CD-1 (86)

2633-2677 East Broadway By-law No. 4677

(Being a By-law to Amend By-law 3575, being the Zoning and Development By-law)

Effective December 19, 1972

(Amended up to and including By-law No. 9738, dated October 28, 2008)

- 1 [Section 1 is not reprinted here. It contains a Standard clause amending Schedule D (Zoning District Plan) to reflect this rezoning to CD-1.]
- The area shown outlined in black on the said plan is rezoned CD-1 and the only uses permitted within the said area and the only uses for which development permits will be issued are:

For Lot D (as shown on the diagram below)

- (a) a maximum of 80 dwelling units in Seniors Supportive or Assisted Housing; and [9738; 08 10 28]
- (b) accessory uses customarily ancillary to the above uses;

For Lot E (as shown on the diagram below)

- (a) church;
- (b) 40 dwelling units in a multiple dwelling;
- (c) social service centre, limited to a drop-in or activity space; and
- (d) accessory uses customarily ancillary to the above uses;

Diagram 1

153.03	275.92	
D	E	126.55
154.50	274.78	



[6912; 91 12 10]

subject to such conditions as Council may by resolution prescribe.

3 Floor Space Ratio

The floor space ratio shall not exceed 1.65. [6912; 91 12 10]

- **3.1** The following shall be included in the computation of floor space ratio:
 - (a) all floors having a minimum ceiling height of 4 feet, including earthen floor, both above and below ground level, to be measured to the extreme outer limits of the building; and
 - (b) stairways, fire escapes, elevator shafts and other features which the Director of Planning considers similar, to be measured by their gross cross-sectional areas and included in the measurements for each floor at which they are located. [6912; 91 1210]
- **3.2** The following shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio:
 - (a) open residential balconies or sundecks, and any other appurtenances which, in the opinion of the Director of Planning, are similar to the foregoing;
 - (b) patios and roof gardens, provided that the Director of Planning first approves the design of sunroofs and walls;

Note: Information included in square brackets [] identifies the by-law numbers and dates for the amendments to By-law No. 4677 or provides an explanatory note.

- (c) where floors are used for off-street parking and loading, bicycle storage, heating and mechanical equipment, or uses which in the opinion of the Director of Planning are similar to the foregoing, those floors or portions thereof so used, which:
 - (i) are at or below the base surface, provided that the maximum exclusion for a parking space shall not exceed 24 feet in length; or
 - (ii) are above the base surface and where developed as off-street parking are located in an accessory building situated in the rear yard, provided that the maximum exclusion for a parking space shall not exceed 24 feet in length;
- (d) amenity areas, including recreation facilities and meeting rooms, to a maximum total of 10 percent of the total building floor area;
- (e) areas of undeveloped floors located above the highest storey or half-storey, or adjacent to a half-storey with a ceiling height of less than 4 feet, and to which there is no permanent means of access other than a hatch; and
- (f) all residential storage space above or below base surface, except that if the residential storage space above base surface exceeds 3.7 m² per dwelling unit, there will be no exclusion for any of the residential storage space above base surface for that unit; [6912; 91 12 10] [8760; 03 12 09]
- (g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000. [8169; 00 03 14]

4 Height

For any development of Lot D, the maximum building height measured above the base surface shall be 26.52 m (87 ft.) or 9 storeys. For any development of Lot E, the maximum building height measured above the base surface shall be 12.22 m (40.1 ft.) or 4 storeys. [6912; 91 12 10]

5 Off-street Parking and Loading

Off-street parking and loading shall be provided, developed and maintained in accordance with the provisions of the Parking By-law, except that a minimum of 13 parking spaces shall be provided for the permitted uses on Lot D and a minimum of 72 parking spaces shall be provided for the permitted uses on Lot E. [6912; 91 12 10] [9738; 08 10 28]


6 Acoustics

All development permit applications require evidence in the form of a report and recommendations prepared by a person trained in acoustics and current techniques of noise measurement, demonstrating that the noise levels in those portions of the dwelling units listed below do not exceed the noise level set opposite such portions. For the purposes of this section, the noise level is the A-weighted 24-hour equivalent (Leq) sound level and is defined simply as noise level in decibels.

Portions of dwelling units	Noise levels (Decibels)
bedrooms	35
living, dining, recreation rooms	40
kitchen, bathrooms, hallways	45

[7515; 96 01 11]

[Section 7 is not reprinted here. It contains a standard clause including the Mayor and City Clerk's signature to pass the by-law and certify the by-law number and date of enactment.]
[6912; 91 12 10]



Special Council (Public Hearing) August 31/12

4. N/S East Broadway between Slocan and Penticton Streets

An application was received from Mr. L.R. Doyle of Hamilton Doyle and Associates, Architects, to rezone Lots 18-24 inclusive, and Lots B and C, Block 22, N\2 Sec. 34 THSL.

This is situated on the north side of East Broadway, between Slocan and Penticton Streets.

Present Zone:
Requested Zone:

RS-1 One Family Dwelling District

CD-1 Comprehensive Development District

The Technical Planning Board passed a resolution as follows:

"Should Council wish to approve the rezoning of this application following a Public Hearing thereon, it is recommended the uses be restricted to a Senior Citizens' High Rise Apartment, Church, Gymnasium and customary ancillary uses, subject to the following conditions:

- (a) The detailed scheme of development to be first approved by the Technical Planning Board after advice from the Design Panel with regard to architectural design and advice from the Vancouver City Planning Commission. The development to provide adequate landscaped setbacks from all property lines, suitable treatment of all open portions of the site, including roof treatment and satisfactory off-street parking facilities, etc.
- (b) The floor space ratio not to exceed 1.22.

cont'd

Special Council (Public Hearing), August 31, 1972

A

N/S East Broadway between Slocan and Penticton Streets (continued)

- (c) The owner to submit a satisfactory undertaking that the site will be developed and maintained at all times as one integrated development.
- (d) No sign or advertisement shall be permitted except for such signs or advertisements as may be approved by the Technical Planning Board, they having regard to Section 10(21)(a) of the Zoning and Development By-law.

Further, that should the above conditions not be complied with by the owners in order to permit the three readings of the amending by-law to be implemented within 120 days from this date (Public Hearing date), this approval shall expire."

The Vancouver City Planning Commission endorsed the report of the Director of Planning and Civic Development dated August 10, 1972, and the recommendation of the Technical Planning Board contained therein.

Mr. Hamilton, on behalf of the applicants, spoke in favour and in explanation of the proposed development.

The Zoning Planner referred to the history of this application.

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

THAT the foregoing application be approved, subject to the conditions recommended by the Technical Planning Board.

- CARRIED

No 86 N/S of Broadway Let Slecon effecteda RZ.B.76

BY-LAW NO. 4677

A By-law amend By-law No. 3575, being the the Zoning and Development By-law

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

- 1. The plan attached to and forming an integral part of By-law No. 3575 and designated as the "Zoning District Plan" and marked as Schedule "D" to said By-law is hereby amended according to the plan marginally numbered Z 151 C annexed to this By-law and marked as Schedule "D" hereto, and in accordance with the explanatory legend, notations, references and boundaries designated, described, delimited and specified in particularity shown upon said plan annexed hereto; and the various boundaries and districts shown upon the plan hereto annexed respectively are an amendment of and in substitution for the respective districts, designated and marked on said Schedule "D" of said By-law No. 3575 insofar as the same are changed, modified or varied thereby, and the said Schedule "D" annexed to said By-law No. 3575 shall be deemed to be and is hereby declared to be amended accordingly and the said Schedule "D" attached to this By-law is hereby declared to be and shall form an integral part of said plan marked as Schedule "D" to said By-law No. 3575, as if originally incorporated therein, and shall be interpreted accordingly.
- 2. The area shown outlined in black on the said plan is rezoned CD-1 and the only uses permitted within the said area and the only uses for which development permits will be issued are:

Senior Citizens' High Rise Apartment, Church, Gymnasium and customary ancillary uses,

subject to such conditions as Council may by resolution prescribe.

