application by the Director of Planning was considered as follows:

Summary: To amend various CD-1 By-laws to allow "one-family dwelling with secondary suite" as a use in CD-1s that currently permit one-family dwellings.

The Director of Planning recommended approval.

Staff Comments

Joyce Uyesugi, Planner, CityPlans, was present to respond to questions.

Summary of Correspondence

Council received no correspondence on this item since referral to Public Hearing.

Speakers

The Mayor called for speakers for and against the application and none were present.

Council Decision

MOVED by Councillor Capri-

THAT the application to amend the applicable sections of various CD-1 By-laws to permit one-family dwellings with a secondary suite, generally in accordance with Appendix A to the Administrative Report, "Secondary Suites: Amendments to Various CD-1 By-laws" dated October 17, 2006 be approved.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

CITY OF VANCOUVER



SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

DECEMBER 12, 2006

A Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Tuesday, December 12, 2006, at 7:30 p.m., in the Council Chamber, Third Floor, City Hall, for the purpose of holding a Public Hearing to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development and Sign By-laws.

PRESENT:

Mayor Sam Sullivan Councillor Suzanne Anton Councillor Elizabeth Ball Councillor David Cadman Councillor Kim Capri Councillor George Chow Councillor Heather Deal Councillor Peter Ladner Councillor B.C. Lee Councillor Raymond Louie Councillor Tim Stevenson

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE:

Denise Salmon, Meeting Coordinator

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Councillor Ladner SECONDED by Councillor Anton

> THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Sullivan in the Chair, to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development and Sign Bylaws.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1. TEXT AMENDMENT: Retail and Wholesale Definitions

An application by the Director of Planning was considered as follows:

Summary: To amend Section 2 of the Zoning and Development By-law to improve and clarify the differentiation between retail and wholesale functions.

The Director of Planning, in consultation with the Director of Legal Services, recommended approval.