3. This By-law shall come into force and take effect on and after the date of the final passing hereof.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 19th day of December, 1972.

(sgd) Thomas J. Campbell MAYOR

(sgd) Ronald Thompson

CITY CLERK

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law duly passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 19th day of December, 1972, and numbered 4677.

CITY CLERK

NO 4677 BEING A BY - LAW TO AME

The second of th	·•	a w . e santaninga wage were but in	k desk gleiker men hanggir ging raja o hay kanyo waya	Nago Maria (1777)			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
					,		
Andrew Control of the	TH FRO	PROPERTY ON RS-1 ONE VELOPMENT D	SHOWN BELOW -FAMILY DWI ISTRICT	(——) OU LLING DISTR	TLINED IN E	EACK IS COMPRI	REZONEI HENSIVA
	कर्वि कार्यः इतिहर		and the second	Andrew Andrew Control of the Andrew Control			
		·	all the contraction topic or desire squares, and so the form	Section 1 of the contraction related to the contracting against as a sec-			4 4
<i>(</i>	•	9 	** Mile Mark Salaring a ser Mariantina managan principal sering				90,
		7 	e de la companya de l	يدرون والمنافذ والمن	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e og e en en e n en	
	* -				The Company of States and Company of the Company of		··· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		, 1 8 4	e y i e	en de la companya de	5 A . 3 A	130 - 13 1114 A	Aria P
		A	\$ ** 5	22			1524
	,		9 150	4. 4. 4.	33 33 83 Vs	No. 10 p. No. 20	6

FROM NAM

60

CITY OF VANCOUVER

MEMORANDUM

From: CITY CLERK

Date: August 17, 1990

To: City Manager
Director of Planning
Director of Legal Services

Refer File: P.H. #237

21. 5.

Associate Director, Zoning Division City Engineer

Subject:

Public Hearing - August 2, 1990

I wish to advise you of the attached Minutes from the Special Council meeting (Public Hearing) held on August 2, 1990.

Please note any matters contained therein for your attention.

CITY CLE

NL:ci Att.

RECEIVED
PLANNING DEPAREMENT

AUG 20 1990

NUMBER ______ L5591

REFERRED TO TAS

COPY TO.

CO/11 10...

ANSWER REQID

CITY OF VANCOUVER

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING

A Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Thursday, August 2, 1990, in the Council Chamber, Third Floor, City Hall, at approximately 7:30 p.m., for the purpose of holding a Public Hearing to amend the Zoning & Development By-law.

PRESENT:

Mayor Campbell

Aldermen Bellamy, Owen, Price, Puil, Rankin and Wilking

ABSENT:

Alderman Baker

Alderman Davies (Leave of Absence) Alderman Eriksen (Leave of Absence) Alderman Taylor (Leave of Absence)

CLERK TO THE COUNCIL: Ms. N. Largent

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Ald. Wilking, SECONDED by Ald. Puil,

THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Campbell in the Chair, to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning & Development By-law.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Item Nos. 1 and 2, being related, were dealt with concurrently.

Rezoning: 2740 East Broadway and 2650 Slocan Street

Text Amendment: CD-1 By-law No. 4677 (2633-77 East Broadway)

An application by Mr. John Burrage, on behalf of the Broadway Pentecostal Tabernacle, was considered as follows:

RESONING: 2740 EAST BROADWAY AND 2650 SLOCAN STREET (Lots 3 and 4, Blocks 1, 4, 5 and 8, South One-Half of Section 35, T.H.S.L., Plan 9744)

Present Zoning: Proposed Zoning:

M-1 Industrial District CD-1 Comprehensive Development District

- (i) If approved, the CD-1 by-law would permit the use and development of the site generally as follows:
 - church;
 - child daycare facility;

 - child daycare facility;
 accessory uses customarily ancillary to the above;
 maximum floor space ratio of 0.67;
 maximum height of 22.56 m (74 ft.); and
 provisions regarding off-street parking and loading.
- (ii) Any consequential amendments.

The Director of Planning recommended approval, subject to the following conditions proposed for adoption by resolution of Council:

- That the proposed form of development be approved in principle, generally as prepared by Vern Delgatty, Architect and stamped "Received City Planning Department November 22, 1989," and revised February 21, May 8, and June 1, 1990, provided that the Director of Planning may allow minor alterations to this form of development when approving the detailed scheme of development as outlined in resolution (b) below. resolution (b) below.
- That, prior to the enactment of the CD-1 by-law, the detailed scheme of development in a development application be approved by the Director of Planning, having particular regard to the following items, as outlined in the City Manager's report dated June 15, 1990: (b) That,
 - provide a drive-thru/drop-off area to be accessed (1) from Slocan Street, with egress only to Broadway connecting to the underground parking ramp; and the easterly parking ramp to be 6 m (20 ft.) wide;
 - (ii)ensure that no permanent structure is built over the public utility easement on the east side of Lot 3 (church site);
 - (iii)ensure that all landscaping on City property is approved, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer. Above-grade planters and similar structures will not be permitted within the street right-of-way;
 - (iv) ensure further design development regarding detailing and surface materials on the roof and walls of the new church, as well as additional landscaping treatments;
 - ensure that the development is fully accessible to the elderly and disabled; (V)
 - ensure that the child daycare facility meets Community Care Facility Licensing requirements; (vi)
 - submit an acoustical report which evaluates the ability of the church to keep noise generated within from impacting the neighbourhood; and (vii) W_X
 - (viii) make suitable arrangements, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer, for the future provision of 50 additional parking spaces when the City Engineer determines that they are needed.

- That, prior to enactment of the CD-1 by-law, the registered owner shall, at no cost to the City:
 - (1)make suitable arrangements, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer and Director of Legal Services, for provision of a sidewalk on the east side of Slocan Street (Lots 3 and 4) from Broadway south for a distance of approximately 160.02 m (525 ft.);
 - make suitable arrangements, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer, for the undergrounding of all electrical and telephone services from the closest (ii)existing suitable service point;
 - make suitable arrangements, to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning, to ensure community use of the gymnasium, daycare and meeting space; (iii)
 - (iv) establish a reciprocal arrangement, to the satisfaction of the Director of Legal Services, to ensure that the parking needs of Lot 3 (2740 East Broadway) and Lot 4 (2650 Slocan Street), will be met in perpetuity; and
 - register a Section 215 Covenant, effective upon the occupancy of the new church on Lot 3 (2740 East Broadway), prohibiting the use of the existing (V) church on Lot E (2677 East Broadway).

An additional application by John Burrage, on behalf of the Broadway Pentecostal Tabernacle, was also considered as follows:

TEXT AMENDMENT: CD-1 BY-LAW NO. 4677 - 2633-77 EAST BROADWAY (Lots D and E, Block 22, North One-Half of Section 34, T.H.S.L., Plan 15011)

Present Zoning:

CD-1 Comprehensive Development District

Proposed Zoning:

CD-1 Amended

- If approved, the proposed text amendment would permit the use and development of the site generally as follows:
 - church;
 - maximum of 80 dwelling units on Lot D and 40 dwelling units on Lot E;
 - social service centre;

 - social service centre;
 accessory uses customarily ancillary to the above;
 maximum floor space ratio of 1.65;
 maximum height, 26.52 m (87 ft.) or nine storeys for development on Lot D;
 maximum height, 12.22 m (40.10 ft.) or four storeys for development on Lot E;
 accustical provisions, and

 - acoustical provisions; and provisions regarding off-street parking.
- (ii) Any consequential amendments.

The Director of Planning recommended approval, subject to the following conditions proposed for adoption by resolution of Council:

- (a) That the proposed form of development be approved in principle, generally as prepared by Vern Delgatty, Architect and stamped "Received City Planning Department November 22, 1989," and revised May 4, May 11, and June 1, 1990, provided that the Director of Planning may allow minor alterations to this form of development when approving the detailed scheme of development as outlined in resolution (b) below.
- (b) That, prior to the enactment of the amending by-law, the detailed scheme of development in a development application be approved by the Director of Planning, having particular regard to the following items for Lot E, as outlined in the City Manager's report dated June 15, 1990:
 - ensure further design development regarding exposure of below-grade units on the north side of the multiple dwelling;
 - (ii) ensure that the development is fully accessible to the elderly and the disabled; and
 - (iii) ensure that all landscaping on City property is approved, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer. Above-grade planters and similar structures will not be permitted within the street right-of-way.
- (c) That, prior to enactment of the amending by-law, the registered owner shall, at no cost to the City:
 - (i) make suitable arrangements, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer, for the undergrounding of all electrical and telephone services from the closest existing suitable service point;
 - (ii) register a Section 215 Covenant, effective upon the occupancy of the new church on Lot 3 (2740 East Broadway), prohibiting the use of the existing church on Lot E (2677 East Broadway); and
 - (iii) establish a reciprocal arrangement, to the satisfaction of the Director of Legal Services, to ensure that the parking needs of Lot D (2633 East Broadway) and Lot E (2677 East Broadway) will be met in perpetuity.
- Mr. R.E. Youngberg, Associate Director, Area Planning, reviewed the aforementioned applications. If approved, the rezoning and text amendment would permit the construction of a four-storey multiple dwelling on 2600 Block East Broadway (Site A), intended for seniors' housing, and a new 1500 seat church complex on 2700 Block East Broadway (Site B), on the opposite side of the street from the existing church. It was noted a previous application associated with site A had been withdrawn, while an application associated with site B had been refused at Public Hearing. Issues raised at that time included traffic and parking concerns, size of the church, the height of the proposed multiple residential dwelling, and density. In the opinion of the Director of Planning, those conditions have now been adequately addressed. In particular, parking provisions are now above the required standard, church seating has been reduced, and

both height and density of the multiple residential dwelling have also been reduced. The public process was much improved over the prior application. Parking difficulty should also be partially mitigated by the implementation of an RPO system in the affected area. Therefore, the Director of Planning recommended approval, subject to the aforementioned conditions.

Mr. Burt Chase, Delgatty Architects, described the efforts made to address the neighbourhood's concerns since the previous Public Hearing. The Church has attempted to be a good neighbour, holding public meetings to discuss the new proposal, canvassing the neighbourhood, hiring a consultant to review traffic and parking problems, collaborating in the establishment of an RPO district and urging members to adhere to parking regulations. The development now includes the provision of substantial additional parking, reduced seating capacity in the Church, and lower height and density for the concerns. The applicant is prepared to address design concerns at the development permit stage.

Pastor Alan Hornby, Broadway Pentecostal Tabernacle, advised the church has operated in Vancouver for 75 years, and all basic active families live in the city. The church now faces two scenarios: if the rezoning and text amendment are approved, the church will be able to move across the street into a larger, but not mammoth building, with anough parking. Not only will substantially church will be able to move across the street into a larger, but not mammoth building, with enough parking. Not only will substantially more spaces be provided on the church property, but in addition, there will be more street parking available. The church will be able to expand its daycare, as well as seniors' housing stock, and will have more facilities for youth activities. If the church is unable to expand in this way, there will be perpetual parking pressures continuing on the neighbourhood. In addition, were site B to be developed in accordance with the existing M-1 zoning, a higher density and subsequent additional pressures could result. Therefore, Pastor Hornby urged Council to support the proposed rezoning and text

The following spoke in support of the applications, testifying to the Church's keen sense of community responsibility, and referencing a wide variety of educational and support activities provided to the community to the extent possible within the existing facility, regardless of church affiliation. In addition to the need for days are senses for youth activities. for daycare, seniors' housing, and more space for youth activities, the speakers noted space will also be available for community activities, and access for the disabled will be greatly improved. for community

Dr. Marvin Christianson, P7-1827 West 3rd Avenue, Vancouver Time Puertas, 2450 Penticton Street, Vancouver Jammie Berntsen, 3606 Vimy Crescent, Vancouver Böuglas Lang, 219 East 8th Street, N. Vancouver Craig Cooper, \$25-3240 East 58th Avenue, Vancouver Archie Symons, 102-2633 East Broadway, Vancouver Brent McPhedrain, 3471 Grandview Highway, Vancouver Georgialee Lang, 219 East 8th Street, N. Vancouver Jim Moore, 480 Gordon Avenue, W. Vancouver Jim Moore, 480 Gordon Avenue, W. Vancouver Larry McGuire, 1568 East 3rd Avenue, Vancouver Sven Soderlund, 3505 East 22nd Avenue, Vancouver

Elena Passaglia, 2610 East 8th Avenue, spoke in opposition to the applications, referencing a previously filed petition bearing 61 signatures and filing a further petition bearing 15 signatures. The area already contains a high concentration of multiple residential buildings and other facilities, such as Vancouver Technical Secondary School, which contribute to the parking problem in a single-family zoned neighbourhood. Although Mrs. Passaglia acknowledged the church and the City have made attempts to deal with the parking problem, the proposed new church will still be larger than the existing facility, and the community fears parking problems will only be exacerbated thereby. In addition, it has been difficult to have the RPO regulations enforced sufficiently on Sundays. Council was requested to take the neighbours' opinions into consideration, and not approve the proposed rezoning.

Queried why she believed the considerable increase in parking would exacerbate, rather than relieve the situation, Mrs. Passaglia indicated that after years of parking problems, the neighbourhood lacks confidence that anything will change.

Tony Kosovic, 2881 East 10th Avenue, also opposed the applications. In addition to parking problems, neighbours are concerned about an increase in traffic through their neighbourhood, particularly since many church members are not area residents. In addition, there is concern that the proposed four-storey residential building, which will occupy the present church's site, will cast more shadow on adjacent homes than the peaked church roof, and there will also be a loss of views. The Tabernacle is not a good neighbour, only undertaking mitigation measures when directed to do so by City Council, and neighbours have no confidence that the situation will improve. When it was pointed out that under the existing M-1 industrial zoning, the site across the street could be developed to a high density and increase traffic problems dramatically, Mr. Kosovic indicated neighbours do not believe an industrial development of that magnitude would be built on the site. magnitude would be built on the site.

Responding to queries, Mr. Youngberg advised he does not expect shadowing to be a problem, although there may be some blockage of views due to the proposed residential building. Shadow and view analyses will take place at the development permit stage. The advantages of building on the south side include the larger site, more flexibility, as well as less proximity to the residential neighbourhood. There are some contingencies built in; if parking becomes a problem upon monitoring, there is a provision for an additional 50 on-site parking spaces. Mr. Youngberg believed most issues will be addressed. Responding to queries, Mr. Youngberg advised he does not expect

MOVED by Ald. Bellamy,
THAT the rezoning and text amendment applications be approved,
subject to the conditions proposed by the Director of Planning, as
set out in this minute of the Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BY-LAW NO. 6912

A By-law to amend
By-law No. 4677 being a
By-law which amended the Zoning and
Development By-law by rezoning a site to CD-1

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

- 1. By-law No. 4677 is amended:
 - (a) in section 2 by deleting the words "Senior Citizens' High Rise Apartment, Church, Gymnasium and customarily ancillary uses," and by substituting therefor the following:

"For Lot D (as shown on the diagram below)

- (a) a maximum of 80 dwelling units in a multiple dwelling designated solely for families of low income under the provisions of the National Housing Act; and
- (b) accessory uses customarily ancillary to the above uses;

For Lot E (as shown on the diagram below)

- (a) church;
- (b) 40 dwelling units in a multiple dwelling;
- (c) social service centre, limited to a drop-in or activity space; and
- (d) accessory uses customarily ancillary to the above uses;";

153.03	275.92	
D	E	126.55
154.50	274.78	



- (b) by renumbering section 3 as section 7; and
- (c) by inserting after section 2 the following:
 - "3. Floor Space Ratio

The floor space ratio shall not exceed 1.65.

- 3.1 The following shall be included in the computation of floor space ratio:
 - (a) all floors having a minimum ceiling height of 4 feet, including earthen floor, both above and below ground level, to be measured to the extreme outer limits of the building; and
 - (b) stairways, fire escapes, elevator shafts and other features which the Director of Planning considers similar, to be measured by their gross cross-sectional areas and included in the measurements for each floor at which they are located.
- 3.2 The following shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio:
 - (a) open residential balconies or sundecks, and any other appurtenances which, in the opinion of the Director of Planning, are similar to the foregoing;
 - (b) patios and roof gardens, provided that the Director of Planning first approves the design of sunroofs and walls;
 - (c) where floors are used for off-street parking and loading, bicycle storage, heating and mechanical equipment, or uses which in the opinion of the Director of Planning are similar to the foregoing, those floors or portions thereof so used, which:
 - (i) are at or below the base surface, provided that the maximum exclusion for a parking space shall not exceed 24 feet in length; or
 - (ii) are above the base surface and where developed as off-street parking are

located in an accessory building situated in the rear yard, provided that the maximum exclusion for a parking space shall not exceed 24 feet in length;

- (d) amenity areas, including recreation facilities and meeting rooms, to a maximum total of 10 percent of the total building floor area;
- (e) areas of undeveloped floors located above the highest storey or half-storey, or adjacent to a half-storey with a ceiling height of less than 4 feet, and to which there is no permanent means of access other than a hatch; and
- (f) residential storage space provided that where the space is provided at or above base surface, the maximum exclusion shall be 40 square feet per dwelling unit.

4. Height

For any development on Lot D, the maximum building height measured above the base surface shall be 26.52 m (87 ft.) or 9 storeys. For any development on Lot E, the maximum building height measured above the base surface shall be 12.22 m (40.1 ft.) or 4 storeys.

5. Off-Street Parking and Loading

Off-street parking and loading shall be provided, developed and maintained in accordance with the provisions of the Parking By-law, except that a minimum of 40 parking spaces shall be provided for the permitted uses on Lot D and a minimum of 72 parking spaces shall be provided for the permitted uses on Lot

6. Acoustics

All development permit applications for Lot E shall require evidence in the form of a report and recommendations prepared by a person trained in acoustics and current techniques of noise measurement demonstrating that the noise levels in those portions of the dwelling units listed below shall not exceed the noise level set opposite such portions. For the purposes of this section the noise level is the

A-weighted 24-hour equivalent (Leq) sound level and will be defined simply as noise level in decibels.

PORTIONS OF DWELLING UNITS	NOISE LEVELS (DECIBI	<u>:LS)</u>
bedrooms	35	
living, dining, recreation rooms	40	
kitchen, bathrooms, hallways	45	
terraces, patios, balconies	60	۳.

 This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 10th day of December , 1991.

(Signed) Gordon Campbell

Mayor

(Signed) Dennis Back

Deputy City Clerk

CITY CLERK "

[&]quot; I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 10th day of December 1991, and numbered 6912.

CITY OF VANCOUVER



From:

City Clerk

Date: June 2, 1994

Refer File: 2604-F1A...

To:

Ken Dobell, City Manager
Tom Fletcher, Director of Planning
Bob Maki, City Building Inspector

John Mulberry, Director of Legal Services

FOS THE

Subject:

Form of Development: 2633 - 2677 East Broadway

D.A. 215467 - CD-1 By-law Number 4677

On May 31, 1994, Vancouver City Council approved the following recommendation contained in a May 13, 1994 Administrative Report (A14):

THAT the approved form of development for the CD-1 zoned site known as 2633 - 2677 East Broadway be generally approved as illustrated in Development Application Number 215467, prepared by Vern H. Delgatty, Architect and stamped "Received, City Planning Department December 2, 1993", provided that the Director of Planning may approve design changes which would not adversely affect either the development character of this site or adjacent properties.

Minsella

CITY CLERK.

JS:rk

Letter to: Mr. H. Chase, 6112 Sussex Drive

Burnaby, BC V5H 3C3

Clause 1(a) and (b) (cont'd)

This development is also in keeping with Council's strategy of reducing traffic congestion by encouraging residential development in this area and reducing commuters. The application also provides for a substantial amount of bicycle parking within the new residential complex.

Staff Closing Comments

Staff offered no additional comments.

Council Decision

Prior to making a decision, several members of Council expressed the view that staff need to reconsider their approach when notifying residents about rezoning applications, as well as other City-related issues. Members of Council also referred to a previously requested report on waterfront tower height and Council expressed a desire to see this report as soon as possible.

MOVED by Cllr. Bellamy,

THAT this application be approved, subject to the conditions as set out in this minute of the Public Hearing.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Cllr. Price,

THAT the City Manager ensure that when the anticipated report from the Housing Centre on housing affordability comes back, it deals with the issues related to Triangle West and new neighbourhoods.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Balcony Enclosures and Acoustic Requirements /

An application by the Director of Land Use and Development was considered as follows:

The proposed amendments to various zoning District Schedules, Official Development Plans and CD-1 Comprehensive Development District By-laws, would either:

 not allow any of the permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for enclosed balconies except in buildings existing prior to April 23, 1985 in which case the present regulations would apply; or

- continue to permit a maximum of 8 percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded form Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for balconies BUT to permit no more than half of excluded floor area to be enclosed; or
- permit no more than 8 percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for enclosed balconies.

The proposed acoustic amendments would delete the acoustic requirement for balconies, terraces, patios, etc.

Amended Balcony Enclosure Guidelines and Policies are also proposed.

The Director of Land Use and Development recommended approval of this application.

Staff Opening Comments

Mr. Ralph Segal, Planner, provided background on this issue and introduced the options before Council this evening.

In 1964, in order to improve livability in higher density multiple dwelling developments, open balconies were excluded from FSR to a maximum of eight percent of residential floor area. In the early 1980s, the City received numerous requests from owners of units in existing buildings to enclose their balconies for reasons of poor insulation and acoustics, air drafts and other interior problems. In response, Council in 1985 adopted balcony enclosure guidelines by which enclosed balconies would continue to be excluded from FSR.

Subsequently, in response to the development industry's request for equity, Council permitted this exclusion to apply to new construction, subject to adherence to the guidelines. Since then, new buildings have, to an increasing degree, incorporated enclosed balconies as additional interior space displacing the private open space, the open balconies, for which the FSR exclusion had been originally provided.

Since enclosed balcony space has been successfully marketed at the full per square foot price of the rest of the dwelling unit, many developers have been more and more aggressive in seeking the full eight percent exclusion for enclosed balconies. This differs from a mix of open and enclosed balconies that were anticipated when the exclusion was first put in place.

With the aid of photographs distributed to Council (on file in the City Clerk's Office), Mr. Segal explained that enclosure of most or all balconies bulks up buildings by filling in the volumes of open balconies and intends to create less residential, more office-like buildings. Exclusions from FSR are usually given to encourage developers to provide facilities that are considered important for livability but would likely not be provided without that incentive. In this case, bonuses are being permitted when they the negative affect of displacing the private open space for which the FSR exclusion was intended.

Recommendation Al would eliminate the FSR exclusion for enclosed balconies except in the buildings existing prior to 1985, as per the original intent of the balcony enclosure provisions. Alternatively, should Council consider that enclosed balconies do have merit, A2 is offered which states that no more than half of the excluded balcony area may be enclosed. The third option, A3 is to simply allow outright the full eight percent exclusion to be enclosed.

This application also proposes an acoustic amendment. At present, acoustic requirements in many district schedules and CD-1 by-laws apply to standards in both rooms within the unit as well as exterior balconies and patios. As the current standard often requires balconies to be enclosed, even when this is not desired, the proposed amendment will delete this requirement. Mr. Segal also explained that amendments are proposed to the balcony enclosure guidelines which would delete provisions calling for easy conversion of enclosed balconies back to open balconies, as well as adding several additional clauses which will clarify the design intent in new construction.

Responding to a question from a member of Council, Mr. Segal advised of an error in the memorandum dated July 18, 1995 from the City Clerk, which referred this matter to Public Hearing. Recommendation Al makes reference to excluding floor space ratio for enclosed balconies except in buildings existing prior to April 23, 1995. This should read April 23, 1985.

A member of Council enquired whether these guidelines would permit a style of balcony sometimes referred to a french balconies. Mr. Segal advised this style would not be permitted under the proposed guidelines.

Council members also enquired whether thresholds will still be required between the interior unit and the closed balconies. It was confirmed the proposed guidelines still contain this threshold requirement.

Correspondence

All correspondence received prior to this matter being referred to Public Hearing was included as Appendix E in the Council report. One additional letter stressing the need for more open balconies in Vancouver and another favouring option A2, were also received.

Speakers

The Mayor called for speakers for and against the application, and the following addressed Council.

Mr. Hans Schmidt, representing the Society of Soundscape Preservation, expressed concern with the proposed deletion of acoustic requirements, on the grounds that if these requirements are deleted, the City is simply accommodating the noise which exists and not attempting to eliminate or reduce it. A greater emphasis should be directed towards elimination of the source of noise.

Mr. Dugal Purdie, on behalf of the Urban Development Institute (UDI), indicated his support for option A2 as it represents an appropriate compromise. The UDI is strongly opposed to A1 as this would affect proformas upon which construction was predicated upon. Mr. Purdie urged Council to support recommendation A2 with an amendment to exclude the applicability of the guidelines to enclosed space, as the Institute believes the total design of the building should be left with the architects and reviewed through the existing development permit process, without the addition of guidelines.

Mr. Stuart Howard, on behalf of the Architectural Institute of British Columbia (AIBC), lent his support to option A2, as it represents a compromise position. AIBC would ultimately prefer option 5 as stated in its May 30, 1995 brief to Council, but is willing to accept the compromise position. Mr. Howard suggested the Planning Department is naive in its support of option Al because apartments are now significantly smaller in size and the continued requirement of an open balcony would result in a small, unusable space.

cont'd....

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT the City continue to permit a maximum of eight percent of permitted residential floor area to be excluded from Floor Space Ratio (FSR) for balconies, but to permit no more than half of excluded floor area to be enclosed;

FURTHER THAT the requirement that thresholds be included in enclosed balconies be removed.

- CARRIED

Councillors Chiavario, Kwan and Price opposed)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT those District Schedules and CD-1 by-laws containing an acoustic regulation be amended, to delete the acoustic requirement for on-site open space (i.e., balconies, terraces, patios, etc.), generally as outlined in Appendix A of the Policy Report dated June 6, 1995.

- CARRIED

(Councillor Sullivan opposed)

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT the Balcony Enclosure Guidelines and Policies, amended as noted in Appendix B of the Policy Report dated June 6, 1995, to reflect more practical utilization by residents, be approved.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED by Cllr. Kennedy,

THAT Council advise the Planning Department that it supports "French Balconies" where appropriate and that language be incorporated in the balcony regulations and/or guidelines that would encourage their provision.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BY-LAW NO. 7515

A By-law to amend
By-law Nos. 3712, 4037, 4049, 4397, 4677, 5381,
5836, 5852, 6272, 6310, 6312, 6313, 6314, 6315,
6316, 6317, 6318, 6319, 6320, 6321, 6322, 6323,
6325, 6361, 6362, 6363, 6421, 6425, 6429, 6475,
6489, 6528, 6533, 6564, 6582, 6597, 6663, 6688,
6710, 6713, 6714, 6715, 6730, 6731, 6738, 6739,
6740, 6744, 6747, 6757, 6768, 6779, 6787, 6817,
6827, 6965, 7006, 7087, 7092, 7101, 7114, 7135,
7155, 7156, 7157, 7158, 7163, 7166, 7173, 7174,
7175, 7180, 7189, 7193, 7198, 7200, 7204, 7209,
7223, 7224, 7230, 7232, 7246, 7248, 7317, 7337,
7340, 7381, 7425, 7431, 7434 and 7461, being
by-laws which amended the Zoning and Development
By-law by rezoning areas to CD-1

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

- 1. By-law Nos. 6429, 6597, 7092, 7101, 7224 and 7340 are each amended in section 5 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
- 2. The following By-laws are each amended in section 6 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column:

4037	6688	7087	7180
4397	6710	7155	7189
4677	6713	7157	7209
5852	6731	7163	7246
6272	6738	7166	7381
6363	6768	7173	7425
6421	6787	7174	7431
6582	6827	7175	7434
6663			

- 3. By-law No. 6730 is amended in section 6.1 by deleting the words "Terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
- 4. The following By-laws are each amended in section 7 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.

5836	6321	6564	7114
6310	6322	6739	7135
6312	6323	6740	7158
6315	6325	6817	7223
6319	6528	6965	7230
6320			

- 5. By-law Nos. 6313, 6314, 6316, 6317, 6318 and 6361 are each amended in section 7.1 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
- 6. By-law Nos. 3712, 4049, 6362, 6425, 6489, 6714, 6715, 7193 and 7337 are each amended in section 8 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
- 7. By-law No. 6779 is amended in section 9 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
- 8. By-law No. 7198 is amended in section 10 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
- 9. By-law Nos. 7156, 7200, 7232 and 7248 are each amended in section 11 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
- 10. By-law No. 6744 is amended in section 12 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
- 11. By-law Nos. 6747 and 6757 are both amended in section 13 by deleting the words "terraces, patios, balconies" from the left column and the corresponding number "60" from the right column.
- 12. By-law No. 5381 is amended in section 4.8.1 by
 - (a) deleting clause (d), and
 - (b) relettering clauses (e) and (f) as (d) and (e), respectively.
- 13. By-law No. 6533 is amended in section 5.6.1 by deleting clause (d).
- 14. By-law No. 6475 is amended in section 5.8.1 by deleting clause (d).
- 15. By-law No. 7006 is amended in section 7 by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.

- 16. By-law No. 7317 is amended in section 9 by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.
- 17. By-law No. 7461 is amended in section 9 of Schedule "B" by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.
- 18. By-law No. 7204 is amended in section 12 of Schedule "B" by deleting the words "common-use roof decks and patios" from the left column and the corresponding number "55" from the right column.
- 19. This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

January DONE AND PASSED in open Council this ^{11th} day of , 1996.

"(signed) Jennifer Clarke"
Deputy Mayor

"(signed) Maria C. Kinsella" City Clerk

"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 11th day of January 1996, and numbered 7515.

CITY CLERK"



FIT CITY OF VANCOUVER



CITY OF VANCOUVER

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

FEBRUARY 24, 2000

A Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Thursday, February 24, 2000, at 7:35 p.m., in Council Chambers, Third Floor, City Hall, for the purpose of holding a Public Hearing to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law and Official Development Plans.

PRESENT:

Mayor Philip Owen

Councillor Fred Bass Councillor Jennifer Clarke Councillor Daniel Lee Councillor Don Lee

Councillor Sandy McCormick Councillor Sam Sullivan

ABSENT:

Councillor Lynne Kennedy

Councillor Tim Louis

Councillor Gordon Price (Sick Leave) Councillor George Puil (Civic Business)

CITY CLERK'S

Tarja Tuominen, Meeting Coordinator

OFFICE:

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee, SECONDED by Cllr. Daniel Lee,

> THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Owen in the Chair, to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development Bylaw and Official Development Plans.

> > - CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1. Text Amendments: District Schedules, Official Development Plans and

CD-1 By-laws - Floor Space Exclusions

[Barrett Commission]

An application by the Director of Current Planning was considered as follows:

Summary: The proposed text amendments would provide floor space exclusions to provide construction incentives to control building envelope leaks.

The Director of Current Planning recommended approval.

Staff Comments

Jacqui Forbes-Roberts, General Manager of Community Services, provided a brief introduction to the report, noting the proposed text amendments would affect new construction and repairs and restoration of existing buildings. Ms. Forbes-Roberts also requested an amendment to the proposed draft by-law to amend By-law 3575 to add RS1 to Section 4.7.3, (d).

Doug Watts, Building Envelope Specialist, with the aid of a slide presentation, described the specifics of the technical and different design issues of the proposed amendments, and explained what steps other municipalities have taken to address the recommendations arising from the Barrett Commission.

Summary of Correspondence

Council was advised the following correspondence was received since the date the application was referred to Public Hearing:

one letter in support of 'Option A'.

Speakers

Mayor Owen called for speakers for and against the application.

The following spoke in support of `Option A':

John Fowler, Canadian Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institute Bill McEwen, Masonry Institute of British Columbia (brief filed) Peter Reese

The foregoing speakers supported 'Option A' based on one or more of the following points:

application of the current FSR calculations has prevented a wide-spread use of precast concrete exterior walls; there have been very few problems with the use of pre-cast concrete, which has proven to be a versatile and durable material;

thicker exterior walls are better walls, because they can include an airspace cavity behind the cladding which provides a "rainscreen" system, more efficient insulation, thicker, more durable cladding materials; current FSR calculations discourage the foregoing:

the proposed changes in FSR definitions will immediately encourage better wall design;

brick and stone-faced walls should be encouraged.

The following generally supported 'Option A' but felt the proposed text amendments should be referred back to staff for further study and discussion with the industry:

John O'Donnell, AIBC Stuart Howard, Vancouver Planning Coalition

The following is a summary of the foregoing speakers' comments:

Option `A' is supported in principle; however the text amendments also should address overhangs, balconies, elevated walkways, yard setbacks, and site coverage; staff should accept the electronic calculation of areas and the calculations of the Architect, given under seal;

letters of assurance from a building envelope specialist are redundant at an early stage; the proposed text amendments should cover everything instead of the City issuing administrative bulletins to address further changes.

Staff Closing Comments

Ralph Segal, Planner; Eric Fiss, Planner; and Doug Watts responded to the issues raised by the speakers: the proposed text amendments are the result of a fair bit of consultation with the industry; a building envelope specialist is required to be involved in the process earlier as technical details are to be submitted at the development permit stage; staff are taking a further look at other issues, such as recesses, balconies and walkways.

Ms. Forbes-Roberts advised Council may proceed with the proposed amendments to the floor space exclusions and request staff to come back with additional amendments. Staff and the industry would prefer the FSR exclusions not be delayed.

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee,

A. THAT the application by the Director of Current Planning to amend various District Schedules, Official Development Plans and CD-1 By-laws to provide floor space exclusions to provide construction incentives to control building envelope leaks be approved.

FURTHER THAT the draft By-law 3575, section 4.7.3, be amended as follows:

(d) as clause (h) in the following district schedules:

RS-1 and RS-1S RT-4, etc.

(Italics denote amendment)

B. THAT staff report back on other aspects affecting leakage of buildings, such as overhangs, protection of upper balconies, recesses, etc.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

RISE FROM COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Don Lee,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ADOPT REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Cllr. Clarke, SECONDED BY Cllr. Don Lee,

> THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted, and the Director of Legal Services be instructed to prepare and bring forward the necessary by-law amendments.

> > - CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Special Council adjourned at 9:20 p.m.



Comments or questions? You can send us email.

CITY HOMEPAGE GET IN TOUCH COMMUNITIES

(c) 1998 City of Vancouver

EXPLANATION

Zoning and Development Various CD-1 by-laws

Amendments re Exterior Wall Exclusion (Barrett Commission Recommendations)

Following a public hearing on February 24, 2000 Council approved an application, as noted above. There were no prior-to conditions and the Director of Current Planning has advised that the attached by-law can now be enacted to implement Council's resolution.

Director of Legal Services 14 March 2000

I:\BYLAWS\WPDOCS\PORTER\CD-1CONS.WPD

BY-LAW NO. 8169

A By-law to amend By-laws Nos.

```
3568 3632 3706 3712 3865 3869 3885 3897 3907 3914 3983 4037 4049 4085 4131
4238 4271 4358 4397 4412 4559 4580 4597 4634 4674 4677 4775 4825 4829 4860
4861 4900 4918 4926 4928 4930 4940 4954 4958 4999 5009 5011 5014 5028 5060
5091 5145 5179 5184 5222 5224 5229 5376 5343 5381 5383 5407 5411 5416 5418
5477 5510 5548 5555 5579 5597 5683 5702 5717 5762 5773 5810 5836 5838 5852
5863 5890 5927 5937 5950 5975 5976 5997 6009 6039 6041 6057 6063 6064 6070
6072 6117 6155 6161 6169 6180 6221 6245 6246 6254 6260 6263 6272 6277 6297
6305 6307 6310 6312 6313 6314 6315 6316 6317 6318 6319 6320 6321 6322 6323
6325 6361 6362 6363 6394 6420 6421 6423 6425 6427 6428 6429 6448 6449 6475
6486 6489 6528 6533 6538 6564 6577 6582 6594 6597 6654 6663 6676 6688 6710
6713 6714 6715 6718 6730 6731 6738 6739 6740 6744 6747 6757 6759 6760 6768
6779 6787 6817 6819 6827 6838 6876 6833 6884 6911 6919 6953 6962 6963 6965
7006 7045 7087 7091 7101 7114 7135 7155 7156 7157 7158 7159 7163 7163 7173
7174 7175 7189 7193 7196 7198 7200 7201 7204 7208 7209 7210 7223 7224 7230
7232 7235 7246 7248 7249 7317 7325 7337 7340 7371 7381 7389 7405 7419 7425
7431 7434 7435 7459 7461 7476 7516 7519 7522 7531 7551 7552 7556 7592 7601
7602 7638 7639 7645 7647 7648 7649 7651 7652 7654 7655 7656 7672 7673 7675
7677 7679 7681 7682 7684 7705 7715 7723 7820 7829 7834 7835 7852 7853 7879
7904 7927 7932 7948 7958 7971 7995 7996 8016 8034 8043 8055 8073 8082 8088
8097 8109 8111 8116 8130 8131
```

being By-laws which amended the Zoning and Development By-law by rezoning areas to CD-1

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

- 1. By-law No. 3907 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

- 2. By-law No. 4412 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 3. By-law No. 5376 is amended in Section 2 by deleting the period from the end of subclause (iii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:
 - "(iv) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this subclause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 4. By-laws No. 4825 and 6325 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of subclause (ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:
 - "(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this subclause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 5. By-law No. 5343 is amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (iii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(iv) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000"
- 6. By-laws No. 4775, 4829, 5222, 5224, 5773 and 6039 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semicolon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the

Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

- 7. By-laws No. 4085, 5411, and 5416 are each amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 8. By-law No. 5407 is amended in Section 3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 9. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:
 - "3.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

```
3568 3712 3885 4271 4358 4634 4674 4861 4900 4918 4926 4928 4930 4940 4958 4999 5009 5011 5014 5028 5060 5145 5179 5184 5229 5418 5477 5836 5838 5863 5937 5950 5975 5976 4954 6041 6064 6072 6117 6155 6161 6180 6245 6246 6260 6263 6277 6297 6305 6307 6394 6420 6425 6427 6428 6429 6448 6449 6489 6538 6577 6594 6564 6654 6663 6759 6760 6779 6876 6911
```

10. By-laws No. 6314 and 6582 are each amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the period from the end of clause (ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

- "(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 11. By-law No. 6272 is amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the word "and" from the end of subclause (c)(i), by deleting the period from the end of subclause (c)(ii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following subclause:
 - "(iii) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 12. By-law No. 4580 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of the section and substituting it with a semi-colon, by relettering the existing text as clause (a) and by adding the following clause:
 - "(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 13. By-law No. 6884 is amended in Section 3.1 by deleting the word "and" from the end of clause (a), by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 14. By-law No. 5683 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of this section and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor

space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

- 15. By-law No. 8088 is amended in Section 3.2 by adding the following clause:
 - "(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 16. By-law No. 6009 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of subclause (e)(vii) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 17. By-law No. 4677 is amended in Section 3.2 by deleting the period at the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 18. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following socion:
 - "3.3 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

4238 4860 5579 5717 5810 5852 5890 6057 6070 6310 6312 6313 6316 6320 6361 6363 6423 6528 6714 6715

19. By-law No. 7684 is amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (a) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

- "(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 20. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the and from clause (a) and by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

7705 7459 7435 7434 7419 7389 6718

- 21. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

5458 5548 5597 6962 7045 7682

- 22. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3897 3983 5510 7144 7208 7476 7516 7820 7927 7996

23. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

"(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 12 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

5091 6486 6676 6688 6713 6730 6787 6817 7159 7337 7531 7552 7556 7645 7652 7715 7835 7971 8111

- 24. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

4391 4049 4397 4597 6421 6710 6731 6738 6739 6740 6768 6827 6838 6919 6953 6963 6965 7006 7091 7092 7101 7135 7155 7157 7158 7163 7166 7175 7189 7193 7196 7198 7210 7223 7224 7230 7325 7340 7381 7519 7551 7602 7638 7639 7647 7651 7655 7723 7932 7948 8082

- 25. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3869 7173 7522 7601 7656 7672 7834 7852 7853 7904 7958

- 26. By-laws No. 4559, 7209, 7425 and 7431 are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

- By-laws No. 5997 and 7829 are each amended in Section 3.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (i) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(j) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 28. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:
 - "3.4 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

5762 5927 6315 6317 6318 6319 6321 6323 6362

- 29. By-law No. 7980 is amended
 - (a) in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.", and
 - (b) in Section 3.7 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 30. By-laws No. 7087 and 7174 are each amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

- "(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 31. By-law No. 7246 is amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 32. By-laws No. 8034, 8043 and 8116 are each amended in Section 3.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 33. By-laws No. 6322 and 6597 are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:
 - "3.5 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 34. By-law No. 8016 is amended in Section 3.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- By-law No. 8055 is amended in Section 3.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

- "(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 36. By-law No. 8130 is amended in Section 3.6 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 37. By-law No. 7648 is amended in Section 3.6 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 38. By-laws No. 6063 and 6221 are each amended in Section 3 by adding the following section:
 - "4.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 39. By-law No. 5555 is amended in Section 4 by-deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 40. By-law No. 5705 is amended in Section 4 by adding the following section:

- "4.3 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 41. By-law No. 7371 is amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (a) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 42. By-law No. 7249 is amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 43. By-laws No. 5702 and 7673 are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 44. By-laws No. 6819 and 7238 are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

- 45. The By-laws listed below are each amended in Section 4.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."

3632 3706 4131 7649 7995 8073 8097

- 46. By-law No. 5381 is amended in Section 4.3.3 by adding after the existing text the following:
 - where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 47. By-law No. 7592 is amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 48. By-law No. 6883 is amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (e) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(f) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 49. By-laws No. 4037 and 7405 are each amended in Section 4.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum

exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

- 50. By-law No. 7201 is amended in Section 4.5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (c) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(d) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 51. By-law No. 5383 is amended in Section 5 by deleting the period from the end of clause (b) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(c) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 52. By-law No. 6533 is amended in Section 5.2.4 by deleting the period at the end of the existing text and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following:
 - where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 53. By-law No. 7654 is amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 54. By-law No. 7677 is amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum

exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

- By-laws No. 7675, 7681 and 8109 are each amended in Section 5.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 56. By-laws No. 3865 and 6475 are each amended in Section 5.3.3 by deleting the period from the end of the existing text and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following:
 - where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 57. By-law No. 7879 is amended in Section 5.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 58. By-law No. 8131 is amended in Section 5.4 by deleting the period from the end of clause (j) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(k) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 59. By-law No. 6169 is amended in Section 6 by adding the following section:
 - "6.1 Where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the

Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, shall be excluded in the computation of floor space ratio, except that this section shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".

- 60. By-law No. 7679 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (d) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(e) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 61. By-law No. 7317 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 62. By-laws No. 7156, 7200, and 7232 are each amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- By-law No. 7461 is amended in Section 6.3 of Schedule B by deleting the period from the end of clause (h) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(i) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 64. By-law No. 7248 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (i) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:

- "(j) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 65. By-law No. 6744 is amended in Section 6.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (j) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(k) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 66. By-laws No. 6747 and 7204 are each amended in Section 7.3 of Schedule B, by deleting the period from the end of clause (f) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(g) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000."
- 67. By-law No. 6757 is amended in Section 7.3 by deleting the period from the end of clause (g) and substituting it with a semi-colon and by adding the following clause:
 - "(h) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000.".
- 68. By-law No. 6254 is amended in Section 8 by deleting the period from the end of the second clause (a), which clause ends with the word "computation", and substituting a semi-colon and by inserting the following clause:
 - "(b) where exterior walls greater than 152 mm in thickness have been recommended by a Building Envelope Professional as defined in the Building By-law, the area of the walls exceeding 152 mm, but to a maximum exclusion of 152 mm thickness, except that this clause shall not apply to walls in existence prior to March 14, 2000;"



CITY OF VANCOUVER

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

NOVEMBER 20, 2003

A Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Thursday, November 20, 2003, at 7:30 p.m., in the Council Chamber, Third Floor, City Hall, for the purpose of holding a Public Hearing to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law, Official Development Plans, Sign By-law and to designate property as protected heritage property and to enter into a Heritage Revitalization Agreement.

PRESENT:

Mayor Larry Campbell
Councillor David Cadman
Councillor Peter Ladner
Councillor Raymond Louie
Councillor Tim Louis
Councillor Anne Roberts
Councillor Tim Stevenson
*Councillor Sam Sullivan
Councillor Ellen Woodsworth

ABSENT:

Councillor Fred Bass (Leave of Absence - Civic Business)

Councillor Jim Green (Civic Business)

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE:

Laura Kazakoff, Meeting Coordinator

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Councillor Louis SECONDED by Councillor Cadman

THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Campbell in the Chair, to consider proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law, Official Development Plans, Sign By-law, to designate property as protected heritage property and to enter into a Heritage Revitalization Agreement.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1. Text Amendment: Zoning and Development By-law, CD-1s, and Official Development Plans

An application by the Director of Current Planning was considered as follows:

Summary:

Housekeeping amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law, including CD-1s and

Official Development Plans.

The Director of Current Planning recommended approval.

Staff Comments

^{*}Denotes absence for a portion of the meeting.

Dave Thomsett, Senior Planner, Rezoning Centre, was present to respond to questions.

Summary of Correspondence

No correspondence was received since the date the application was referred to Public Hearing.

Speakers

The Mayor called for speakers for and against the application and none were present.

Council Decision

MOVED by Councillor Louis

THAT the application by the Director of Current Planning to amend the Zoning & Development By-law, CD-1 By-laws and Official Development Plans generally as set out in Appendices A & B of the Policy Report "Miscellaneous Text Amendments: Zoning and Development By-law, CD-1 By-laws, and Official Development Plans, Zoning and Development Fee By-law and Policies and Guidelines" dated September 23, 2003, be approved.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (Councillor Sullivan absent for the vote)

BY-LAWS

MOVED by Councillor Cadman SECONDED by Councillor Louie

THAT Council, except for those members excused as noted in the agenda, enact the by-laws listed on the agenda for this meeting as numbers 1 to 11 inclusive, and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to sign and seal the enacted by-laws.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- 1. A By-law to amend Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 (re miscellaneous text amendments) (By-law No. 8758)
- 2. A By-law to amend By-law Nos. 6180, 6320, and 8587 which amended Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 by rezoning certain areas to CD-1 (By-law No. 8759)
- 3. A By-law to amend miscellaneous CD-1 By-laws (re miscellaneous text and residential storage amendments) (By-law 8760)

(Councillors Bass, Green and Sullivan excused from voting)

4. A By-law to amend Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 (re miscellaneous text and residential storage amendments) (By-law 8761)

(Councillors Bass, Green and Sullivan excused from voting)

5. A By-law to amend Downtown District Official Development Plan By-law No. 4912, Downtown-Eastside/Oppenheimer District Official Development Plan By-law No. 5532, and Southeast Granville Slopes Official Development Plan By-law No. 5752 (By-law 8762)

(Councillors Bass, Green and Sullivan excused from voting)

- 6. A By-law to amend Solid Waste and Recycling By-law No. 8417 to regulate certain 2004 utility fees and miscellaneous amendments (By-law 8763)
- 7. A By-law to amend Water Works By-law No. 4848 (2004 Fees and miscellaneous amendments) (By-law 8764)
- 8. A By-law to authorize the borrowing of certain sums of money from January 8, 2004 to January 7, 2005 pending the collection of real property taxes (By-law No. 8765)
- 9. A By-law to amend By-law No. 8093, being the Sewer and Watercourse By-law (Establishment of 2004 Sewer Use and Connection Rates) (By-law No. 8766)
- 10. A By-law to amend License By-law No. 4450 re 2004 marina operator fee increases

(By-law No. 8767)

11. A By-law to amend Impounding By-law No. 3519 (2004 Charges re Towing Service Contract) (By-law 8768)

69. This By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its passing.

DONE AND PASSED in open Council this 14th day of March, 2000.

(Signed) Philip W. Owen Mayor

(Signed) Ulli S. Watkiss City Clerk

"I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct copy of a By-law passed by the Council of the City of Vancouver on the 14th day of March 2000, and numbered 8169.

CITY CLERK"

BY-LAW NO. 9738

A By-law to amend CD-1 By-law No.'s 4296, 4677, 7655, 9113, and 9693

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER, in public meeting, enacts as follows:

- 1. From section 2(b) of By-law No. 4296 and from section 6.1 of CD-1 By-law No. 7655, Council strikes out "Special Needs Residential Facility Congregate Housing", and substitutes "Seniors Supportive or Assisted Housing".
- 2. From section 2(a), under Lot D, of By-law No. 4677, Council strikes out "a multiple dwelling designated solely for families of low income under the provisions of the National Housing Act", and substitutes "Seniors Supportive or Assisted Housing".
- 3. From section 5 of By-law No. 4677, Council strikes out "40", and substitutes "13".
- 4. From section 4 of By-law No. 9113, Council strikes out "13.4" and "17.9", and substitutes "19.1" and "18.9" respectively.
- 5. In By-law No. 9693, Council, in:
 - section 2, repeals the definition of "Desktop Publishing", and substitutes:"Desktop Publishing" means the creation of page layouts with text, graphic, photos, and other visual elements using computer software."; and
 - (b) section 3.2(d), after "limited to", adds "Desktop Publishing, Information Technology, and".
- 6. A decision by a court that any part of this By-law is illegal, void, or unenforceable severs that part from this By-law, and is not to affect the balance of this By-law.
- 7. This By-law is to come into force and take effect on the date of its enactment.

ENACTED by Council this 28th day of October, 2008

Mayor

City Clerk

Downtown", to review the adopted height limits and view corridors affecting the downtown and recommend changes, if appropriate, to achieve additional development capacity. The staff resources to undertake the work have already been approved, and the public process and consultation budget is anticipated to be available in the Planning Department's operating budget.

- C. THAT staff prioritize the review of the view corridors affecting the False Creek North area.
- D. THAT, in light of environmental and economic imperatives, staff review opportunities to achieve densities in the False Creek North area that are equal to or greater than other areas in the downtown core.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

RISE FROM COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Councillor Louie

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ADOPT REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Councillor Lee SECONDED by Councillor Capri

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BY-LAWS

MOVED by Councillor Stevenson SECONDED by Councillor Ladner

THAT Council, except for those members excused as noted in the agenda, enact the by-laws listed on the agenda for this meeting as numbers 1 to 15 inclusive, and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to sign and seal the enacted by-laws.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

 A By-law to amend Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 (re miscellaneous text amendments) (By-law No. 9737)
 (Councillor Ball ineligible to vote.)

- 2. A By-law to amend CD-1 By-laws No.'s 4296, 4677, 7655, 9113, and 9693 (re miscellaneous text amendments) (By-law No. 9738) (Councillor Ball ineligible to vote.)
- 3. A By-law to amend Sign By-law No. 6510 regarding miscellaneous text amendments (By-law No. 9739) (Councillor Ball ineligible to vote.)
- 4. A By-law to amend Parking By-law No. 6059 (re miscellaneous text amendments) (By-law No. 9740)
- 5. A By-law to amend Zoning and Development By-law No. 3575 to rezone an area to CD-1 (re 335, 337, and 349 East 33rd Avenue) (By-law No. 9741) (Councillor Chow ineligible to vote.)
- 6. A By-law to amend Subdivision By-law No. 5208 (re 335, 337, and 349 East 33rd Avenue) (By-law No. 9742)
- 7. A By-law to authorize Council entering into a Heritage Revitalization Agreement with the Owner of Heritage Property (re 265 Carrall Street) (By-law No. 9743)
- 8. A By-law to authorize Council entering into a Heritage Revitalization Agreement with the Owner of Heritage Property (re 1300 Granville Street) (By-law No. 9744) (Councillor Chow ineligible to vote.)
- 9. A By-law to designate certain real property as protected heritage property (re 1300 Granville Street) (By-law No. 9745) (Councillor Chow ineligible to vote.)
- 10. A By-law to amend Energy Utility System By-law No. 9552 regarding pre-occupancy heat service and other matters (By-law No. 9746)
- 11. A By-law to relax Building By-law No. 9419 regarding the regulation of special event facilities for the Vancouver 2010 Olympic and Paralympic Winter Games (By-law No. 9747)
- 12. A By-law to amend License By-law No. 4450 regarding 2009 fee increases (By-law No. 9748)
- 13. A By-law to amend CD-1 By-law No. 8097 (re 333 East Pender Street) (By-law No. 9749)
- 14. A By-law to amend Parking By-law No. 6059 (re miscellaneous text amendments) (By-law No. 9750
- 15. A By-law to amend Impounding By-law No 3519 regarding authority for impounding charges (re housekeeping amendment) (By-law No. 9751)

CITY OF VANCOUVER

CITY OF VANCOUVER.

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

OCTOBER 14, 2008

A Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Tuesday, October 14, 2008, at 7:47 p.m., in the Council Chamber, Third Floor, City Hall, for the purpose of holding a Public Hearing to consider proposed amendments to the Heritage By-laws and Zoning and Sign By-laws.

PRESENT:

Mayor Sam Sullivan

Councillor Suzanne Anton Councillor David Cadman Councillor Kim Capri Councillor George Chow Councillor Heather Deal Councillor Peter Ladner* Councillor B.C. Lee

Councillor Raymond Louie Councillor Tim Stevenson

ABSENT:

Councillor Elizabeth Ball

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE:

Nicole Ludwig, Meeting Coordinator

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Councillor Louie SECONDED by Councillor Cadman

THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Sullivan in the Chair, to consider proposed amendments to the Heritage By-laws and Zoning and Sign By-laws.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (Councillor Ladner absent for the vote)

4. TEXT AMENDMENTS: Miscellaneous Text Amendments

An application by the Director of Planning was considered as follows:

Summary: Miscellaneous text amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law, the

Sign By-law, the Parking By-law, and various Comprehensive Development Districts (CD-1). The amendments achieve the intent of earlier rezonings.

^{*}Denotes absence for a portion of the meeting.

The Director of Planning recommended approval.

Summary of Correspondence

No correspondence had been received on this application since referral to public hearing.

Speakers

The Mayor called for speakers for and against the application and none were present.

Council Decision

MOVED by Councillor Louie

- A. THAT the application by the Director of Planning to amend the Zoning and Development By-law, the Sign By-law and various CD-1 By-laws for miscellaneous amendments generally as presented in Appendix A to Policy Report "Miscellaneous Text Amendments: Zoning and Development By-law, Sign By-law and CD-1 By-laws" dated September 2, 2008 be approved.
- B. THAT miscellaneous amendments to the Parking By-law be approved, generally in accordance with Appendix B of Policy Report "Miscellaneous Text Amendments: Zoning and Development By-law, Sign By-law and CD-1 By-laws" dated September 2, 2008; and

FURTHER THAT the Director of Legal Services be instructed to bring forward the necessary by-law for enactment.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY